

Boolean functions derived from Fermat quotients

Hassan Aly · Arne Winterhof

Received: 17 November 2010 / Accepted: 1 February 2011 / Published online: 23 February 2011
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2011

Abstract We study Boolean functions derived from Fermat quotients modulo p using the Legendre symbol. We prove bounds on several complexity measures for these Boolean functions: the nonlinearity, sparsity, average sensitivity, and combinatorial complexity. Our main tools are bounds on character sums of Fermat quotients modulo p .

Keywords Fermat quotients · Boolean functions · Nonlinearity · Sparsity · Average sensitivity · Combinatorial complexity · Cryptography · Legendre symbol

1 Introduction

For a prime p and an integer u with $\gcd(u, p) = 1$ the *Fermat quotient* $q_p(u)$ modulo p is defined as the unique integer that satisfies

$$q_p(u) \equiv \frac{u^{p-1} - 1}{p} \pmod{p}, \quad 0 \leq q_p(u) \leq p - 1,$$

and we put

$$q_p(kp) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

H. Aly
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Cairo University,
P.O. Box 12613, Giza, Egypt
e-mail: hassan@sci.cu.edu.eg

A. Winterhof (✉)
Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics,
Austrian Academy of Sciences, Altenberger Straße 69, 4040 Linz, Austria
e-mail: arne.winterhof@oeaw.ac.at

We note that $q_p(u)$ is p^2 -periodic. There are several results which involve the distribution and structure of Fermat quotients $q_p(u)$ modulo p with numerous applications in computational and algebraic number theory, see e.g. [4–8, 11, 14, 15] and references therein. Some characteristics of Fermat quotients which are relevant to their use as pseudorandom number generators for quasi-Monte Carlo methods or cryptography are studied in [4, 6, 11].

In this paper we study Boolean functions derived from Fermat quotients modulo p using the Legendre symbol. For a recent survey on Boolean functions we refer to [2, 3]. For a prime $p > 2$ we define the Boolean function $B(U_1, U_2, \dots, U_r)$ of $r = \lfloor 2 \log p \rfloor$ variables by

$$B(u_1, \dots, u_r) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \left(\frac{q_p(x)}{p}\right) = 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } \left(\frac{q_p(x)}{p}\right) \neq 1, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

for any $0 \leq x \leq 2^r - 1$ where (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r) is the binary representation of x , $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ denotes the Legendre symbol and \log is the binary logarithm.

We prove bounds on several complexity measures for $B(U_1, \dots, U_r)$. (Note that we use capital letters for variables and small letters for integers and their bit representations.)

Let $\mathcal{B}_r = \{0, 1\}^r$. The *Hamming weight* $\|a\|$ of a vector $a \in \mathcal{B}_r$ is the number of its nonzero components. The *Fourier coefficients* (or *Walsh-Hadamard coefficients*) $\widehat{B}(a)$ of $B(U_1, \dots, U_r)$, where $a \in \mathcal{B}_r$, are defined as

$$\widehat{B}(a) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}_r} (-1)^{B(u) + \langle a, u \rangle}$$

where $\langle a, u \rangle = a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_ru_r$ denotes the standard inner product. The *nonlinearity* of the Boolean function $B(U_1, \dots, U_r)$ is defined by

$$N(B) = 2^{r-1} - \frac{1}{2} \max_{a \in \mathcal{B}_r} |\widehat{B}(a)|.$$

Boolean functions used in cryptography must have high nonlinearity. In Section 2 we show that the Boolean function $B(U_1, \dots, U_r)$ as defined in (1) yields

$$\max_{a \in \mathcal{B}_r} |\widehat{B}(a)| \ll p^{15/8} \log^{1/4} p,$$

where $A \ll B$ is equivalent to $|A| \leq cB$ for some constant c . (Note that $p^2/4 < 2^{r-1} < p^2/2$.) The proof is based on a recent character sum bound obtained in [6]. Although there are Boolean functions which attain the best possible value

$$\max_{a \in \mathcal{B}_r} |\widehat{B}(a)| = 2^{r/2-1}$$

(so called *bent functions*, see for example [2, 3]) and asymptotically almost all Boolean functions satisfy

$$\max_{a \in \mathcal{B}_r} |\widehat{B}(a)| \ll 2^{r/2} \log r,$$

see [12], it is hard to prove nontrivial bounds $o(2^r)$ in general, in particular, if the Boolean function guarantees some other nice features.

