

Variation induction in wheat via gamma-rays and hybridization and gains from selection in derived heterogeneous populations for drought tolerance

A.M.M. Al-Naggar¹, Kh.F. Al-Azab², S.E.S.E. Sobieh² and M.M.M. Atta¹

1. Department of Agronomy, Fac. of Agric., Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.

2. Plant Res. Dept., Nuclear Res. Center, Atomic Energy Authority, Inshas, Egypt.

Corresponding Author: A.M.M. Al-Naggar

Paper Information

Received: 14 September, 2015

Accepted: 18 November, 2015

Published: 20 January, 2015

Citation

Al-Naggar AMM, Al-Azab KhF, Sobieh SESE, Atta MMM. 2015. Variation induction in wheat via gamma-rays and hybridization and gains from selection in derived heterogeneous populations for drought tolerance. *Scientia Agriculturae*, 9 (1), 1-15. Retrieved from www.pscipub.com (DOI: 10.15192/PSCP.SA.2015.9.1.115)

ABSTRACT

Selection from established high yielding pure line wheat cultivars would rarely isolate a new genotype. Inducing new genetic variation in bread wheat populations via gamma ray irradiation and hybridization procedures and isolating drought tolerant genotypes from derived heterogeneous populations were the aims of this study. The M₂ populations of seven irradiated wheat genotypes exhibited differences in the magnitude of phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficient of variation and heritability for studied traits under water stress (WS) and well watering (WW) conditions. The highest expected gain from selection (GA) for grain yield/plant (GYPP) was shown by Sids-4 irradiated (I) and Sakha-61 (I) under well watering (WW) and Aseel-5 (I) and Sids-4 (I) under WS conditions. The predicted GA from selection for GYPP in the F₂'s of diallel crosses among six genotypes, reached a maximum of 71.6% under WS for F₂ of (As-5 x Sk-93). Selection for high GYPP and other desirable traits was practiced in the M₂ and F₂ populations under WW and WS. Progenies of these selections (53 M₃ and 109 F₃ families) and their seven parents were evaluated under WW and WS. Selection under WS was more efficient than that under WW for the use under WS. Twelve families (7 M₃'s and 5 F₂'s) significantly outyielded their parents by at least 15 % and reached 74.71% for SF9 (a family selected from F₂ of Sd-4 x Mr-5) under WS and therefore were considered as putative drought tolerant (DT). These DT genotypes were superior in one or more yield component traits as compared with their parents under drought stress.

© 2015 PSCI Publisher All rights reserved.

Key words: *Mutations, Transgressive segregation, Triticum aestivum, Drought tolerance, Selection gain.*

Introduction

Wheat is one of the most important cereal crops of the world's population in more than 40 countries including Egypt. Across the last five years, the average annual consumption of wheat grains is about 14 million tons, while the average annual local production is about 8 million tons with an average grain yield of 18.0 ardab / feddan (6.43 t/ha) (Agricultural statistics, Arab republic of Egypt, 2012). Therefore, the gap between annual local production and consumption of wheat grains is about 6 million tons, which are imported from Russia, France, Australia ...etc. This gap could be narrowed by increasing local production of wheat via two ways. The first way is through vertical expansion, i.e., increasing wheat production per unit area through the development of new cultivars of high yielding ability, early maturity, resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses, and the adoption of recommended cultural practices for growing these cultivars. The second way is through the horizontal expansion, i.e., by increasing the area cultivated with wheat. But the limiting factor for this approach in Egypt is the availability of irrigation water. Potential expansion of wheat area is only possible in the North coast and Egyptian deserts. But the soil in these areas is sandy with low water holding capacity and thus exposes wheat plants to drought stress. Such drought stress causes great losses in wheat yield and its components (Bruckner and Frohberg, 1987, Clarke et al., 1992 and Mirbahar et al., 2009). Using drought tolerant wheat cultivars that consume less water, and can tolerate soil water deficit could solve this problem.

Drought tolerance is the ability of a variety to remain relatively more productive than others under limited water conditions (Blum, 1983). He added that the ideal genotype for moisture stress conditions must combine a reasonably high yield potential with specific plant characters, which could buffer yield against severe moisture stress. To start a proper wheat breeding program for improving drought tolerance, the source populations should possess sufficient genetic variability amenable for selection. Unfortunately, with present distribution of improved high yielding, pure line cultivars in

all of the world's wheat growing areas, selection from established cultivars would rarely isolate a new genotype (Poehlman and Sleper, 1995). Two breeding procedures, i.e., mutation and hybridization are used to induce new genetic variation.

Induction of mutations could be achieved via physical or chemical mutagens (Jain, 2010). The most important physical mutagens include X-rays, gamma-rays and fast neutrons. Gamma-rays are effective in broadening genetic variability and increasing means of wheat cultivars for grain yield and its components, helping plant breeders to practice an efficient selection in the M₂ and next mutated generations (Khanna et al., 1986; Sobieh, 2002; and Al-Naggar et al., 2004 and 2007). In a little less than a century, mutation breeding programs resulted in developing more than 3200 crop varieties that are being grown all over the world; of which 254 mutant wheat varieties were developed by physical mutagens (FAO/IAEA, 2012). The mutants developed in wheat have a great potential for direct release and for inclusion in hybridization breeding programs (Sakin et al., 2005). Numerous wheat cultivars that were developed through induced mutation have been released which possess tolerance to many biotic and abiotic stresses and other improved traits. Mutants induced via gamma rays have been obtained in bread wheat for tolerance to drought leading to the release of 26 varieties worldwide (FAO/IAEA, 2012).

Hybridization is the principal breeding procedure for inducing genetic variability in wheat. The chief role of hybridization is to cross diverse genotypes to create hybrid populations with wide genetic variation from which new recombinations of genes may be selected (Singh, 2000). Transgressive segregation is a phenomenon specific to segregating hybrid generations and refers to the individuals that exceed parental phenotypic values for one or more characters (Rieseberg et al., 1999). Observations on transgressive segregants were previously explained by many researchers (Voigt and Tischler, 1994 and Al-Bakry et al., 2008). Selection from segregating generations of wheat hybrid combinations succeeded to develop new genotypes that possess adaptive traits of drought tolerance, such as early maturity (Menshawy 2007 a and b and Al-Naggar, et al. 2012), glaucousness (Al-Naggar et al 2004 and Al-Bakry 2007), high water use efficiency (Farshadfar et al 2011) and high grain yield/plant under water deficit conditions (Al-Naggar and Shehab-Eldeen 2012 and Tharwat, et al. 2013).

The main objective of the present investigation was to develop new wheat genotypes (putative mutants and transgressive segregants) of high grain yield under water stress conditions. The detailed objectives were: (i) identification of the proper gamma ray dose for the induction of useful mutations in seven wheat genotypes, (ii) estimation of variance components, heritability and expected genetic advance from selection in F₂ populations of diallel crosses among six wheat genotypes and in M₂ populations of seven gamma-irradiated wheat genotypes under water stress and non-stress conditions and (iii) field evaluation of selections under drought stress and estimating the actual progress from selection.

Materials And Methods

This investigation was carried out during the four successive wheat growing seasons 2008 / 2009 through 2011 / 2012 at the Experimental Farm of the Plant Research Department, Nuclear Research Center, Inshas, El-Sharkyia Governorate (The latitude and longitude of the experimental site are 30° 24` N and 31° 35` E, respectively, while the altitude is 20 m above the sea level). The soil of experimental site was sandy loam.

Materials

Seven genotypes of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), Sids-4, Sakha-61, Aseel-5, Sakha-93, Giza-168, Sahel-1 and the line Maryout-5 were used in the present study. Name, pedigree, origin and important traits of these genotypes are presented in Table (1).

Table 1. Pedigree and the most important traits of the studied wheat genotypes.

