

1 al., 2009; Zilberberg *et al.*, 2008). Additionally, there are increasing reports of community-
2 associated CDI, including disease in young individuals and people with few or no conventional
3 risk factors. Interestingly, community-associated CDI cases were linked with the emergent
4 hypervirulent antimicrobial-resistant strains, called epidemic PCR ribotypes 027 and 078, which
5 present also in livestock (Warny *et al.*, 2005; CDCP, 2008; Jhung *et al.*, 2008; Kuntz *et al.*, 2011;
6 Rupnik, 2007; Songer *et al.*, 2009).

7 The source of infection for community-associated cases of CDI remains uncertain (Weese *et al.*,
8 2010a; Jhung *et al.*, 2008). As *C. difficile* is a ubiquitous bacterium inhabiting the environment
9 and can colonize the intestinal tract of both humans and animals, recent prevalence studies
10 suggested that besides the nosocomial dissemination, farm and companion animals are a potential
11 source of human CDI, either by direct or indirect contact, environmental contamination, or food
12 infection (Hoover and Rodriguez-Palacios 2013; Rodriguez-Palacios *et al.* 2013; Wetterwik *et al.*,
13 2013; Álvarez-Pérez *et al.*, 2015; Rabold *et al.*, 2018; Koene *et al.*, 2012; Avbersek *et al.*, 2009).
14 Since domestic livestock and companion animals frequently tested positive for toxigenic *C.*
15 *difficile*, even without displaying any clinical signs, it looks probable that *C. difficile* could be
16 zoonotic. Therefore, animals could play an essential role as carriers of the bacterium (Rodriguez
17 *et al.*, 2016).

18 Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of *C. difficile* and its toxins in
19 different animal species within the veterinary clinics and different localities in Egypt.

20 21 **Material and methods:**

22 **1-Samples:**

23 A total of 249 fecal samples were collected from apparently healthy and diseased animals (60
24 cows; 26 buffaloes; 24 sheep; 30 goats; 40 horses; 21 dogs; 30 cats) (Table 1) raised in Cairo,
25 Giza, Ismailia and El-fayoum governorates in Egypt, during the period between January 2015 to
26 June 2016.

Table 1: The number of fecal samples collected from apparently healthy and diseased domestic and companion animals:

Animal species	Apparently healthy	Diseased animals	Total
Cows	24	36	60
Buffaloes	15	11	26
Sheep	14	28	42
Goat	3	27	30
Horse	40	-	40
Dogs	21	-	21
Cats	13	17	30

All samples were transferred in icebox to the laboratory of the department of Zoonoses, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, Egypt, with minimum delay for bacteriological examination.

2- Culturing for *C. difficile*:

Fecal samples were cultured for isolation of *C. difficile*, as described in Avbersek *et al.*, 2009.

2- Molecular characterization of isolates:

Suspected colonies of *C. difficile* were sub-cultured onto blood agar plates and incubated anaerobically at 37°C/24 h. DNA extraction was extracted by transferring 3-5 colonies into 100 µl of sterile distilled water, heating at 95°C for 3 min and then the suspension was centrifuged at 10000 × g for 5 min. The supernatant was used as a DNA-template.

PCR detection of genes encoding triose phosphate isomerase (tpi), toxin A and B (tcd A and tcd B, respectively) as described by Lemee *et al.* [18]; Stubbs *et al.* [19].

3- Statistical analysis:

Infection rates were compared by the χ^2 and Fisher's Exact test. Data analysis was performed using PASW Statistics, Version 18.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results:

Infection rates in animals:

In cows ($n = 60$) *C. difficile* was detected in 4.17% (1/24) of apparently healthy cows, and in 5.56% (2/36) of cows showing clinical signs. While in buffaloes ($n = 26$), *C. difficile* could only be detected in 9.09% (1/11) of animals showing clinical signs (Table 2). In sheep ($n = 42$) *C. difficile* was detected in 14.29% (2/14) of apparently healthy sheep, and in 14.29% (4/28) of sheep showing clinical signs. While in goats ($n = 30$), *C. difficile* could be detected neither in apparently healthy nor animals showing clinical signs (Table 2). In apparently healthy horses ($n = 40$), *C. difficile* could be detected in 10% (4/40) of examined animals (Table 2).