The *sparsity* $\text{spr } B$, or *weight*, of $B(U_1, \dots, U_r)$ is the number of its nonzero coefficients. In Section 3 we show that

$$\text{spr } B \gg p^{1/4} \log^{-1/2} p.$$

The *average sensitivity* $\sigma_{av}(B)$ of the Boolean function $B(U_1, \dots, U_r)$ is a measure on how the value of $B(U_1, \dots, U_r)$ changes on average if the i th bit of the argument is flipped, i.e.

$$\sigma_{av}(B) = 2^{-r} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{B}_r} \sum_{i=1}^r |B(a) - B(a^{(i)})|,$$

where $x^{(i)}$ is the vector obtained from x by flipping its i th coordinate. In Section 4 we show that

$$\sigma_{av}(B) \geq 0.5r + o(r).$$

A Boolean function $B(U_1, \dots, U_r)$ of r variables is said to belong to the class $\mathcal{P}_{k,s}^r$ if for any choice of k integers $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq r$ there are at least s distinct functions obtainable by making all 2^k possible assignments to U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_k} . Thus, it is a measure on how many of the variables are independent in some sense. In Section 5 we show that

$$B \in \mathcal{P}_{k,2^k}^r$$

for any $k \leq \frac{1}{3} \log p + O(1)$.

Similar results on the rightmost bit of the discrete logarithm in a finite field can be found in [13, Chapter 1] and [1, 9, 10].

We will need the following well-known property of Fermat quotients. For any integers k, u and v with $\text{gcd}(uv, p) = 1$ we have

$$q_p(u + kp) \equiv q_p(u) - ku^{-1} \pmod{p}, \tag{2}$$

see for example [5, Equation (2)]. Since every integer x such that $0 \leq x \leq p^2 - 1$ can be written uniquely as $x = u + vp$ where $0 \leq u, v \leq p - 1$ and using (2) for $u \neq 0$, the equation

$$q_p(x) = q_p(u + vp) = 0$$

has $O(p)$ solutions.

We also recall a simple consequence of [6, Theorem 1] for the convenience of the reader. We have

$$\sum_{u=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{q_p(u + d_1) \cdots q_p(u + d_\ell)}{p} \right) \ll \max\{\ell N p^{-1/3}, \ell p^{3/2} \log p\}$$

for any $0 \leq d_1 < \dots < d_\ell \leq p^2 - 1$ and $1 \leq N \leq p^2$.

2 A bound for the maximum Fourier coefficients

This section gives an upper bound for $\max_{a \in \mathcal{B}_r} |\widehat{B}(a)|$.

Theorem 1 *Let $B(U_1, \dots, U_r)$ be defined as in (1). Then the bound*

$$\max_{a \in \mathcal{B}_r} |\widehat{B}(a)| \ll p^{15/8} \log^{1/4} p$$

holds.

Proof First note that for $0 \leq x \leq 2^r - 1$ we have

$$\left(\frac{q_p(x)}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{B(u_1, \dots, u_r)}, & \text{if } q_p(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod p, \\ 0, & \text{if } q_p(x) \equiv 0 \pmod p, \end{cases}$$

where (u_1, \dots, u_r) is the binary representation of x . Then for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathcal{B}_r$ we have

$$\widehat{B}(a) = S(a) + O(p)$$

where

$$S(a) = \sum_{x=0}^{2^r-1} \left(\frac{q_p(x)}{p}\right) (-1)^{\langle x, a \rangle}$$

and $\langle x, a \rangle = u_1 a_1 + \dots + u_r a_r$. Put $k = \lceil \log(p^{7/4} \log^{1/2} p) \rceil$, $N = 2^k$, and $M = 2^{r-k}$. Then we obtain

$$S(a) = \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} \sum_{z=0}^{M-1} \left(\frac{q_p(y + Nz)}{p}\right) (-1)^{\langle y, b \rangle + \langle z, c \rangle}$$

where $b = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ and $c = (a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \dots, a_r)$. Therefore,