Genotypes	Designation	Pedigree	Origin	Important trait
Sids-4 cv.	Sd-4	Maya"S"Mon"S"/CMH74.A592/3/Sakha8 X2SD10002-140sd-3sd-1sd-0sd	ARC – Egypt	Earliness
Sakha-61 cv.	Sk-61	Lina/RLA220//7c/Yr"S"CM 15430-25-55-0S-0S	ARC – Egypt	Earliness
Maryout-5 Line	Mr-5	Giza 162 // Bch's /4/ PI-ICW 79Su511Mr- 38Mr-1Mr-0Mr	DRC – Egypt	High yielding and Salt tolerant
Aseel-5 cv.	As-5	BIG INC 08 104	ICARDA - Syria	Drought tolerant
Sakha-93 cv.	Sk-93	Sakha 92/ TR 810328 S8871-1S-2S-1S-0S	ARC – Egypt	High yielding
Giza-168 cv.	Gz-168	Mrl / Buc // Seri CM 930468M-0Y-0M-2Y-0B	ARC – Egypt	High yielding
Sahel-1 cv.	Sah-1	NS 732 / PIMA // VEERY "S"	ARC – Egypt	Drought tolerant

ARC = Agricultural Research Center, DRC = Desert Research Center, ICARDA = International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas, cv. = cultivar.

Experimental procedures

First season (2008/2009)

Testing radiosensitivity of the studied wheat genotypes

Fresh air-dried seeds 12 % moisture content from each of the seven wheat genotypes used in the present study were treated with nine different doses of gamma rays i.e. 0, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 350, 400 and 450; GY, 10 GY = 1 Krad, in order to identify the proper radiation dose for useful mutation induction. Irradiation treatments were achieved by Co⁶⁰ Gamma unit which delivered 20000 GY per hour. Exposure time was adjusted to achieve previous doses. The source of irradiation is installed at the Nuclear Research Center, Inshas, Egypt. The effect of different doses of gamma radiation doses on mean seedling height of all seven genotypes grown in three replicates in plastic containers with 120 grains per treatment was studied after 14 days of sowing. The proper dose for the induction of useful mutations in cereals is that causing 30-50 % reduction in seedling growth in laboratory tests (Konzak and Mikaelson, 1995). This experiment proved that the dose of 350 Gy gamma rays was the best for induction of useful mutations in the studied wheat genotypes and therefore was used in this investigation.

Making the diallel crosses

The six genotypes, viz. Sids-4 (P1), Sakha-61 (P2), the line Maryout-5 (P3), Aseel-5 (P4), Sakha-93 (P5) and Giza-168 (P6) chosen as parents for the diallel crosses were grown in 2008/2009 season at the Experimental Farm of the Plant Research Department, Nuclear Research Center, Inshas. All possible diallel crosses (excluding reciprocals) were made among the six parents, to obtain seeds of 15 F₁ crosses.

Second season (2009/2010)

Producing M₂ seeds

Seeds of each of the seven parents irradiated with the selected dose of gamma ray (350 GY) were immediately sown on 20 Nov., 2009 at the Experimental Farm of Plant Research Department, Nuclear Research Center, Inshas in separate plots to obtain M₁ plants of each bulk. Each plot consisted of 30 rows; each row was 4 m long and 30 cm wide. Spaces between each two plants were 10 cm in each row. The plants were left for natural self pollination. At harvest, ten kernels were taken randomly from each M₁ plant (M₂ seed). The 10 M₂ kernels from each plant of each bulk were blended to represent seed of the respective M₂ bulk. These seeds of M₂ bulks were kept for use in experiments of the third season (2010/2011). The recommended cultural practices for wheat production at Inshas were followed in M₁ generation.

Producing F₂ seeds

F₁ seeds from each of the 15 crosses were sown in the field under well watered conditions in separate plots. The plants were left for natural self pollination. At maturity F₂ seeds of each cross were separately harvested.

Third season (2010/2011)

Field evaluation of the 7 M₂'s and 7 P's

A field experiment including 7 M₂ bulks and 7 parents (14 entries) was conducted in a split-plot design with randomized complete blocks arrangement in 3 replications. Main plots were assigned to the two irrigation regimes (water-stress and well-watering) and sub-plots were assigned to the 14 genotypes. Two irrigation treatments (starting from 21 days after sowing) were used, viz., irrigation every 5 days (well-watering; WW) and irrigation every 15 days (water-stress; WS). The total quantity of irrigation water for WS was 70 % of that for WW. Each sub plot consisted of 6 rows, 3 m long and 30 cm wide, with hills spaced 10 cm apart (plot size = 5.4 m²).

Field evaluation of the 15 F₂'s and their 6 parents

A second field evaluation experiment was conducted to evaluate 15 F₂'s and their 6 parents in the same season using a split-plot design in a randomized complete blocks arrangement with 3 replications. Main plots were assigned to the two irrigation regimes (stress and non-stress) and sub-plots were assigned to the 21 genotypes. Irrigation regimes and experimental plots were similar to those used in the previous experiment.

Practicing selection

Individual plant selection, using ca 1 % selection intensity was practiced in the same season (2010/2011), in both experiments, i.e., in the 15 F₂'s and 7 M₂'s for grain/yield plant and some other favorable traits, such as spike length, spike weight, spikes/plant, earliness, glaucousness...etc., under water stress and non-stress conditions. One hundred and sixty two individual plant selections were separately harvested (53 from M₂ and 109 from F₂ populations).

Fourth season (2011/2012)

Field evaluation of selections and their parents

A field experiment was conducted to compare the selected individual genotypes with their parents. The experimental design used was a split-plot in a balanced lattice (13x13) arrangement with three replications. Main plots were assigned to two irrigation regimes and sub-plots were devoted to 169 genotypes (162 selections + 7 parents). Each plot

consisted of 4 rows, 2.25 m long and 30 cm wide; with hills spaced 10 cm apart (plot size = 2.7 m²). Rainfall in both seasons was very light and scattered with a total precipitation of 10.3 and 13.9 mm for the two seasons, respectively, suggesting that rainfall in these seasons during the stress period was of negligible influence on disappearing the drought symptoms of experimental soil. Moreover, temperature was slightly lower in 2011/2012 season as compared with 2010/2011 season, except the 1st half of April 2011/2012, where it was higher by 5 degrees than that of 2010/2011 season.

Data recorded

Data were recorded on days to 50% heading (DTH), days to 50% anthesis (DTA), days to 50% physiological maturity (DTM), plant height (PH), spike length (SL), spikes/plant (SPP), grains/spike (GPS), spike weight (SW), 100-grain weight (100GW) and grain yield/plant (GYPP). Data on the latter seven traits were measured on 30 individual plants/plot for F₂s, M₂s and on 10 individual plants/plot for F₁s and parents. Data on the 1st three traits were measured on a per plot basis.

Biometrical and genetic analyses

Data were subjected to the normal analysis of variance of split-plot design, for all experiments and LSD value were calculated to verify differences between means according to Snedecor and Cochran (1989). Data was further analyzed under each irrigation regime as RCBD to estimate required genetic parameters.

Genotypic (δ_g^2) and phenotypic (δ_{ph}^2) variances of each of the studied F₂ crosses were estimated separately. Phenotypic variance of each parent was considered as environmental variance according to Shin (1968), while that of the F₂ cross was considered to include both genetic (δ_g^2) and environmental (δ_{ph}^2) variances. Therefore, δ_g^2 of each F₂ cross was calculated using the formula: δ_g^2 of F₂ = δ_{ph}^2 of F₂ - (δ_{ph}^2 of P₁ + δ_{ph}^2 of P₂)/2. Heritability in the broad sense (h_b^2) for each F₂ was estimated as follows: $h_b^2 = 100 (\delta_g^2 / \delta_{ph}^2)$. Expected gain from selection (GA) for each F₂ was estimated using h_b^2 as follows: GA = 100 h_b^2 k δ_{ph} / X , where k = selection differential = 2.64 for 1 % selection intensity used in this study.

