

Relations and Their Properties

Definition 13.1: Let A and B be sets. A binary relation from A to B is a subset of $A \times B$.

Example 13.1: Let $A = \{a, b, c\}$ and $B = \{1, 2\}$.

$$A \times B = \{(a, 1), (a, 2), (b, 1), (b, 2), (c, 1), (c, 2)\}. \quad (1)$$

Now, by definition, any subset of (1) will be a relation from A to B . Since $|A \times B| = 6$, therefore we have $2^6 = 64$ relations from A to B . Some examples of these relations are \emptyset [of size zero] and $A \times B$ [of size 6].

How many relations from A to B of size one? We have $C(6, 1) = 6$ relations from A to B , some examples are $\{(a, 1)\}, \{(a, 2)\}, \{(b, 1)\}$, and $\{(b, 2)\}$.

How many relations from A to B of size 2? We have $C(6, 2) = \frac{6 \times 5}{2} = 15$ relations. Some examples are: $\{(a, 1), (a, 2)\}, \{(a, 1), (b, 1)\}, \{(a, 1), (b, 2)\}$, and $\{(a, 2), (b, 2)\}$.

How many relations from A to B of size three? We have $C(6, 3) = \frac{6 \times 5 \times 4}{3 \times 2 \times 1} = 20$ relations. Some examples are: $\{(a, 1), (a, 2), (b, 1)\}, \{(a, 1), (a, 2), (b, 2)\}, \{(a, 1), (a, 2), (c, 1)\}$ and $\{(a, 1), (a, 2), (c, 2)\}$.

How many relations from A to B of size four? We have $C(6, 4) = \frac{6 \times 5 \times 4 \times 3}{4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1} = 15$.

Some examples are : $\{(a, 1), (a, 2), (b, 1), (b, 2)\}, \{(a, 1), (a, 2), (b, 1), (c, 1)\}, \{(a, 1), (a, 2), (b, 1), (c, 2)\}$, and $\{(a, 1), (a, 2), (b, 2), (c, 1)\}$.

How many relations from A to B of size five? We have $C(6, 5) = \frac{6 \times 5 \times 4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1}{5!} = 6$.

some examples are: $\{(a, 1), (a, 2), (b, 1), (b, 2), (c, 1)\}$ and $\{(a, 1), (c, 2), (a, 2), (b, 2), (c, 1)\}$.

Definition 13.2: A relation on the set A is a relation from A to A . i.e., it is a subset of $A \times A$.

Example 13.2: Let $A = \{1,2,3,4\}$, Let $R \subseteq A \times A$ defined by

$R = \{(a, b): a \text{ divides } b\}$. Write R.

Solution: $R = \{(1,1), (1,2), (1,3), (1,4), (2,2), (2,4), (3,3), (4,4)\}$.

Example 13.3: Let $A = \{a_i: 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. How many relations on A?

Since a relation on A is a subset of $A \times A$, we conclude that the number of relations on A equals to the number of subsets of $A \times A$

$$= 2^{|A \times A|} = 2^{n^2}.$$

Definition 13.3: A relation R on a set A is called reflexive if $(a, a) \in R$ for every $a \in A$. This means the statement : " $(a \in A) \rightarrow (a, a) \in R$ " is tautology.

Example 13.4: consider the following relations on $A = \{1,2,3,4\}$:

$$R_1 = \{(1,1), (1,2), (2,1), (2,2), (3,4), (4,1), (4,4)\}.$$

$$R_2 = \{(1,1), (1,2), (2,1)\}.$$

$$R_3 = \{(1,1), (1,2), (1,4), (2,1), (2,2), (3,3), (4,1), (4,4)\}.$$

$$R_4 = \{(2,1), (3,1), (3,2), (4,1), (4,2), (4,3)\}.$$

$$R_5 = \{(3,4)\}.$$

Which of these relations are reflexive?

R_1 is not reflexive since $3 \in A$ but $(3,3) \notin R_1$. Note that the statement

"if $a \in A$ then $(a, a) \in R_1$ " is not tautology (Check this using truth table method).

R_2 is not reflexive since $2, 3 \in A$ but $(2,2) \notin R_2$ and $(3,3) \notin R_2$. Note that the statement " if $a \in A$ then $(a, a) \in R_2$ " is not tautology. (Check this using truth table method).

R_3 is reflexive since " if $a \in A \rightarrow (a, a) \in R_3$ "is tautology. If $a=1, 1 \in A$ is true and $(1,1) \in R_3$ is true, hence the "if statement" is true. Repeat this for all values of a .

R_4 is not reflexive since the statement "if $a \in A \rightarrow (a, a) \in R_4$ " is not tautology. (for example at $a=1, 1 \in A$ is true, $(1,1) \in R_4$ is false).

R_5 is not reflexive since $1 \in A$ but $(1,1) \notin R_5$.

Lemma (13.1). Let A be a set of size n . Then there are $2^{(n^2-n)}$ reflexive relations on A .

Proof: Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\}$ and $R \subseteq A \times A$ be any relation on A .

In order that R be a reflexive relation on A , it must be of the form:

$R = MD \cup B$, where $MD = \{(a_1, a_1), (a_2, a_2), (a_3, a_3), \dots, (a_n, a_n)\}$

$B =$ any subset of $[(A \times A) - MD]$.

The MD can be chosen with one way only while B can be chosen with $2^{(n^2-n)}$ ways. Therefore by the product rule of counting, the number of reflexive relations on A will be $1 \times 2^{(n^2-n)} = 2^{(n^2-n)}$.

