

ASSESSMENT THE VIABILITY PROPERTIES OF *LACTOBACILLUS CASEI* STRAIN USING LABNEH AS A CARRIER

Kawther El-Shafei¹, Fouad Mahmoud Fouad Elshaghabee², Hoda Samir El-Sayed¹, Jihan Mohamed Kassem¹✉

¹Dairy Science Department, National Research Centre
El-Bohooth 33, Dokki, Giza, **Egypt**

²Dairy Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University
12613 Giza, **Egypt**

ABSTRACT

Background. Our study was conducted in two stages; the first stage was to examine the fructose fermentation profile by *Lactobacillus (Lb.) casei* FEGY9973. The second stage was to investigate the viability properties of *Lb. casei* either during cold storage of labneh or under simulated gastrointestinal tract (GIT) conditions.

Material and methods. Labneh as a carrier medium was classified into four treatments; the first one contained 2% free cells of *Lb. casei* as a control. The second, third and fourth treatments used 2% of encapsulated cells of *Lb. casei* with different capsule materials, including alginate-milk, sodium alginate and κ-carrageenan served as T₁, T₂ and T₃ respectively. The physicochemical, microbiological and sensory properties of labneh during 15 days of cold storage were shown. Moreover, the viability of free and encapsulated *Lb. casei* subjected to some manufacturing and simulated GIT conditions was tested.

Results. It was revealed that lactate was the major metabolite in the medium for colonic fermentation, whereas no amounts of ethanol could be detected. Moreover, labneh samples including free cells of *Lb. casei* had lower pH values than treatments containing microcapsules of *Lb. casei*. The levels of moisture, acetaldehyde and diacetyl in treatments with different encapsulated materials were increased during the cold storage period. Accordingly, labneh samples with encapsulated *Lb. casei* had higher sensory scores than the control. In addition, labneh samples with *Lb. casei* in milk-alginate microcapsules showed a high viability during cold storage and under simulated GIT conditions. A significant decrease in the viability of free or encapsulated *Lb. casei* was observed at 15 days of cold storage.

Conclusion. Encapsulated *Lb. casei* by alginate-milk was more resistant during the cold storage period and under simulated gastric conditions than the other two treatments.

Keywords: Novel lactobacilli strains, alginate-milk microcapsules, labneh, simulated gastric conditions, functional dairy foods

INTRODUCTION

The issue of diet and health has recently come to global attention. Probiotic live bacteria are known as good or friendly bacteria and are known to minimize potentially harmful bacteria from the intestine (Gillian,

2008). Probiotic foods are defined as “food containing live microorganisms, which actively promote health of consumers by ameliorative the balance of micro-flora in the gut when ingested live in adequate numbers”

✉jihankassem@yahoo.com

(Fuller, 1992; Shah, 2004). Foods providing these microorganisms may be considered functional foods, i.e., as well as nourishing the body, these products have biologically active components that participate in the maintenance of good health and wellness, while decreasing the risk of diseases (Mohammadi and Mor-tazavian, 2011 & Saad et al., 2013). Eighty types of dairy products containing probiotic cultures have been produced around the world for many years (Maity et al., 2008).

Several criteria have been performed to select different probiotic microorganisms. These include biosafety, viability during different manufacture conditions, acid and bile resistance and confer different health benefits e.g. enhancing the immune system, reducing levels of cholesterol and reducing the risk of cancer (Tuomola et al., 2001 and Shewale et al., 2014). However, there is still a need to develop new criteria for probiotics in order to increase their application in food and nutraceutical products. Therefore, we investigate the fermentation profile of fructose by testing the *Lb. casei* strain, because fructose is used as a sweetener (Hanover and White, 1993) and it accumulates significantly in the intestine, resulting in increased levels of endogenous ethanol and lipid formation in liver tissues, causing non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD; Tran et al., 2009).