Genotypic and phenotypic variances of each M₂ bulk were estimated separately. According to Shin (1968) phenotypic variance (δ_{ph}^2) of untreated plants of each cultivar was considered as environmental variance (δ_{ph}^2), while that of each treated bulk was considered to include both genetic (δ_g^2) and environmental (δ_{ph}^2) variances. Therefore, δ_g^2 of each M₂ bulk was calculated using the formula: { δ_g^2 of M₂ = δ_{ph}^2 of M₂ - (δ_{ph}^2 of corresponding parent)}. The following equations (proposed by Burton 1952) were used to estimate genotypic (GCV) and phenotypic (PCV) coefficients of variations: GCV = (δ_g / x) 100, PCV = (δ_{ph} / x) 100 Where: x = Mean of the respective M₂ population (bulk). Broad-sense heritability (h_b^2) was estimated for each M₂ bulks using the following formula: $h_b^2 = 100 \delta_g^2 / \delta_{ph}^2$. The predicted genetic advance (GA) from selection as suggested by Singh and Chaudhury (2000) was calculated in each M₂ using 1 % selection intensity as follows: GA = 100 h_b^2 k δ_{ph} / x , where k = selection differential = 2.64.

Results And Discussion

Evaluation of M₂ bulks and their parents

Analysis of variance of M₂ bulks and their parents

Analysis of variance of the studied wheat genotypes treated and untreated with gamma rays (350 Gy dose) in M₂ generation under two watering regimes (well watering and water stress) using a split-plot design in RCB arrangement in 2010/2011 season showed that mean squares due to irrigation regimes (W) were significant and highly significant for all studied traits. This indicates that water deficit stress had a significant effect on all studied traits of studied wheat genotypes (M₂ bulks and their parents). Results also exhibited that mean squares due to studied wheat genotypes (G), whether were irradiated (I) or non-irradiated (NI) with gamma rays were highly significant, for all studied traits, suggesting that wheat genotypes (irradiated, I and non-irradiated, NI) used in this study were significantly different for all studied traits. Mean squares due to irradiated vs. non-irradiated wheat genotypes were significant at 0.05 or 0.01 levels of probability for all studied traits, except for days to maturity, spikes/plant and 100 grain weight traits, indicating that irradiation had a significant effect on most studied traits. These results confirm the previous ones reported by other investigators (Sobieh, 1999 and 2002 and Al-Naggar et al 2004 and 2007).

Moreover, mean squares due to genotypes X watering regimes, i.e., G X W were highly significant for all studied traits, except for days to heading and days to anthesis traits, suggesting that studied wheat genotypes behaved differently under different irrigation regimes. This conclusion was confirmed by previous investigators (Fischer and Maurer 1978 and Al-Naggar et al 2004 and 2007).

Partitioning mean squares due to G X W interaction into their components indicated significant or highly significant mean squares due to I X W for five traits, namely DTA, PH, GPS, 100GW and GYPP and due to NI X W for eight traits, namely DTH, DTA, PH, spike weight (SW), SPP, GPS, 100GW and GYPP. Non-irradiated genotypes interacted with watering regimes for most studied traits (8 out of 10), while irradiated genotypes interacted with irrigation regimes for half of studied traits (5 out of 10). The genetic variation induced by irradiation of the studied wheat genotypes might resulted in more adaptation (stability) under different environments (watering regimes) and thus less interaction between genotype and watering regime for 50% of studied traits, viz. DTH, DTM, spike length (SL), SW and SPP. Mean squares due to I X W vs NI X W interaction were significant for 5 out of 10 studied traits, namely DTH, DTA, DTM, PH and GYPP, indicating that irradiated genotypes differ from non-irradiated genotypes in their interaction with watering

regimes for such traits, confirming the above mentioned conclusion that irradiated genotypes were interacting with watering regimes in less number of traits than non-irradiated genotypes.

Mean performance of M₂ bulks and their parent

Genotypic differences existed among studied wheat cultivars, either irradiated (in M₂ generation) or non-irradiated ones under both drought stress and non-stress conditions (Table 3). For the non-irradiated genotypes, the highest yielders were Sakha-61 (40.6 g) followed by Maryout-5 (37.7 g) under well watering and Aseel-5 (28.9 g) followed by Sids-4 (27.0 g) under water stress, while the lowest yielder were Sahel-1 (34.0 g) under WW and Sakha-61 (24.1 g) under WS conditions. For the irradiated genotypes (generally lower yielders than non-irradiated), the highest yielders of them were Sids-4(I) (35.0 g) under WW and Aseel-5(I) (28.1 g) under WS, while the lowest ones were Giza-168(I) (21.8 g) and Sahel-1(I) (22.0 g) under WS environment. The cultivar Aseel-5 followed by Maryout-5 proved to be the best grain yielders under water stress conditions, either with or without irradiation treatment. Under WW conditions, Sakha-61 (non-irradiated) and Sids-4 (irradiated) were the highest yielders.

Table 2. Mean performance of irradiated (I) and non-irradiated (NI) wheat genotypes in M₂ generation evaluated under water stress (WS) and well watering (WW) conditions (Inshas 2010/2011 season).

Genotypes	Days to maturity			Plant height (cm)			Spikes/ plant			Grain yield/plant(g)		
	WW	WS	Ch.%	WW	WS	Ch.%	WW	WS	Ch.%	WW	WS	Ch.%
Sd-4 (NI)	120.3	117.0	2.8	95.4	88.8	6.9	8.1	6.0	26.0	34.8	27.0	22.5
Sd-4 (I)	123.3	120.3	2.4	99.9	84.8	15.1	10.7	8.4	21.3	35.0	25.4	27.3
Sk-61(NI)	124.3	120.3	3.2	82.0	83.6	-2.0	12.5	8.0	36.1	40.6	24.1	40.7
Sk-61 (I)	123.7	122.3	1.1	78.0	75.2	3.5	10.0	10.7	-7.3	22.4	25.6	-14.5
Mr-5 (NI)	125.7	122.7	2.4	96.2	92.7	3.6	7.9	7.3	7.6	37.7	28.1	25.5
Mr-5 (I)	122.7	121.3	1.1	91.7	83.8	8.6	8.1	7.8	4.0	28.6	26.4	7.5
As-5 (NI)	126.7	123.3	2.6	85.6	85.4	0.2	10.9	8.7	19.8	37.3	28.9	22.5
As-5 (I)	122.7	121.3	1.1	82.7	78.2	5.4	9.6	9.4	2.3	29.5	28.1	4.5
Sk-93 (NI)	123.7	121.3	1.9	82.0	79.4	3.2	10.0	8.4	16.3	36.7	26.9	26.7
Sk-93 (I)	123.0	122.3	0.5	76.8	71.2	7.3	8.7	7.7	11.4	25.7	23.0	10.7
Gz-168 (NI)	124.0	121.3	2.2	83.0	80.1	3.5	9.6	8.0	16.3	36.6	26.7	27.0
Gz-168 (I)	122.0	120.7	1.1	79.0	79.6	-0.7	8.1	7.3	10.2	26.2	21.8	17.0
Sah-1 (NI)	122.0	119.7	1.9	88.0	83.7	4.9	9.0	8.2	8.1	34.0	25.4	25.4
Sah-1 (I)	122.3	120.5	1.5	78.6	78.1	0.7	9.1	7.7	15.0	29.8	22.0	26.2
Aver. (NI)	123.8	120.8	2.4	87.5	84.8	3.0	9.7	7.8	19.6	36.8	26.7	27.4
Aver. (I)	122.8	121.3	1.3	83.8	78.7	6.1	9.2	8.4	8.2	28.2	24.6	12.6
LSD _{0.05}												
(W)	0.004			0.002			0.0002			0.016		
(G)	0.09			2.169			0.139			2.373		
(I)	0.462			1.054			0.611			1.203		
G x W	0.362			8.675			0.539			9.494		

Watering (W), G = Genotypes, Ch. = Change = 100 (WW - WS)/WW.

The least reduction in grain yield as a result of water stress was achieved by the M₂ generation of the irradiated cultivar Aseel-5 (4.5 %) followed by Maryout-5 (7.5%). Moreover, the irradiated cultivar Sakha-61 exhibited an increase (14.5%) in grain yield due to water stress as compared to well watering. These three genotypes are of common superiority under water stress and two of them (Maryout-5 and Aseel-5) under non-stress conditions, and thus could be considered the most drought tolerant in this experiment. The superiority of these genotypes in grain yield was accompanied by superiority in spikes/plant.