$$|S(a)| \leq \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} \left| \sum_{z=0}^{M-1} \left(\frac{q_p(y + Nz)}{p}\right) (-1)^{\langle z, c \rangle} \right|$$

and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} |S(a)|^2 &\leq N \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} \left| \sum_{z=0}^{M-1} \left(\frac{q_p(y + Nz)}{p}\right) (-1)^{\langle z, c \rangle} \right|^2 \\ &= N \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} \sum_{z_1, z_2=0}^{M-1} \left(\frac{q_p(y + Nz_1) q_p(y + Nz_2)}{p}\right) (-1)^{\langle z_1, c \rangle + \langle z_2, c \rangle} \\ &\leq N \sum_{z_1, z_2=0}^{M-1} |W(z_1, z_2)|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$W(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{q_p(y + Nz_1)q_p(y + Nz_2)}{p} \right).$$

For M pairs (z_1, z_2) with $z_1 = z_2$ we use the trivial bound $|W(z_1, z_2)| \leq N$. For $M(M - 1)$ pairs (z_1, z_2) with $z_1 \neq z_2$ we apply [6, Theorem 14] to obtain

$$|W(z_1, z_2)| \ll Np^{-1/3} + p^{3/2} \log p \ll p^{3/2} \log p$$

because of the choice of k . (The main ideas of the proof of [6, Theorem 1] will be recalled in the proof of Theorem 4 below.) Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |S(a)|^2 &\ll N(MN + M(M - 1)p^{3/2} \log p) \\ &\ll N \left(p^2 + \frac{p^4 p^{3/2} \log p}{N^2} \right) \ll p^{15/4} \log^{1/2} p \end{aligned}$$

because of the choice of k again. □

3 A bound on the sparsity

The number of non-zero terms in any Boolean function $B(U_1, \dots, U_r)$ is denoted by $\text{spr } B$.

Theorem 2 *Let $B(U_1, \dots, U_r)$ be defined as in (1). Then the bound*

$$\text{spr } B \gg p^{1/4} \log^{-1/2} p$$

holds.

Proof Put $t = \text{spr } B$ and define k by the inequalities

$$2^k > t + 1 \geq 2^{k-1}.$$

For each $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2^k - 1$ we consider the function

$$B_m(V_1, \dots, V_{r-k}) = B(V_1, \dots, V_{r-k}, e_1, \dots, e_k),$$

where $m = (e_1, \dots, e_k)$ is the bit representation of m . The total number of distinct monomials in V_1, \dots, V_{r-k} occurring in all these functions does not exceed t . Therefore, one can find a non-trivial linear combination

$$\sum_{m=1}^{2^k-1} c_m B_m(V_1, \dots, V_{r-k}), \quad c_1, \dots, c_{2^k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_2,$$

which vanishes identically. From the definition of $B(U_1, \dots, U_r)$ we see that

$$\left(\frac{q_p(x)}{p} \right) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{B(u_1, \dots, u_r)}, & \text{if } q_p(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod p, \\ 0, & \text{if } q_p(x) \equiv 0 \pmod p, \end{cases}$$

where (u_1, \dots, u_r) is the bit representation of x . Therefore, we have

$$\prod_{m=1}^{2^k-1} \left(\frac{q_p(2^k y + m)}{p} \right)^{c_m} = (-1)^{\sum_{m=1}^{2^k-1} c_m B_m(v_1, \dots, v_{r-k})} = 1,$$

for all $0 \leq y \leq 2^{r-k} - 1$ with $q_p(2^k y + m) \neq 0$ for all $m = 1, \dots, 2^k - 1$, where (v_1, \dots, v_{r-k}) is the bit representation of y . There are $O(2^k p)$ values of y with $q_p(2^k y + m) = 0$ for some m . Combining this result with [6, Theorem 1] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p^2 2^{-k} &\ll 2^{r-k} \ll \sum_{y=0}^{2^{r-k}-1} \prod_{m=1}^{2^k-1} \left(\frac{q_p(2^k y + m)}{p} \right)^{c_m} + 2^k p \\ &\ll 2^k \max \{ 2^{r-k} p^{-1/3}, p^{3/2} \log p \} \ll \max \{ p^{5/3}, 2^k p^{3/2} \log p \}. \end{aligned}$$

If the maximum on the right hand side is $p^{5/3}$ we get $t + 1 \geq 2^{k-1} \gg p^{1/3}$ and $(t + 1)^2 \geq 2^{2k-2} \gg p^{1/2} / \log p$ otherwise, and the result follows. \square

4 A bound on the average sensitivity

Theorem 3 *Let $B(U_1, \dots, U_r)$ be defined as in (1). Then the bound*

$$\sigma_{av}(B) \geq 0.5r + o(r)$$

holds.