Labneh (concentrated yoghurt) is a popular fermented milk product in the Middle East, while its nutritional and therapeutic properties are considered to be better than those of yoghurt; it has 2.5 times higher protein content, 50% more minerals and larger numbers of viable starter cultures than yoghurt (Nsa-bimana et al., 2005). In order for labneh to be recognized as probiotic carrier foods, appropriate probiotic microorganisms were added to retain sufficient quantities through all the stages of the process. Furthermore, labneh contains higher total solids than yoghurt; therefore it may be considered a suitable matrix for probiotics, since it also offers protection for them during transit through the gastrointestinal tract (GIT; Abd EL-Salam et al., 2011). Different compositional and process factors have an adverse effect on the viability of probiotics in labneh, including pH, redox potential, the level of probiotic inoculation, flavoring supplementation, microbiota competition, and the possible presence of bacteriocins or other

antimicrobials, incubation and storage temperature, salt & water activity and packaging materials. It also becomes clear from data that the viability of probiotics is due to its strain type (Rocha et al., 2014 and Castro et al., 2015).

Microencapsulation provides a physical barrier against harsh environmental conditions, and results in improved viability of probiotics (Champagne and Kailasapathy, 2008). Different materials have been applied to enhance the survival of different strains of probiotics during refrigerated storage of dairy products and during GIT transit, such as gelatin (Shah, 2000), fats (Situ-Cruce and Goulet, 2001), κ -carrageenan (Adhikari et al., 2003), sodium alginate (Krasaekoopt et al., 2004; El-Dieb et al., 2012), different polysaccharides including guar gum, arabic gum and chitosan (Elshaghabee, 2016a).

Lactobacillus (Lb.) casei represents a high biodiversity species of genus *Lactobacillus*. It has been isolated from different niches, such as fermented foods and human and animal intestines (Claesson et al., 2007). *Lb. casei* is also a dominant species of non-starter lactic acid bacteria (NSLAB) in different types of ripening cheese, like Cheddar cheese (Gob-beti et al., 2015), and plays an important role in the development of flavors in these products (Swearingen et al., 2001 and Van Hoorde et al., 2010).

Therefore, the aim of this study was to compare the impact of different capsule materials (alginate-milk, sodium alginate and κ -carrageenan) on the viability properties of novel isolate of *Lb. casei* FEGY9973 subjected to some manufacturing conditions and simulated GIT conditions, using labneh as a delivery vehicle for it in order to apply probiotic labneh in the diet of NAFLD patients in our future study.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Milk

Fresh buffalo milk was obtained from the herd at the Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.

Probiotic strain

Freeze-dried *Lb. casei* FEGY 9973 was obtained from our culture collection, Department of Dairy Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Egypt.

Starter culture

Lactobacillus (Lb.) delbrueckii subsp. *bulgaricus* Lb-12 DRI-VAC was provided from Northern Regional Research Laboratory (NRRL), Illinois, USA. *Streptococcus (S.) thermophilus* CH-1 obtained from Chr. Hansens's Lab., Copenhagen, Denmark.

Fermentation of fructose

Fermentation was performed in media for colonic bacteria (MCB) at 37°C in an anaerobic jar (Oxoid, Yorkshire, UK). The medium composition (g L⁻¹) was prepared according to the procedure described by Van der Meulen et al. (2006). For metabolite analysis, one mL of culture (max. OD_{620 nm} = 1.35 ± 0.12) was mixed with 10 µL Carrez I and 10 µL Carrez II solution and centrifuged at 14000 xg for 10 min at 4°C. The clarified layer was separated and filtered through a 0.2 µm membrane filter. The metabolite samples were analyzed using HPLC system according to the procedure described by Elshaghabe et al. (2016b).

Cultivation and harvesting of *Lb. casei* FEGY 9973 cells

MRS broth (Oxoid, Yorkshire, UK) was used to prepare the cell suspensions for *Lb. casei*. The medium which was inoculated with 2% active *Lb. casei* cells and incubated at 37°C for 48 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 15 min, and the cells were washed twice with saline and then used to prepare capsules.