Many studies have also indicated that there is a genotypic variation in grain yield of wheat M₂ bulks derived via gamma irradiation under water stress and non-stress conditions (Khanna et al 1986, Sobieh 2002 and Al-Naggar et al 2004 and 2007). Several workers reported also wheat genotypic differences under both drought stress and non-stress conditions in number of spikes/plant (Mosaad et al 1995, Moustafa et al 1996, and Al-Naggar et al 2004) and plant height (Sharma and Bhargava 1996, Khanna et al 1986 and Al-Naggar et al 2004 and 2007).

Coefficients of variation of M₂'s

The estimates of phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficients of variation are presented in Table (4). In general, the estimates of PCV were higher than those of GCV, and both PCV and GCV estimates were higher under WW than corresponding estimates under WS conditions in most cases.

Table3. Phenotypic (PCV %) and genotypic (GCV %) coefficient of variation estimates for some studied traits of irradiated (I) bread wheat genotypes under water stress and well watering conditions (Inshas 2010/2011 season).

M ₂ bulk	PCV %					GCV %				
	PH	SPP	GPS	100GW	GYPP	PH	SPP	GPS	100GW	GYPP
Under well watering										
Sd-4 (I)	5.68	25.24	13.17	5.32	28.21	4.20	18.38	6.58	2.40	13.90
Sk-61(I)	11.42	18.94	10.61	4.02	21.74	10.89	6.84	3.03	1.45	12.11
Mr-5 (I)	3.97	21.06	14.60	4.01	16.96	2.92	12.56	5.66	2.46	9.44
As-5 (I)	3.43	19.55	10.19	3.81	20.94	2.36	7.39	4.81	2.04	10.88
Sk-93 (I)	5.14	30.03	12.45	5.04	28.78	3.92	9.20	3.98	1.37	12.26
Gz-168 (I)	3.84	17.28	9.76	4.19	15.02	2.98	7.39	3.71	1.67	7.94
Sah-1 (I)	4.80	25.85	10.90	3.65	29.27	3.91	18.43	4.03	1.46	12.10
Under water stress										
Sd-4 (I)	2.77	23.86	15.27	3.30	22.75	2.12	19.22	3.94	0.55	10.67
Sk-61(I)	4.59	18.58	12.79	3.41	18.76	3.86	7.24	3.88	0.56	7.38
Mr-5 (I)	5.62	13.33	13.74	3.09	18.96	3.34	3.09	4.73	1.54	9.62
As-5 (I)	3.79	20.95	10.11	1.98	19.98	3.02	9.51	4.38	0.60	11.28
Sk-93 (I)	5.31	21.61	11.31	2.11	24.47	4.23	9.24	3.42	0.74	9.91
Gz-168 (I)	4.16	14.90	10.60	2.54	13.98	2.54	5.10	3.67	0.95	7.15
Sah-1 (I)	4.58	12.29	12.99	2.78	14.62	2.59	5.24	4.19	2.04	8.14

PH = Plant height (cm), SPP = Spikes/plant, GPS = Grains/spike, 100GW = 100-grain weight (g), GYPP = Grain yield/plant (g)

The highest estimates of PCV and GCV were exhibited by grain yield/plant and spikes/plant, while the lowest ones were shown by 100-grain weight and spike length. The irradiated cultivar Sids-4(I) recorded the highest estimates of PCV under both WW and WS conditions and highest GCV under WW for all studied traits, including grain yield and the most important yield component, i.e., number of spikes/plant.

The irradiated genotypes Maryout-5 and Sakha-93 under WW and WS came in the second rank (after Sids-4) for PCV estimates of SPP and GPS for Maryout-5 and SPP, GPS and GYPP for Sakha-93. These genotypes could be considered the most responsive ones to induction of more variability via irradiation with a dose of 350 Gy gamma rays, especially for grain yield and spikes/plant of Sids-4 and Sakha-93 under water stress and non-stress conditions. This can help wheat breeder for increasing the efficiency of selection for drought tolerance. This conclusion was also reported by Al-Naggar et al (2004 and 2007) on their work to develop new genetic variation in wheat drought tolerance via irradiation.

Recorded high estimates of PCV and GCV in wheat due to gamma ray irradiation in this study in grain yield and its component are in agreement with those reported by many investigators (Kumar 1976, Dhonukshe and Bhowal 1976, Chowdhury 1982, Kar and Chakrabarti 1983, Sobieh 1999, Agrawal and Mishra 2001 and Al-Naggar et al 2007).

Heritability and expected selection gain in M₂'s

Estimates of heritability in the broad sense (h^2_b) and expected genetic advance from selection (GA) for M₂ bulks derived from irradiated wheat cultivars under well watering and water stress conditions are presented in Tables (5). Heritability estimates in the broad sense in M₂'s were, on average higher under WW than WS for the five traits PH, SPP, GPS, 100GW and GYPP. On average, the highest h^2_b estimate (61.70 and 51.45%) was shown by plant height followed by GYPP (25.21 and 24.10%) and spikes/plant (27.77 and 22.03%) under WW and WS, respectively (Table 5). On the contrary, the lowest average h^2_b was shown by GPS (11.13 %) under WS and (15.53 %) under WW conditions. Under WW, the highest h^2_b for GYPP (31.04%) and plant height (90.96%) were exhibited by Sakha-61(I), for SPP (53.01%) and GPS (24.99%) by Sids-4(I) and 100GW (37.56%) by Maryout-5(I). Under WS, the highest h^2_b for GYPP (31.90%) and GPS (18.80%) were shown by Aseel-5(I), PH (70.52%) by Sakha-61(I), SPP (64.87%) by Sids-4(I) and 100GW (53.92%) by Sahel-1(I).

Gamma rays were found to increase genetic variance as reflected by heritability estimates of the mutated segregating generations for grain yield and its component in wheat (Kumar, 1976, Kar and Chakrabarti 1983, Sobieh 1999 and Al-Naggar et al 2004 and 2007).

The average predicted genetic advance from selection (GA %) of the best 1 % in M₂ bulks was generally higher under well watering than under water stress conditions for all studied traits (Table 5). Under well watering, maximum predicted GA % from selection in M₂'s was achieved from SPP (17.07 %) followed by GYPP (14.79 %). Under water stress conditions, the highest expected GA percentage was obtained from GYPP (11.84 %) and SPP (11.79 %). These two traits (GYPP, SPP) are probably therefore the most responsive ones to selection in M₂ bulks resulted from gamma

irradiation treatment (350 Gy dose of gamma radiation). Few cycles of selection for high values of these traits would be enough to improve these traits either under water stress or non-stress conditions. On the contrary, 100 grain weight exhibited the lowest expected GA % estimate as a result of selection in M₂ populations of wheat cultivars under investigation derived via irradiation with gamma rays.

Maximum gain from selection in M₂'s for high grain yield would be expected to be 18.09 % from Sids-4(I) followed by 17.82 % from Sakha-61(I) under non-stress and 16.83 % from Aseel-5(I) followed by 13.22 % from Sids-4(I) and 12.89 % from Maryout-5(I) under water stress conditions. Under WW, the best responsive M₂ populations to selection are expected to be Sids-4 (I) for GYPP, SPP and GPS Sakha-61 (I) for GYPP and PH and Sahel-1(I) for SPP. While under WS, the best responsive ones are predicted to be Sids-4(I) for SPP and GYPP, Maryout-5(I) for GYPP and Aseel-5(I) for GPS and GYPP.

Table 4. Heritability in broad sense % (h^2_b) and expected genetic advance (GA %) from selection for some studied traits of irradiated (I) bread wheat genotypes under water stress and well watering conditions (Inshas 2010/2011 season).