In apparently healthy dogs ($n = 21$), *C. difficile* was detected in 14.29% (3/21) of examined animals. While in cats ($n = 30$), *C. difficile* could only be detected in 23.53% (4/17) of animals showing clinical signs (Table 2). The results of molecular characterizing of the ten *C. difficile* isolates from apparently healthy animals and eleven isolates from the diseased one are presented in Table 2. Interestingly, all isolates contained *tcdB* and *tcdA*.

The infection rates of *C. difficile* in different animal species didn't differ significantly ($P > 0.05$), suggesting that *C. difficile* recovery was not associated with the animal species.

Table 2: Rate of *C. difficile* infection in the examined apparently healthy and clinically diseased domestic and companion animals (N= 249):

	Apparently healthy animals			Diseased animals		
	Examined samples	Positive cases No.	%	Examined samples	Positive cases No.	%
Cows	24	1	4.17	36	2	5.56
Buffaloes	15	0	0.00	11	1	9.09
Sheep	14	2	14.29	28	4	14.29
Goat	3	0	0.00	27	0	0.00
Horses	40	4	10.00	-	-	-
Dogs	21	3	14.29	-	-	-

Cats	13	0	0.00	17	4	23.53
------	----	---	------	----	---	-------

Table 3: Molecular characterization *C. difficile* strains isolated from different animals.

	Apparently healthy animals		Diseased animals	
	Examined samples	Toxin typing	Examined samples	Toxin typing
Cows	1	tcdA+ tcdB+	2	tcdA+ tcdB+
Buffaloes	0	-	1	tcdA+ tcdB+
Sheep	2	tcdA+ tcdB+	4	tcdA+ tcdB+
Goat	0	-	0	-
Horses	4	tcdA+ tcdB+	-	-
Dogs	3	tcdA+ tcdB+	-	-
Cats	0	-	4	tcdA+ tcdB+
Total	10	tcdA+ tcdB+	11	tcdA+ tcdB+

Discussion:

This study provides insights into the epidemiology of *C. difficile* in both farm and companion animals.

Cows and buffaloes: Low rates of infection with *C. difficile* in apparently healthy and diseased cows were observed (4.17% and 5.56%; respectively), while a 9.09% infection rate in diseased buffaloes was recorded. Dahms *et al.*, 2014 stated that the pathogenicity of *C. difficile* for cattle and calves, is still questionable and that diarrhea was found to be associated mostly with toxin producing strains. Rodriguez *et al.*, 2017 isolated toxigenic *C. difficile* from 5.5% to 11.3% of calves and cattle and considered them as persistent reservoirs that probably indirectly disseminate the pathogen to humans, *via* the environment. Rahimi *et al.*, 2014 evaluated meet of different animals for the presence of *C. difficile* and found the highest prevalence was in buffalo meat (9%).

Sheep and goats: Rates of infection with *C. difficile* in apparently healthy and diseased sheep were observed (14.29%), while in goats, no infection with *C. difficile* could be detected. Previous

1 studies showed infection rates ranging from 0 to 18.2% (al Saif and Brazier, 1996; Rieu-Lesme
2 and Fonty, 1999). Recent study reported *C. difficile* colonization in 4.2% to 11.2% of lambs and
3 0.6% of sheep, of which all *C. difficile* isolates from lambs were positive for tcdA and tcdB (A+,
4 B+) but negative for binary toxin genes (CDT-), while the isolate from sheep was A- B+ CDT+
5 (Knight and Riley, 2013). Another recent study had detected *C. difficile* in 9.2% of neonatal goats,
6 while none of the adult goats were positive (Avberšek *et al.*, 2014). Romano *et al.*, 2012 isolated
7 *C. difficile* from 7.5% of goats irrespective to age.

8 **Horses:** The rate of infection with *C. difficile* in apparently healthy horses was 10%. Recent studies
9 had considered *C. difficile* as one of the most significant causes of diarrhoea and enterocolitis in
10 horses (Arroyo *et al.* 2006; Weese *et al.* 2006; Uzal *et al.* 2012; Diab *et al.* 2013b). Prevalence
11 studies of *C. difficile* in horses with gastrointestinal disease recorded varied infection rates, ranging
12 between 5 % and 63 %, while in apparently healthy horses, the reported prevalence varied between
13 0 and 29 % (Diab *et al.* 2013b). Båverud *et al.* 2003 reported up to 44 % colonization rate in
14 apparently healthy foals under antibiotic treatment, which is considered the main risk factor
15 leading to CDI in horses (Diab *et al.* 2013b). Similar infection rates (from 4.8 to 11 %) were
16 observed in hospitalized horses without clinical signs of *C. difficile* disease (Rodriguez *et al.* 2013).