Definition 13.4: Let R be a relation on A . R is called symmetric if for all $a, b \in A$, $(a, b) \in R$ if and only if $(b, a) \in R$. (i.e., the statement, " $\forall a, b \in A, (a, b) \in R \leftrightarrow (b, a) \in R$ ") is a tautology.)

Example 13.5: in the previous example, R_1 is not symmetric since

$$(3,4) \in R_1 \quad \text{but} \quad (4,3) \notin R_1$$

R_2 is symmetric since the statement " $\forall a, b \in A, (a, b) \in R_2 \leftrightarrow (b, a) \in R_2$ " is tautology.

R_3 is also symmetric for the same reason.

R_4 is not symmetric since $(2,1) \in R_4$ but $(1,2) \notin R_4$.

Therefore the statement "for all $a, b \in A, (a, b) \in R_4 \leftrightarrow (b, a) \in R_4$ " is not a tautology.

R_5 is a symmetric relation. Why?

Lemma 13.2: Let A be any set of size n . There are $2^{(n^2+n)/2}$ symmetric relations on A .

Proof: Let $MD = \{(a_i, a_i) : i \in N\}$, where N is the set of natural numbers.

And $B = \{(a_i, a_j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq N, i, j \in N\}$. Note that any subset of MD is symmetric. Also, any subset K of B such that $(a_i, a_j) \in K$ iff $(a_j, a_i) \in K$, is symmetric. Therefore, for any relation on A to be symmetric, it must be the union of subsets one from MD and the other is a subset of K . It is easy to see that there are 2^n subsets of MD , and $2^{(2n^2-n)/2}$ subsets of K . Hence, by the product rule of counting, it follows that there are $2^n \times 2^{(n^2-n)/2} = 2^{(n^2+n)/2}$ symmetric relations on A .

Lemma 13.3: Let A be any set of size n . There are $2^{(n^2-n)/2}$ relations on A which is reflexive and symmetric.

Proof: Note that the MD set is symmetric and reflexive. Therefore, for a relation, R , on A to be symmetric and reflexive it must contain MD . This means that: $R = MD \cup K$. Hence, by the product rule of counting, the number of symmetric and reflexive relations on $A = 1 \times 2^{(n^2-n)/2} = 2^{(n^2-n)/2}$.

Example 13.6: Let $A = \{1,2\}$. There are $2^4 = 16$ relations on A . There are $2^2 = 4$ reflexive relations on A , namely, they are :

$R_1 = MD = \{(1,1), (2,2)\}$, $R_2 = MD \cup \{(1,2)\}$, $R_3 = MD \cup \{(2,1)\}$, and $R_4 = MD \cup \{(1,2), (2,1)\}$.

There are $2^3 = 8$, symmetric relations on A , namely, $R_5 = \emptyset$, $R_6 = A \times A$, $R_7 = MD$, $R_8 = \{(1,2), (2,1), (1,1)\}$, $R_9 = \{(1,2), (2,1), (2,2)\}$ and $R_{10} = \{(1,2), (2,1)\}$.

There are $2^1 = 2$ relations on A which are reflexive and symmetric, namely, $R_{11} = MD$, $R_{12} = A \times A$.

Definition 13.5: A relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ is called antisymmetric if the statement "for all $a, b \in A$, if $[(a, b) \in R \wedge (b, a) \in R] \rightarrow (a = b)$ " is a tautology.

Example 13.7: in example 13.4, $R_1, R_2,$ and R_3 are not antisymmetric since :

(1) $(1,2) \in R_1 \wedge (2,1) \in R_1$ but $1 \neq 2$. [note that the truth value of " $[(1,2) \in R_1 \wedge (2,1) \in R_1] \rightarrow (1 = 2)$ " is F

(2) $(2,1) \in R_2 \wedge (1,2) \in R_2$ but $1 \neq 2$.

(3) $(1,4) \in R_3 \wedge (4,1) \in R_3$ but $1 \neq 4$.

The relations R_4, R_5 are antisymmetric since the statement

" $\forall a, b \in A [(a, b) \in R_4 \wedge (b, a) \in R_4] \rightarrow (a = b)$ " is tautology.

Similar condition concerning R_5 can be written .

Definition 13.6: A relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ is called transitive if the following statement " $\forall a, b, c \in A, [(a, b) \in R \wedge (b, c) \in R] \rightarrow (a, c) \in R$ " is a tautology.

Example 13.8: in example 13.4

R_2 is not transitive since $(2,1) \in R_2 \wedge (1,2) \in R_2$ but $(2,2) \notin R_2$.All other relations stated in example 13.4 are transitive.

Homework : write the truth table for statement in definition 13.6 to prove that these relations are transitive.

Definition 13.7: A relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ is called an irreflexive relation on A iff the following statement is tautology: " if $a \in A$ then $(a, a) \notin R$ " .

Lemma 13.4: Let A be any set of size n . there are $2^{(n^2-n)}$ irreflexive relations on A .

Proof: Let $R \subseteq A \times A, |A| = n$. For R to be an irreflexive relation it must be free from (a, a) for every $a \in A$. Therefore, it will be any subset of $(A \times A) - MD$. But $|(A \times A) - MD| = n^2 - n$. Hence, there are $2^{(n^2-n)}$ irreflexive relations on A .

Example 13.9: Let $A = \{1,2\}$. There are $2^2 = 4$ irreflexive relations on A , namely, $R_1 = \emptyset, R_2 = \{(1,2)\}, R_3 = \{(2,1)\},$ and $R_4 = \{(1,2), (2,1)\}$.