M ₂ bulk	h^2_b					GA %				
	PH	SPP	GPS	100GW	GYPP	PH	SPP	GPS	100GW	GYPP
Under well watering										
Sd-4 (I)	54.66	53.01	24.99	20.35	24.29	8.21	35.33	8.69	2.85	18.09
Sk-61(I)	90.96	13.04	8.16	12.92	31.04	27.42	6.53	2.28	1.37	17.82
Mr-5 (I)	54.24	35.57	15.01	37.56	30.95	5.69	19.79	5.79	3.98	13.86
As-5 (I)	47.44	14.29	22.24	28.72	27.02	4.31	7.38	5.99	2.90	14.94
Sk-93 (I)	58.01	9.38	10.20	7.43	18.15	7.88	7.44	3.36	0.99	13.79
Gz-168 (I)	60.15	18.31	14.46	16.01	27.94	6.09	8.36	3.72	1.77	11.09
Sah-1 (I)	66.44	50.81	13.69	16.00	17.09	8.42	34.68	3.95	1.55	13.22
Average	61.70	27.77	15.53	19.85	25.21	9.72	17.07	4.83	2.21	14.69
Under water stress										
Sd-4 (I)	58.37	64.87	6.65	2.78	21.99	4.28	40.86	2.69	0.24	13.22
Sk-61(I)	70.52	15.17	9.19	2.70	15.48	8.55	7.44	3.11	0.24	7.67
Mr-5 (I)	35.41	5.38	11.83	24.93	25.74	5.25	1.89	4.29	2.04	12.89
As-5 (I)	63.39	20.61	18.80	9.23	31.90	6.35	11.40	5.03	0.48	16.83
Sk-93 (I)	63.38	18.29	9.12	12.25	16.41	8.90	10.44	2.73	0.69	10.61
Gz-168 (I)	37.26	11.71	11.95	13.95	26.16	4.10	4.61	3.35	0.93	9.66
Sah-1 (I)	31.84	18.18	10.39	53.92	30.99	3.86	5.90	3.56	3.95	11.96
Average	51.45	22.03	11.13	17.11	24.10	5.90	11.79	3.53	1.23	11.84

PH = Plant height (cm), SPP = Spikes/plant, GPS = Grains/spike, 100GW = 100-grain weight (g), GYPP = Grain yield/plant (g)

Since, the efficiency of selection would depend upon the magnitude of variability that is heritable and caused by genetic factors, the higher values, therefore, of heritability accompanied by high genetic advance for the characters studied should be quite valuable. It is obvious from the previous results of this study on M₂ and M₃ bulks, that superior bulks were characterized with having high heritability accompanied by high values of genetic advance for grain yield/plant and one or more yield components.

Genetic improvements in these M₂ bulks can therefore be achieved with care for these characters. Singh and Kumar (1974) also found high heritability and high genetic advance for grain hardness and 100 grain weight in 18 mutant lines (stabilized in M₃ generation) of bread wheat derived via different doses of gamma rays and reached to a similar conclusion.

Many investigators were able to induce genetic variation in the M₂ generation of wheat following irradiation (Singh and Kumar 1974, Savov 1989 and Salam 1998). Salam (1998) reported that grain yield/plant, 100-grain weight and plant height showed significant increase with 7.5 krad in M₃ under drought conditions. He concluded that this probably would indicate the occurrence of drought tolerant genotypes as a result of irradiation. Moreover, Kalia et al (2000) reported that with effective mutagenesis, it was possible to induce mutations and with rigorous screening in M₂ and M₃ generations, and isolate mutant plants with higher grain yield potential, protein content, desirable quality and better rust resistance.

Evaluation of F₂ diallel crosses

Analysis of variance

Analysis of variance of split-plot design for studied traits of 15 F₂ cross populations under two irrigation regimes showed that mean squares due to irrigation regimes were significant or highly for all studied traits, indicating that water stress significantly affected all studied traits of F₂ crosses. Mean squares suggested the existence of highly significant differences among studied F₂ populations for all studied characters. Such significant differences among F₂ populations in bread wheat were also recorded by Al-Naggar et al (2004). Mean squares due to the F₂ crosses X irrigation regimes interaction were significant or highly significant for all studied characters, except for spike length and spikes/plant, which were insignificant. These results suggest that the F₂ populations responded differently to the different irrigation regimes for most studied traits, supporting previous results of Al-Naggar et al (2004).

Mean performance of F₂ diallel crosses and their parents

Data presented in Table (6) indicated great and highly significant differences among parents and F₂ crosses in DTM, PH and GYPP traits under well irrigation (WW). Sids-4 was the earliest parent and matured after 120 days under WW, and 117 days under WS.

Mean performance for DTM, PH and GYPP traits of 15 F₂ crosses and their parents under two irrigation regimes (WS and WW) is also presented in Table (6). Water stress caused a significant reduction in all studied traits of F₂ crosses; however such reduction was slight and ranged from 4.3 % for plant height to 11.5% for grain yield/plant and in parents from 1.9 % for DTM to 25.4 % for GYPP. Small reduction in GYPP due to water stress in F₂ populations (11.5 %) as compared to such reduction of their parents (25.4%) might be due to the more genetic heterogeneity and thus adaptability of F₂'s than parents. Water stress imposed on F₂ populations caused significant earliness in DTM (8 days) and reductions of 4 cm (in PH) and 4.2 g (in GYPP). Similar or little higher reductions in F₂ populations due to water stress were also reported by Al-Naggar et al (2004 and 2007).

Table 5. Means of days to maturity, plant height and grain yield/plant in wheat parents and F₂'s evaluated under water stress (WS) and/or well watering (WW) conditions (2010/2011 season).

Genotype	Days to maturity			Plant height (cm)			Grain yield/plant(g)		
	WW	WS	Cha. %	WW	WS	Cha. %	WW	WS	Cha. %
Parents									
2010/11									
Sd-4	120	117	2.8	95	89	6.3	34.8	27	22.5
Sk-61	124	120	3.2	82	84	-2.2	40.6	24.1	40.7
Mr-5	126	123	2.4	96	93	3.1	37.7	28.1	25.5
As-5	127	123	2.6	85	85	0.0	37.3	28.9	22.5
Sk-93	124	121	1.9	82	79	3.7	36.7	26.9	26.7
Gz-168	124	121	2.2	83	80	3.6	36.6	26.7	27.0
Aver. parents	122	120	1.9	88	85	3.4	37.3	25.4	25.4
F ₂ crosses									
	WW	WS		WW	WS		WW	WS	
Sd-4 X Sk-61	120	118	1.9	90	89	1.8	37.1	34.0	8.5
Sd-4 X Mr-5	123	120	2.2	94	90	4.5	35.7	28.6	19.8
Sd-4 X As-5	136	124	9.3	93	87	6.5	33.1	29.9	9.7
Sd-4 X Sk-93	129	123	4.6	89	87	2.2	36.2	33.6	7.3
Sd-4 X Gz-168	135	126	6.4	90	85	5.0	33.6	28.9	13.9
Sk-61 X Mr-5	133	123	7.3	95	92	3.3	44.5	34.8	21.8
Sk-61 X As-5	136	123	9.6	90	87	3.3	37.6	35.9	4.4
Sk-61 X Sk-93	133	124	6.8	85	83	2.8	36.7	32.3	12.1
Sk-61 X Gz-168	134	126	6.2	90	84	6.2	35.1	32.2	8.2
Mr-5 X As5	135	125	7.2	101	94	6.5	35.8	32.9	8.1
Mr-5 X Sk-93	126	121	4.2	98	94	3.6	39.0	32.6	16.5
Mr-5 X Gz-168	135	123	8.6	97	88	9.4	38.0	33.0	13.2
As-5 X Sk-93	129	124	4.1	89	86	3.5	34.8	33.1	5.0
As-5 X Gz-168	130	125	3.8	85	83	3.3	31.1	28.2	9.5
Sk-93 X Gz-168	125	121	3.7	86	84	2.8	31.8	27.3	14.2
Aver. crosses	131	123	5.7	91	87	4.3	36.0	31.8	11.5
LSD _{0.05} (for F ₁ 's and parents)									
LSD _{0.05} (for F ₂ 's and parents) (G)	1.8			3.47				2.42	
Watering (W)	0.7			2.8				0.8	
G x W	2.54			4.91				3.42	