Proof Put $m = \lfloor r^{1/2} \rfloor, k = 2m + 1, l = \lfloor r - r^{1/2} \rfloor$, and $R = 2^r - k2^l$. For $0 \leq i \leq l$ and $0 \leq x \leq R - 1$ the vector $(B(x + j2^i))_{j=1}^k$ is defined. Over the range of x , the vector takes on the value of each possible binary k -tuple $T = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ with multiplicity

$$N(T) = 2^{-k} \sum_{x=0}^{R-1} \prod_{j=1}^k \left(\left(\frac{q_p(x + j2^i)}{p} \right) (-1)^{t_j} + 1 \right) + O(kp/2^k).$$

The term $O(kp)$ estimates the number of values x such that $q_p(x + j2^i) = 0$ for some j . Then we have one main term $R2^{-k}$ and $2^k - 1$ terms of the form

$$\pm 2^{-k} \sum_{x=0}^{R-1} \left(\frac{q_p(x + j_1 2^i) \cdots q_p(x + j_s 2^i)}{p} \right) \ll sp^{5/3}$$

by [6, Theorem 1], where $s \leq k$ and $1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_s \leq k$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} N(T) &= R2^{-k} + O(kp^{5/3}) \\ &= R2^{-k} + O(r^{1/2} 2^{5r/6}) \\ &= R2^{-k} + o(R2^{-k}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from probabilistic arguments that for $2^k + o(2^k)$ binary k -tuples $T = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$, both of the following statements are true:

1. $t_{2j} \neq t_{2j+1}$ for $\frac{m}{2} + o(m)$ values of $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$,
2. $t_{2j} \neq t_{2j-1}$ for $\frac{m}{2} + o(m)$ values of $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

So whatever the $(i + 1)$ th bit of x is, if the vector $(B(x + j2^{i+1}))_{j=1}^k$ is such a k -tuple T , then among the m values $B(x + j2^i)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ about half differ from their respective

$$B((x + j2^{i+1})^{(i)}) = B(x + j2^{i+1} \pm 2^i) = B(x + (2j \pm 1)2^i).$$

So we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^l \sum_{x=0}^{R-1} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ B(x+j2^{i+1}) \neq B((x+j2^{i+1})^{(i)})}}^m 1 \geq (l + 1)(R2^{-k} + o(R2^{-k}))(2^k + o(2^k))(0.5m + o(m)) \\ = 0.5Rlm + o(Rlm).$$

For every $0 \leq i \leq l$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$, we find

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ B(x+j2^{i+1}) \neq B((x+j2^{i+1})^{(i)})}}^{R-1} 1 - \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ B(x) \neq B(x^{(i)})}}^{2^r-1} 1 \right| \leq m2^{l+1} = o(2^r).$$

Therefore

$$\sigma_{av}(B) = 2^{-r} \sum_{i=0}^l \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ B(x) \neq B(x^{(i)})}}^{2^r-1} 1 \geq 0.5l + o(l) = 0.5r + o(r),$$

and we are done. □

5 A bound on the combinatorial complexity

Theorem 4 *Let $B(U_1, \dots, U_r)$ be defined as in (1). Then*

$$B \in \mathcal{P}_{k,2^k}^r \text{ for any } k \leq \frac{1}{3} \log p + O(1).$$

Proof Fix k integers $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq r$. We consider the set \mathcal{U} of r -bit integers $x = (u_1, \dots, u_r)$ such that the digits at the positions i_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ are fixed in an arbitrary way. We also put $i_0 = 0$ and $i_{k+1} = r + 1$. Then we have