17 **Dogs:** In this study, the rate of infection with *C. difficile* in apparently healthy dogs was 14.29%.
18 Struble *et al.*, 1994 reported similar prevalence, in canines visited veterinary hospital in California,
19 as they found that 13.8% of canines with normal stools shed *C. difficile*. Another study done by
20 Weese *et al.* 2010b found that 10 % of dogs in households were colonized by *C. difficile*. Weese
21 *et al.*, 2001 reported no infection rates (0%) with *C. difficile*, but *C. difficile* toxins A, B, or both
22 were present in feces of 7% normal dogs. While, Lefebvre *et al.*, 2006 reported higher infection
23 rates, as they isolated *C. difficile* from feces of 58% apparently healthy dog. Rodriguez-Palacios
24 *et al.* 2013 noted that, within the same geographical area, all dog isolates resembled those found
25 in human hospitals. Other studies (Schneeberg *et al.* 2012; Silva *et al.* 2013; Spigaglia *et al.* 2015)
26 confirmed that dogs can be healthy carriers of human epidemic PCR-ribotypes *C. difficile* strains.

27 **Cats:** There were no infection with *C. difficile* reported in apparently healthy cats (0%). While,
28 the rate of infection with *C. difficile* in diseased cats was 23.53%. These results agree with
29 Madewell *et al.*, 1999 who could not detect *C. difficile* in healthy adult cats, but isolated *C. difficile*
30 from 9.4% of diseased ones, and identified A and B toxins sequences in 34.8% of that infected
31 group. Wei *et al.*, 2019 isolated *C. difficile* from 7% of apparently healthy cats.

1 Our results showed that the toxigenic *C. difficile* is a common member of the fecal flora of
2 companion and farm animals, which indicate that those animals are reservoirs and sources of
3 pathogenic *C. difficile*, and that household, nosocomial and contact transmission of *C. difficile*
4 from animals to humans cannot be denied. Moreover, as *C. difficile* is a spore former, it can survive
5 for unspecified period in the environment and could be ingested by man via various routes.

6 The presence of *C. difficile* in both apparently healthy and diseased animals of different species
7 suggests that contamination may affect the external environment and may play an important role
8 in the expansion of pathogenic *C. difficile* and also in transmission to humans via food.

10 REFERENCES

11
12 Al Saif N and J Brazier, 1996. The distribution of *Clostridium difficile* in the environment of South
13 Wales. *J Med Microbiol*, 45:133–137.

14 Álvarez-Pérez S, JL Blanco, T Pelaez, MP Lanzarot, C Harmanus, E Kuijper, *et al.*, 2015. Faecal
15 shedding of antimicrobial-resistant *Clostridium difficile* strains by dogs. *J Small Anim*
16 *Pract*, 56(3):190–5.

17 Arroyo LG, HR Sta'mpfl and JS Weese, 2006. Potential role of *Clostridium difficile* as a cause
18 of duodenitisproximal jejunitis in horses. *J Med Microbiol*, 55:605–608.

19 Avbersek J, S Janezic, M Pate, M Rupnik, V Zidaric, K Logar, M Vengust, M Zemljic, T Pirs and
20 M Ocepek, 2009. Diversity of *Clostridium difficile* in pigs and other animals in
21 Slovenia. *Anaerobe*, 15:252–255.

22 Avberšek J, T Pirš, M Pate, M Rupnik and M Ocepek, 2014. *Clostridium difficile* in goats and
23 sheep in Slovenia: Characterisation of strains and evidence of age-related shedding.
24 *Anaerobe*, 28: 163-167.

25 Båverud V, A Gustafsson, A Franklin, A Aspán and A Gunnarsson, 2003. *Clostridium difficile*:
26 prevalence in horses and environment, and antimicrobial susceptibility. *Equine Veterinary*
27 *Journal*, 35: 465-471.