Cha. = Change % = 100 (WW - WS) / WW

Results indicated significant differences among the 15 F₂ populations in all studied traits under both irrigation regimes regarding their absolute mean performances as well as relative change (reduction) due to water stress. The earliest F₂ cross was Sd-4 X Sk-61 for DTM (120 and 118) under WW and WS, respectively. The second earliest F₂ was Sd-4 X Mr-5. The two F₂ crosses share a common parent, namely Sids-4 which was the earliest parent in both F₁ and F₂ experiments. The tallest F₂ cross was Mr-5 X As-5 (101 and 94 cm), while the shortest ones were Sk-61 X Sk-93 and As-5 X Gz-168 (85 and 83 cm) under WW and WS, respectively. For grain yield attribute under water stress conditions, the F₂ cross Sk-61 X As-5 came in the 1st rank for absolute grain yield/plant (35.9 g) under WS and for the lowest reduction due to water stress (4.4%), indicating that this cross is tolerant to drought stress and would be proper for practicing selection for high grain yield under water stress. The F₂ crosses Sk-61 X Mr-5, Sd-4 X Sk-61, and Sd-4 X Sk-93 came in the 2nd, 3rd and 4th ranks, respectively for absolute GYPP under WS. The two F₂ crosses Sd-4 X Sk-61 and Sd4 X Sk-93 showed low reduction due to water stress (8.5 and 7.3%, respectively). Under non-stress conditions, the best F₂ crosses for absolute GYPP were Sk-61 X Mr-5 (44.5 g), Mr-5 X Sk-93 (39.0 g), Mr-5 X Gz-168 (38.0 g) and Sk-61 X As-5 (37.6 g); without significant differences among the latter three F₂ crosses. Two F₂ crosses (Sk-61 X Mr-5 and Sk-61 X As-5) showed the highest absolute GYPP under both water stress and non-stress conditions. It seems that three out of the six genotypes used in the present hybrids possess desirable complementary combinations of favorable genes for high yield per plant that contribute to the superiority of the hybrid among any two of them, i.e., genes at different chromosomes and/or chromosome locations for the three yield contributing traits.

Heritability and expected genetic advance from selection in F₂s

Separate estimates of phenotypic (δ_p^2) and genotypic (δ_g^2) variances, heritability in the broad sense (h_b^2) and expected genetic advance (GA%) from selection of the best 1 % based on h_b^2 in each F₂ generation of the studied 15 diallel crosses for GYPP are presented in Table (7). Results of this table indicate that the F₂ crosses differ in the magnitude δ_p^2 , δ_g^2 , h_b^2 and

Table 7. Estimates of some genetic parameters for grain yield per plant of each F₂ cross under water stress (WS) and well watering (WW) conditions (Inshas 2010/2011 season).

F ₂ Cross	Well watering (WW)				Water stress (WS)			
	δ_p^2	δ_g^2	h_b^2	GA %	δ_p^2	δ_g^2	h_b^2	GA %
Sd-4XSk-61	4.5	1.3	29.6	4.5	63.6	17.0	26.8	16.7
Sd-4XMr-5	25.6	7.5	29.3	11.6	42.8	7.5	17.5	10.7
Sd-4XAs-5	27.3	9.2	33.6	14.9	62.0	16.8	27.1	18.9
Sd-4XSk-93	75.4	29.7	39.4	26.0	63.6	18.0	28.2	17.7
Sd-4XGz-168	28.0	12.3	44.0	19.5	23.6	3.3	13.9	6.2
Sk-61XMr-5	44.4	24.1	54.2	21.5	43.4	11.8	27.3	14.4
Sk-61XAs-5	24.2	8.0	33.0	11.6	83.4	41.1	49.3	33.2
Sk-61XSk-93	19.9	6.4	32.3	10.4	32.2	2.8	8.7	4.1
Sk-61XGz-168	20.1	9.9	49.0	18.9	46.5	5.2	11.2	6.2
Mr-5XAs-5	41.5	17.2	41.5	20.6	80.9	49.3	60.9	44.0
Mr-5XSk-93	116.6	81.8	70.1	52.5	48.4	13.5	27.9	16.2
Mr-5XGz-168	42.6	22.5	52.9	24.0	67.4	14.0	20.8	13.7
As-5XSk-93	36.2	18.7	51.5	24.2	149.1	109.3	73.4	71.6
As-5XGz-168	30.2	8.8	29.2	14.4	52.1	8.9	17.0	11.6
Sk-93XGz-168	40.8	13.1	32.2	17.7	56.3	14.7	26.1	18.9

H = higher limit of the range. R = higher limit – lower limit of the range.

GA. Based on the genetic parameters estimates in this table, it could be concluded that under well watering, it is predicted that the best F₂ population is that of the cross Mr-5 X Sk-93 for selection to improve GYPP followed by Sd-4 X Sk-93, As-5 X Sk-93, Mr-5 X Gz-168 and Sk-61 X Mr-5. Under water stress, it is expected that the best responsive F₂ cross to selection is As-5 X Sk-93 for selection for high GYPP followed by Mr-5 X As-5, Sk-61 X As-5, Sd-4 X As-5 and Sk-93 X Gz-168. It is interesting to note that Sk-61 X As-5 was the highest yielding F₂ cross under WS and the most drought tolerant F₂ cross (lowest reduction in GYPP due to water stress). Moreover, the F₂ cross As-5 X Sk-93 was high yielding and tolerant to WS. These crosses are expected to release more drought tolerant transgressive segregants.

Agronomic characterization of the best 12 selected M₃ and F₃ families

The best twelve selected families (SF) included 7 M₃ families; two (SF2 from M₂ of Sakha-93 and SF3 from M₂ of Giza-168) selected under WS, and five (SF1 from M₂ of Aseel-5, SF4 and SF5 from M₂ of Giza-168, SF6 and SF7 from M₂ of Sahel-1) selected under WW and 5 F₃ families; three (SF9, SF10 and SF11) selected under WS, from the F₂ of Sd4 X Mr5, Sk61 X As5 and Sk61 X Sk93, respectively and two (SF8 and SF12) selected under WW, from the F₂ of Sd4 X Sk61 and Mr5 X Sk93, respectively. Means of studied traits of the best 12 families and the 7 parental genotypes under WS and WW are presented in Table (8). On average, under WS conditions the group of the best 5 F₃ families showed the highest mean grain yield (41.2g), while the group of 7 parents exhibited the lowest grain yield (26.6g). Moreover, yield reduction due to water stress in the best M₃ and best F₃ groups (12.0 and 13.3% on average, respectively) was less than that of the parents group (17.1%). This means that, in this experiment, selection practiced in both M₂ and F₂ populations was effective in producing higher yielding families under WS than the original parents and the success of the two procedures, i.e., gamma-ray mutation induction and hybridization followed by transgressive segregation, in isolating new variants of higher drought tolerance. This conclusion was previously confirmed by Sobieh (2002) and Al-Naggaret al. (2004 and 2007) for the success of mutation breeding and Al-Naggaret al. (2004 and 2012). It is worth noting that the group of best F₃ families was, on average, earlier than the group of parents for DTH (by 5.3 days), DTA (by 3.9 days) and DTM (by 1.9 days) under WS Table (8).

Comparing all the 12 best families (Table 9), it is interesting to mention that the best families in grain yield/plant under water stress were SF9 (45.6g), followed by SF11 (44.2g) and SF3 (42.8g) with a very low reduction due to water stress (6.9, 6.2 and 11.2%,

Table 8. Mean performance of the 12 best selected families (7 best M₃ and best 5 F₃ families) and their parents for studied wheat traits under water stress (WS) conditions (2011/ 2012 season).