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ A + \sum_{j=0}^k x_j 2^{i_j} \mid 0 \leq x_j \leq 2^{i_{j+1}-i_j-1}, j = 0, 1, \dots, k \right\},$$

where A depends on the fixed digits of x . Put

$$h_j = \lceil 2^{i_{j+1}-i_j-2} \rceil, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k,$$

and define

$$D = A + \sum_{j=0}^k h_j 2^{i_j}, \quad \mathcal{V} = \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^k x_j 2^{i_j} \mid 0 \leq x_j \leq h_j - 1, j = 0, \dots, k \right\}.$$

We see that $D + v - w \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $u, w \in \mathcal{V}$. Note also that for distinct selections of the digits of x at the positions i_1, \dots, i_k we get distinct values of D . Now we will show that for any $0 \leq D_1 < D_2 < p^2$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{v,w \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\frac{q_p(D_1 + v - w)q_p(D_2 + v - w)}{p} \right) \right| < |\mathcal{V}|^2 - 2(2p - 1).$$

The number $2(2p - 1)$ counts the number of possible zero values for which the function D is defined in an arbitrary way. Put $e_q(z) = \exp(2\pi iz/q)$ for any integers z and $q > 1$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{D_1, D_2} &= \left| \sum_{v,w \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\frac{q_p(D_1 + v - w)q_p(D_2 + v - w)}{p} \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2} \left| \sum_{z=0}^{p^2-1} \left(\frac{q_p(D_1 + z)q_p(D_2 + z)}{p} \right) \sum_{c=0}^{p^2-1} \sum_{v,w} e_{p^2}(c(z - v + w)) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{c=0}^{p^2-1} \left| \sum_{z=0}^{p^2-1} \left(\frac{q_p(D_1 + z)q_p(D_2 + z)}{p} \right) e_{p^2}(cz) \right| \left| \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} e_{p^2}(cv) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now put

$$\Delta_c(D_1, D_2) = \left| \sum_{z=0}^{p^2-1} \left(\frac{q_p(D_1 + z)q_p(D_2 + z)}{p} \right) e_{p^2}(cz) \right|.$$

Since every integer z such that $0 \leq z \leq p^2 - 1$ can be written uniquely as $z = x + py$ where $0 \leq x, y \leq p - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_c(D_1, D_2) &\leq \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \left| \sum_{y=0}^{p-1} \left(\frac{q_p(D_1 + x + yp)q_p(D_2 + x + yp)}{p} \right) e_{p^2}(c(x + yp)) \right| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ x \neq p-D_1, p-D_2}}^{p-1} |\sigma(x)| + O(p), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma(x) = \sum_{y=0}^{p-1} \left(\frac{(q_p(D_1 + x) - (D_1 + x)^{-1}y)(q_p(D_2 + x) - (D_2 + x)^{-1}y)}{p} \right) e_p(cy).$$

If $c \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$ we can estimate the absolute value of the inner sum $\sigma(x)$ by $p^{1/2}$ using the Weil bound getting

$$\Delta_c(D_1, D_2) \ll p^{3/2}.$$

If $c \equiv 0 \pmod p$ we can apply the Weil bound only if

$$q_p(D_1 + x)(D_1 + x) \not\equiv q_p(D_2 + x)(D_2 + x) \tag{3}$$

and have to use the trivial bound p otherwise. We will show that the number of solutions $0 \leq x < p$ of (3) is $O(p^{2/3})$ which implies

$$\Delta_c(D_1, D_2) \ll p^{2/3}p + pp^{1/2} \ll p^{5/3}.$$

If $D_1 \equiv D_2 \pmod p$ but $D_1 \not\equiv D_2 \pmod{p^2}$, (2) and (3) with $K = (D_2 - D_1)/p$ imply $x + D_1 \equiv 0 \pmod p$. Hence we may assume $D_1 = 0$ and $1 \leq D_2 < p$ since the function defined by $f(x) = q_p(x)x - q_p(x + D_2)(x + D_2)$ if $\gcd(x, p) = \gcd(x + D_2, p) = 1$ and $f(x) = 0$ otherwise is p -periodic.