28 Clark T and M Wiselka, 2008. *Clostridium difficile* infection. *Clin Med*, 8: 544-547.

29 CDCP (Center for Disease Control and Prevention), 2005. Severe *Clostridium difficile*-associated
30 disease in populations previously at low risk-four states, 2005. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly*
31 *Rep*, 54:1201–1205.

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2008. Surveillance for community-associated *Clostridium difficile*—Connecticut, 2006. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep, 57:340–343.
- Dahms C, NO Hübner, F Wilke and A Kramer, 2014. Mini-review: Epidemiology and zoonotic potential of multiresistant bacteria and *Clostridium difficile* in livestock and food. GMS hygiene and infection control, 9(3): Doc21.
- Diab SS, G Songer and FA Uzal, 2013. *Clostridium difficile* infection in horses: a review. Vet Microbiol, 167:42–49.
- Heinlen L and JD Ballard, 2010. *Clostridium difficile* infection. Am J Med Sci, 340: 247-252.
- Hoover DG, A Rodriguez-Palacios, 2013. Transmission of *Clostridium difficile* in foods. Infect Dis Clin North Am, 27:675–685.
- Indra A, H Lassnig, N Baliko, P Much, A Fiedler, S Huhulescu and F Allerberger, 2009. *Clostridium difficile*: a new zoonotic agent?. Wien Klin Wochenschr, 121: 91-95.
- Juneau C, EN Mendias, N Wagal, M Loeffelholz, T Savidge, S Croisant and S Dann, 2013. Community-acquired *Clostridium difficile* infection: awareness and clinical implications. J Nurse Pract, 9:1–6.
- Koene MGJ, D Mevius, JA Wagenaar, C Harmanus, MPM Hensgens, AM Meetsma, FF Putirulan, MAP Van Bergen and EJ Kuijper, 2012. *Clostridium difficile* in Dutch animals: their presence, characteristics and similarities with human isolates. Clin Microbiol Infect, 18:778–784.
- Knight DR and TV Riley, 2013. Prevalence of Gastrointestinal *Clostridium difficile* Carriage in Australian Sheep and Lambs. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 79 (18): 5689–5692.
- Kuntz JL, EA Chrischilles, JF Pendergast, LA Herwaldt and PM Polgreen, 2011. Incidence of and risk factors for community-associated *Clostridium difficile* infection: a nested case-control study. BMC Infect Dis, 11: 194-10
- Lefebvre SL, D Waltner-Toews, AS Peregrine, R Reid-Smith, L Hodge, LG Arroyo *et al.*, 2006. Prevalence of zoonotic agents in dogs visiting hospitalized people in Ontario: implications for infection control. J Hosp Infect, 62(4):458-66.

- 1 Madewell BR, KJ Bea, SA Kraegel, M Winthrop, YJ Tang and J Silva, 1999. Clostridium difficile:
2 a survey of fecal carriage in cats in a veterinary medical teaching hospital. J Vet Diagn
3 Invest, 11:50–54
- 4 McFarland LV, 2008. Update on the changing epidemiology of Clostridium difficile-associated
5 disease. Nat Clin Pract Gastroenterol Hepatol, 5:40–48.
- 6 Rabold D, W Espelage, M Abu Sin, T Eckmanns, A Schneeberg, H Neubauer *et al.*, 2018. The
7 zoonotic potential of Clostridium difficile from small companion animals and their owners.
8 PLoS ONE, 13(2): e0193411.
- 9 Rahimi E, M Jalali and JS Weese, 2014. Prevalence of Clostridium difficile in raw beef, cow,
10 sheep, goat, camel and buffalo meat in Iran. BMC Public Health, 14:119.
- 11 Rieu-Lesme F and G Fonty, 1999. Isolation of Clostridium difficile from the ruminal reservoir of
12 newborn lambs. Vet Rec, 145:501.
- 13 Rodriguez C, B Taminiau, J Van Broeck, M Delme'e and G. Daube, 2016. Clostridium difficile
14 in Food and Animals: A Comprehensive Review. Advances in experimental medicine and
15 biology, 932: 65-92.
- 16 Rodriguez C, DE Hakimi, R Vanleyssem, B Taminiau, J Van Broeck, M Delmee, N Korsak and
17 G Daube, 2017. Clostridium difficile in beef cattle farms, farmers and their environment:
18 assessing the spread of the bacterium. Vet Microbiol, 210:183–7.
- 19 Rodriguez-Palacios A, S Borgmann, TR Kline *et al.*, 2013. Clostridium difficile in foods and
20 animals: history and measures to reduce exposure. Anim Health Res Rev, 14:11–29.
- 21 Romano V, Albanese, F Dumontet, S Krovacek, K Petrini, and V Pasquale, 2012. Prevalence and
22 Genotypic Characterization of Clostridium difficile From Ruminants in Switzerland.
23 Zoonoses and Public Health, 59: 545–548.
- 24 Rupnik M, 2007. Is Clostridium difficile-associated infection a potentially zoonotic and
25 foodborne disease?. Clin Microbiol Infect, 13:457–459.
- 26 Schneeberg A, M Rupnik, H Neubauer *et al.*, 2012. Prevalence and distribution of Clostridium
27 difficile PCR ribotypes in cats and dogs from animal shelters in Thuringia, Germany.
28 Anaerobe, 18:484–488.
- 29 Silva ROS, RLR Santos, PS Pires *et al.*, 2013. Detection of toxins A/B and isolation of Clostridium
30 difficile and Clostridium perfringens from dogs in Minas Gerais, Brazil. Braz J Microbiol,
31 44:133–137