Genotypes	DTH (day)	DTA (day)	DTM (day)	PH (cm)	SL (cm)	SW (g)	SPP (No)	GPS (No)	100GW (g)	GYPP (g)	Red. %
Best M₃											
SF1	95	111	141	95	13.7	3.6	11.7	75	4.4	42.1	10.0
SF2	102	112	141	96	14.1	3.3	13.3	68	4.7	42.0	12.1
SF3	91	102	135	89	14.1	3.7	11.9	74	4.3	42.8	11.2
SF4	94	103	137	87	13.7	4.0	10.1	71	4.4	39.9	10.1
SF5	93	102	137	84	13.5	3.5	11.3	65	4.6	39.3	11.9
SF6	95	105	129	101	13.4	3.7	10.9	68	4.8	40.2	13.0
SF7	98	111	139	80	13.1	3.3	11.9	64	4.8	38.2	15.3
Av. (M ₃)	95.4	106.6	137.0	90.3	13.7	3.6	11.6	69.3	4.6	40.6	12.0
Best F₃											
SF8	89	98	131	103	13.5	3.6	10.9	67	5.0	38.2	11.6
SF9	82	92	131	97	14.3	4.1	11.2	71	5.0	45.6	6.9
SF10	92	100	132	90	12.0	4.0	9.7	72	5.5	38.5	29.0
SF11	88	96	133	85	13.9	3.9	11.4	64	5.6	44.2	6.2
SF12	87	99	131	85	16.3	5.0	8.0	64	5.6	39.4	12.6
Av. (F ₃)	87.6	97	131.6	92	14	4.1	10.2	67.6	5.3	41.2	13.3
Parents											
Sids-4	87	95	132	96	16.2	4.3	5.3	84.0	5.0	23.1	24.6
Sakha-61	92	100	132	79	10.3	3.1	8.1	63.0	4.4	24.8	17.7
Maryout-5	95	103	138	94	14.2	3.8	6.9	76.0	4.9	26.1	13.4
Aseel-5	96	101	132	92	13.1	3.4	9.1	69.0	4.6	33.3	10.6
Sakha-93	94	101	132	81	12.2	3.2	8.7	66.0	4.4	28.2	17.0
Giza-168	95	102	136	86	12.6	3.6	7.3	65.0	4.2	26.0	15.5
Sahel-1	94	107	133	100	13.3	3.3	7.5	68.0	4.8	24.7	20.8
Av. (P)	92.9	100.9	133.5	89.9	13.1	3.5	7.6	70.1	4.6	26.6	17.1
LSD _{0.05}	0.67	0.58	0.56	1.08	0.13	0.08	0.13	0.90	0.07	0.80	

Red. (Reduction %) = 100(GYPP under WW - GYPP under WS)/ GYPP under WW, P = Parents, Av. = Average F₃ = best F₃ families, M₃ = best M₃ families.

respectively). It is worth noting that the best three families under WS resulted from selection for high yield under water stress conditions.

The earliest M₃ family for DTM was SF6 as compared with the earliest parents Sids-4, Sakha-61 and Aseel-5, under water stress. The best M₃ and F₃ families for grain yield/plant were characterized by high value of one or more of yield components.

Practicing selection in the F₂ generation of the studied crosses resulted in a significant superiority (selection gain) over the better parent of the corresponding cross in grain yield/plant ranging from 15.48 % for SF10 to 74.71 % for SF9 under water stress and from 32.76% for SF12 to 60.24 % for SF9 under non-stress conditions (Table 9). The SF9 selected F₃ family showed the highest selection gain under both water stress and non-stress conditions.

The five selected F₃ families (SF8, SF9, SF10, SF11 and SF12) showed significant superiority in grain yield over their better parents under both stress and non-stress conditions. These superior families in grain yield are the result of transgressive segregation and may be considered promising lines having tolerance to drought conditions. Observations on transgre-

Table 9. Actual progress (%) of the best selections over the original parent (from M₂'s) and over the better parent (from F₂'s) for DTM, SPP and GYPP under water stress (WS) and well watering (WW) conditions (2011/ 2012 season).

Best families	Pedigree	DTM		SPP		GYPP	
		WW	WS	WW	WS	WW	WS
Best M ₃ families		Progress (%) over the original parent					
SF1	As-5-WW-PM5	6.77	7.22	21.78	28.57	25.44	26.27
SF2	Sk-93-WS-PM2	5.97	7.22	40.21	52.87	40.71	49.04
SF3	Gz-168-WS-PM2	-1.09	-0.74	53.01	63.01	56.34	64.36
SF4	Gz-168-WW-PM5	1.09	0.74	31.33	38.36	44.02	53.23
SF5	Gz-168-WW-PM6	1.09	0.74	50.6	54.79	44.66	50.92
SF6	Sh-1-WW-PM6	-2.6	-3.01	40.24	45.33	48.03	62.62
SF7	Sh-1-WW-PM7	4.83	4.51	50	58.67	44.50	54.53
Best F ₃ families		Progress (%) over better parent					
SF8	Sd4XSk.61-WW-PS8	-0.37	-0.76	26.37	34.57	41.27	54.22
SF9	Sd4XMr5-WS-PS2	-1.12	-0.76	68.06	62.32	60.24	74.71
SF10	Sk61XAs5-WS-PS3	0.37	0.00	17.82	6.59	45.27	15.48
SF11	Sk61XSk93-WS-PS2	0.37	0.76	20.62	31.03	38.65	56.85
SF12	Mr5XSk93-WW-PS8	-0.75	-0.76	-2.06	-8.05	32.76	39.82

ssive segregation in segregating hybrid generations were previously explained by several research workers, e.g., Al-Bakry et al. (2008). The results from classical genetic studies have provided fairly convincing evidence for the hypotheses that transgressive segregation can result from the complementary gene action (Vega and Frey, 1980).

Practicing selection for high grain yield in the M₂ populations derived from gamma radiation treatment of parent cultivars of wheat resulted in an actual progress over the corresponding original parent in GYPP ranging from 26.27 to 64.36% under WS for SF1 and SF3, respectively (Table 9). The SF3 selected M₃ family showed the highest selection gain followed by SF6 (62.62 % under WS). These two M₃ families showed also superiority in SPP and in DTM, i.e., earliness of maturity.

Superiority in grain yield of the 12 best families over the Egyptian cultivar Sids-4 reached 97.8% for SF9, 91.8% for SF11 and 85.7% for SF3 under water stress. The twelve selected families should further be selfed for more generation to reach complete homozygosity to be tested for their stability under a variety of water stress conditions.

The most important traits of the best 12 selections

SF1: It is a high yielding mutant under WS (2nd highest best M₃'s) with low reduction (10.0%) due to water stress, i.e., drought tolerant. It recorded the highest number of grains/spike amongst the 7 best M₃ families (Fig. 1).

SF2: It is a high yielding mutant under WS conditions; with low yield reduction due to water stress (drought tolerant). It recorded the highest number of spikes (Fig. 2) under water stress (13.3).

SF3: This mutant ranked first in grain yield/plant amongst the 7 best M₃ families under both WS and WW conditions; with low yield reduction due to water stress, i.e., a drought tolerant family. It recorded the second largest number of grains/spike under WS and the longest spike (Fig. 1) and the earliest in DTH and DTM under WW and WS.

SF4: It is a high yielding mutant under both WW and WS; with low yield reduction due to water stress, i.e., drought tolerant. It recorded the heaviest spike and grain (Fig. 3) under both irrigation regimes.

SF5: It is a high yielding mutant under WS conditions; with low reduction in GYPP due to water stress, i.e., a drought-tolerant family.

SF6: It is a high yielding mutant under WS conditions, with low reduction in GYPP due to water stress, i.e., a drought-tolerant family. It ranked the earliest amongst the best 12 families and the 7 parents. It recorded the heaviest grain (Fig. 3) under both irrigation regimes.

SF7: It is a high yielding M_3 family under both WW and WS conditions; with low yield reduction due to water stress. It is also characterized by the shortest plant height, the heaviest grain (Fig. 4) and the second highest in SPP (Fig. 2) amongst the 7 best selected M_3 families.

SF8: It is a transgressive segregant in the F_3 generation. It showed high GYPP under WS; with low yield reduction due to water stress. It also recorded the tallest plant (Fig. 4) and was earlier than the earliest parent.

SF9: It is a transgressive segregant in the F_3 generation. It showed the highest GYPP under WS; with the second lowest yield reduction (6.9%) due to WS, i.e, the 2nd most drought tolerant F_3 family. It is the earliest F_3 for DTH and DTA (Fig. 5).

SF10: It is a transgressive segregant in the F_3 generation. It recorded significantly higher yield than the best parent (Mr-5) under drought stress conditions. This family (SF10) also recorded the heaviest grain (Fig. 6) under both irrigation regimes.

SF11: It is a transgressive segregant in the F_3 generation. It is the most drought tolerant selected family; since reduction in its yield due to water stress was lowest (6.2 %). Its yield under WS ranked the second highest and amongst the 5 best F_3 families. This selected family showed the heaviest grain (Fig 6) under both WW and WS conditions.

SF12: It is a high yielding family under WS; with low yield reduction (12.6 %) due to water stress. It is characterized by the longest and heaviest spike (Fig. 7).