Using

$$q_p(D_1w) \equiv q_p(D_1) + q_p(w) \pmod p, \quad \gcd(D_1w, p) = 1,$$

and substituting $x = D_1(w - 1)$ in (3) we get for $2 \leq w < p$

$$0 \equiv q_p(w)D_1w - q_p(w - 1)D_1(w - 1) + q_p(D_1)D_1 \pmod p.$$

This can be transformed to (cf. [6])

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{w^i}{i} \equiv q_p(D_1) \pmod p.$$

The number of solutions w is $O(p^{2/3})$ by [8, Lemma 4].

Therefore,

$$\delta_{D_1, D_2} \ll \frac{p^{5/3}}{p^2} \sum_{c=0}^{p^2-1} \left| \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} e_{p^2}(cv) \right|^2 \ll p^{5/3} |\mathcal{V}|.$$

Taking into account that $|\mathcal{V}| \geq \prod_{j=0}^k 2^{i_{j+1}-i_j-2} = 2^{r-2k-2} \geq p^2 2^{-2k-3}$, we obtain

$$p^{5/3} |\mathcal{V}| < |\mathcal{V}|^2 - 2(2p - 1)$$

for any $k \leq \frac{1}{3} \log p + O(1)$. □

Acknowledgements The authors wish to thank Igor Shparlinski for pointing to the problem of estimating the nonlinearity of these Boolean functions. This work was written during a visit of the first author to RICAM. He wishes to thank the Austrian Academy of Sciences for hospitality and financial support.

References

1. Brandstätter, N., Lange, T., Winterhof, A.: On the non-linearity and sparsity of Boolean functions related to the discrete logarithm in finite fields of characteristic two. In: Coding and Cryptography. Lect. Notes Comput. Sci., vol. 3969, pp. 135–143. Springer, Berlin (2006)
2. Carlet, C.: Boolean functions for cryptography and error correcting codes. In: Crama, Y., Hammer, P.L. (eds.) Boolean Models and Methods in Mathematics, Computer Science, and Engineering, pp. 257–397. Cambridge University Press (2010)
3. Carlet, C., Helleseht, T.: Sequences, Boolean functions, and cryptography. In: Boztas, S., et al. (eds.) CRC Handbook of Sequences, Codes and Applications. Chapman and Hall/CRC Press (to appear)
4. Chen, Z., Ostafe, A., Winterhof, A.: Structure of pseudorandom numbers derived from Fermat quotients. In: Hasan, M.A., Helleseht, T. (eds.) WAIFI 2010. Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. vol. 6087, pp. 73–85. Springer, Berlin (2010)

5. Ernvall, R., Metsänkylä, T.: On the p -divisibility of Fermat quotients. *Math. Comput.* **66**(219), 1353–1365 (1997)
6. Gomez, D., Winterhof, A.: Multiplicative character sums of Fermat quotients and pseudorandomness. *Period. Math. Hung.* (to appear)
7. Granville, A.: Some conjectures related to Fermat's last theorem. *Number Theory*, pp. 177–192. W. de Gruyter, NY (1990)
8. Heath-Brown, D.: An estimate for Heilbronn's exponential sum. In: *Analytic Number Theory, Proc. Conf. in Honor of Heini Halberstam*, pp. 541–463. Birkhäuser, Boston (1996)
9. Lange, T., Winterhof, A.: Interpolation of the discrete logarithm in \mathbf{F}_q by Boolean functions and by polynomials in several variables modulo a divisor of $q - 1$. *International Workshop on Coding and Cryptography (WCC 2001) (Paris)*. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **128**(1), 193–206 (2003)
10. Lange, T., Winterhof, A.: Incomplete character sums over finite fields and their application to the interpolation of the discrete logarithm by Boolean functions. *Acta Arith.* **101**(3), 223–229 (2002)
11. Ostafe, A., Shparlinski, I.: Pseudorandomness and dynamics of Fermat quotients. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **25**, 50–71 (2011)
12. Rodier, F.: Asymptotic nonlinearity of Boolean functions. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **40**(1), 59–70 (2006)
13. Shparlinski, I.E.: *Cryptographic Applications of Analytic Number Theory: Complexity Lower Bounds and Pseudorandomness*. Birkhäuser (2003)
14. Shparlinski, I.E.: Character sums of Fermat quotients. *Quart. J. Math.* (to appear)
15. Shparlinski, I.E.: Bounds of multiplicative character sums with Fermat quotients of primes. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* (to appear)