- 1 Songer JG, *et al.*, 2009. Clostridium difficile in retail meat products, U.S.A., 2007. Emerg Infect
2 Dis,15:819–821.
- 3 Spigaglia P, I Drigo I, F Barbanti *et al.*, 2015. Antibiotic resistance patterns and PCR-ribotyping
4 of Clostridium difficile strains isolated from swine and dogs in Italy. Anaerobe, 31:42–4.
- 5 Struble AL, YJ Tang, PH Kass *et al.*, 1994. Faecal shedding of Clostridium difficile in dogs: a
6 period prevalence survey in a veterinary medical teaching hospital. J Vet Diagn Invest, 6:
7 342—347.
- 8 Uzal FA, SS Diab, P Blanchard *et al.*, 2012. Clostridium perfringens type C and Clostridium
9 difficile co-infection in foals. Vet Microbiol, 156:395–402.
- 10 Warny M, J Pepin, A Fang, G Killgore, A Thompson, J Brazier *et al.*, 2005. Toxin production by
11 an emerging strain of *Clostridium difficile* associated with outbreaks of severe disease in
12 North America and Europe. Lancet, 366: 1079-108
- 13 Weese JS, HR Staempfli, JF Prescott, SA Kruth, SJ Greenwood and HE Weese, 2001. The roles
14 of Clostridium difficile and enterotoxigenic Clostridium perfringens in diarrhea in dogs. J
15 Vet Intern Med, 15 (4):374-8.
- 16 Weese JS, L Toxopeus and L Arroyo, 2006. Clostridium difficile associated diarrhoea in horses
17 within the community: predictors, clinical presentation and outcome. Equine Vet J,
18 38:185–188.
- 19 Weese JS, T Wakeford, R Reid-Smith, J Rousseau and R Friendship, 2010a. Longitudinal
20 investigation of *Clostridium difficile* shedding in piglets. Anaerobe, 16:501–504.
- 21 Weese JS, R Finley, RR Reid-Smith *et al.* 2010b.Evaluation of Clostridium difficile in dogs and
22 the household environment. Epidemiol Infect 138: 1100–1114.
- 23 Wei Y, M Sun, Y Zhang, J Gao, F Kong, D Liu, H Yu, J Du and R Tang, 2019. Prevalence,
24 genotype and antimicrobial resistance of Clostridium difficile isolates from healthy pets in
25 Eastern China. BMC Infectious Diseases, 19:46.
- 26 Wetterwik KJ, G Trowald-Wigh, LL Fernstrom and K Krovacek, 2013. *Clostridium difficile* in
27 faeces from healthy dogs and dogs with diarrhea. Acta Vet Scand, 55:23.
- 28 Zilberberg MD, AF Shorr and MH Kollef, 2008. Increase in adult *Clostridium difficile*-related
29 hospitalizations and case-fatality rate, United States, 2000-2005. Emerg Infec Dis, 14: 829-
30 831.