Figure1. The highest number of grains/spike for SF1 and SF3 as compared with their parents As-5 and Gz-168, respectively, and the longest spike for SF3.




Figure2. The highest number of spikes for SF2 and SF7as compared with their parents Sk-93 and Sh-1, respectively.



Figure 3. The heaviest grain for SF4 and (SF6 and SF7) as compared with their parents Gz-168 and Sh-1, respectively.



Figure4. The earliest maturity and tallest plant shown by SF8 as compared with the better parent Sids-4



Figure 5. The earliest heading shown by SF9 as compared with the better parent Sids-4



Figure 6. The heaviest grains shown by SF10 and SF11 as compared with the better parent Sakha-61



Figure7. The longest and heaviest spike of SF12 as compared with the better parent Maryout-5 and Sakha-93

Conclusions

Gamma rays and hybridization were effective in increasing genetic variability as reflected by high heritability estimates accompanied with high values of expected genetic advance from selection in the resulting heterogeneous populations for grain yield and its components in wheat. Selection practiced in both M_2 and F_2 populations was effective in producing higher yielding F_3 families under water stress (WS) than the original parents, suggesting the success of the two breeding procedures, in isolating new variants of higher drought tolerance. It is worth noting that the best F_3 families under WS resulted from selection for high yield under water stress conditions. Practicing selection for high grain yield in the M_2 and F_2 populations resulted in an actual progress over the corresponding original (better) parent in GYPP under WS ranging from 15.48 to 74.71% for SF10 and SF9, respectively. The twelve selected families should further be selfed for more generations to reach complete homozygosity to be tested for their stability under a variety of water stress conditions.

References

- Agrawal S, Mishra MN.2001. Studies on variability parameters for seed density in gamma-rays in wheat. *Journal of Interacademia* 5(2): 138-141.
- Al-Bakry MRI.2007. Glaucous wheat mutants. I. Agronomic performance and epicuticular wax content. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* 11(1):1-9.
- Al-Bakry MRI, Al-Naggar AMM, Moustafa HAM.2008. Improvement of grain yield of a glaucous wheat mutant line via backcrossing. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* 12 (2): 123-131.
- Al-Naggar AMM, Shehab- El- Deen MT. 2012. Predicted and actual gain from selection for early maturing and high yielding wheat genotypes under water stress conditions. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* 16 (3) : 73 -92.
- Al-Naggar AMM, Abdel- Raouf MS, El- Borhamy HS, Shehab- El- Deen MT.2012. Gene effects controlling inheritance of earliness and yield traits of bread wheat under drought stress conditions. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* 16(3): 41- 59.
- Al-Naggar AMM, Atta MM, Shaheen AM, Al-Azab KhF. 2007. Gamma rays and EMS induced drought tolerant mutants in bread wheat. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* 11 (3): 135-165.
- Al-Naggar AMM, Ragab, AEI, Youssef SS, Al-Bakry RIM. 2004. New genetic variation in drought tolerance induced via irradiation and hybridization of Egyptian cultivars of bread wheat. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* 8:353-370.
- Blum A. 1983. Breeding Programs Improving Drought Resistance to Water Stress. In C.D. Jr, Raper and P.J. Kramer (eds.), *Crop Reaction to Water and Temperature Stresses in Humid, Temperate Climates*. Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado, USA, pp: 263-275.
- Bruckner PL, Froberg RC.1987. Stress tolerance and adaptation in spring wheat. *Crop Sci.* 27: 31-36.
- Burton GW. 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. *Proc. 6th Int. Grassland Cong.* 1:277-283.
- Chowdhury RK.1982. Induced quantitative variation in wheat. *Wheat Inform. Services* 54: 27-31.
- Clarke JM, DePauw RM, Townley-Smith TF. 1992. Evaluation of methods for quantification of drought tolerance in wheat. *Crop Sci.* 32 (3): 723-728.
- Dhonukshe BL, Bhowal JG.1976. Radiation induced polygenic variability in dwarf wheat. *Ind. J. Genetics Plant Breed.* 36:1-5.
- FAO/IAEA.2012. Mutant Variety Database. Cereals and Legumes. December, 2012. FAO/IAEA, Vienna. <http://mvgs.iaea.org>.
- Farshadfar E, Galiba G, Zahravi M, Sutka J.2001. Generations mean analysis of drought tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Acta Agronomica Hungarica* 49(1): 59-66.
- Fischer RA, Maurer R. 1978. Drought resistance in spring wheat cultivars. I. Grain yield responses. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 29:897-912.
- Jain SM. 2010. Mutagenesis in crop improvements under climate changes. *Romanian biotechnological letters* 15 (2): 88-106.
- Kar GN, Chakrabarti SN. 1983. Induced micromutations in bread wheat. *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding* 43(2):218-220.
- Khanna VK, Bajpai GC, Hussain SM. 1986. Effect of gamma radiation on germination and mature plant characters of wheat and triticale. *Haryana Agricultural University Journal of Research* 16 (1): 42-50.
- Konzak C F, Mikaelson K.1995. Induced mutation techniques in seed-propagated species. In: *Manual on Mutation Breeding*, 2nd edition. IAEA, Vienna pp.126.
- Kumar D.1976. Studies on Co⁶⁰ gamma ray induced variability in common wheat cultivar K68. *Egypt. J. Genet. Cytol.* 6: 229.
- Menshawy AMM. 2007a. Evaluation of some early bread wheat genotypes under different sowing dates:1. Earliness characters. *Egypt. J. Plant Breed.* 11(1):25-40.
- Mirbahar AA, Markhand GS, Mahar AR, Abro SA, Kanhar NA. 2009. Effect of water stress on yield and yield components of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties. *Pak. J. Bot.* 41(3): 1303-1310.
- Mosaad MG, Ortiz-Ferrara G, Mahalakshmi V.1995. Tiller development and contribution to yield under different moisture regimes in two *Triticum* species. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 174 (3): 173-180.
- Moustafa MA, Boersma L, Kronstad WE. 1996. Response of four spring wheat cultivars to drought stress. *Crop Sci.* 36 (4): 982-986.

- Poehlman JM, Sleper DA.1995. Breeding Field Crops. 4th Iowa State University Press, Ames, USA 494P.
- Rieseberg LH, Archer MA, Wayne RK. 1999. Transgressive segregation, adaptation and speciation. *Heredity* 83: 363-372.
- Sakin MA, Gokmen S, Yildirim A.2005. Investigation of mutants induced in durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) for yield and some agronomic and quality traits. *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences* 4(3): 279-283.
- Sharma HP, Bhargava SC. 1996. Relative sensitivity of wheat genotypes under moisture stress conditions. *Annals of Biology Ludhiana*. 12 (1): 39-42.
- Shin YI. 1968. X-ray induced variation in the quantitative characters of rice. *Nat. Genet. Jap. Ann. Rep.* 19: 96-97.
- Singh BD. 2000. Breeding for resistance to abiotic stresses. I. Drought resistance. In: *Plant Breeding Principles and Methods*. 381-409 pp.
- Singh RK, Chaudhary BD. 2000. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Puplishers, Ludhiana, New Delhi. 318 p.
- Snedecor GW, Cochran WG.1989. *Statistical Method*. 8th ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, USA.
- Sobieh El-SSS. 1999. Improving grain yielding ability of six rarely-tillering wheat varieties through increasing spikes number per plant by using gamma rays. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.* Vol. 26 (3A): 445-455.
- Sobieh El-SSS.2002. Induction of short culm mutants for bread wheat by using gamma rays. *Arab Journal of Nuclear Sciences and Applications* 35 (1): 309-317.
- Tharwat E, Akbar H, Jaime A, Teixeira DS.2013. Genetic analysis and selection for bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) yield and agronomic traits under drought conditions. *International Journal of Plant Breeding* 7 (1):61- 68.
- Vega U, Frey KJ.1980. Transgressive segregation in inter and intraspecific crosses of barley. *Euphytica* 29: 585-594.
- Voigt PW, Tischler CR.1994. Leaf characteristic variation in hybrid lovegrass populations. *Crop Sci.* 34: 679-684.