Encapsulation of *Lb. casei* FEGY 9973 by alginate-milk

Milk (total solids 11%) and sodium alginate (4%) were sterilized for 15 min at 110 and 121°C, respectively. The *Lb. casei* cells were added to the milk and sodium alginate to make a mixture containing 1:1:1 w/w. The mixture was then dropped into 100 mM CaCl₂ while gently stirring (100 rpm), microspheres formed and solidified in CaCl₂ solution for 30 min according to the procedure described by Shi et al. (2013).

Encapsulation of *Lb. casei* FEGY 9973 by alginate

A suspension of cells was mixed with an equal volume of sodium alginate (4%). The mixture was added drop-wise into a solution of sodium chloride (0.2 mol/L) and calcium chloride (0.5 mmol·L⁻¹)

and magnetically stirred at 200 rpm/min until alginate beads were formed, in accordance with the procedure described by Klinkenberg et al. (2001).

Encapsulation of *Lb. casei* FEGY 9973 by κ-carrageenan

A mixture was prepared by mixing 20 g cells in 1000 ml of a sterile solution of κ-carrageenan (2%), then the mixture was added drop-wise into KCl (3%) under agitation. Carrageenan beads were formed within 10 min, as described by Dinakar and Mistry (1994).

Manufacturing of labneh

First, the starter cultures of yoghurt (*Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus thermophilus*) were activated individually in skimmed milk by inoculating skimmed milk with 2% lyophilized strains and incubating it at 37°C for 24 h before production of labneh. Then, labneh was made using the method described by Mohamed et al. (2013) with some modifications. Fresh buffalo's milk was heated (90°C/15 min), cooled to 40°C then inoculated with 2% of propagated culture of *Lb. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* Lb-12 DRI-VAC and *S. thermophilus* CH-1 in skimmed milk. The inoculated milk was divided into four equal portions. The first served as a control fortified with 2% free cells of *Lb. casei* (C). The second, third and fourth portions were fortified with the same ratio (2%) of encapsulated *Lb. casei* by alginate-milk, alginate and κ-carrageenan, respectively (T₁, T₂ and T₃). All portions were incubated at 40°C until complete coagulation occurred. The labneh was cooled to a temperature of 10°C overnight, stirred and strained using a cheese cloth, which was hung in the refrigerator at 4°C to allow whey drainage for 12 h. The plastic containers stored at (7°C ± 2) for 15 days. Treatment samples of labneh were analyzed for their chemical properties and for microbiological examination when fresh and during storage.

Physicochemical properties of labneh

The pH values of labneh samples were measured during the storage period using a digital laboratory pH meter (HI 93 1400, Hanna instruments, Woonsocket, Rhode Island, USA) with a glass electrode. The moisture content of the treatment samples was also determined when fresh and after 7 and 15 days according

to AOAC (2012). To follow up on flavor progress, acetaldehyde content ($\text{mmol}\cdot 100\text{ g}^{-1}$) was estimated in accordance with the procedure described by Lee and Jago (1969) and diacetyl content ($\text{mmol}\cdot 100\text{ g}^{-1}$) was determined as reported by Pack et al. (1964).

Simulated gastric juice (SGJ) tolerance

A SGJ solution was prepared as described by Shi et al. (2013). One gram of labneh samples contained encapsulated *Lb. casei* strains with different materials mixed in 10 mL of SGJ and incubated for 30, 60, 90 and 120 min at 37°C. The viability of bacterial cells was detected using pour plate counts in MRS agar free from sugar and supplemented with 0.05% cellobiose as a carbon source and incubated at 37°C in anaerobic conditions.

Simulated intestinal juice (SIJ) tolerance

A SIJ solution was prepared according to Shi et al.'s (2013) procedure. One gram of the labneh sample contained encapsulated *Lb. casei* with different materials mixed with 10 mL of SGJ and incubated at the same time and temperature. The viability of the bacteria strain was determined according to the procedure described by Shi et al. (2013) and El-Sayed et al. (2017).

Microbiological analysis

Counts of *Lb. bulgaricus*, *St. thermophilus* and *Lb. casei* were determined using MRS agar according to the procedure described by De Man et al. (1960), M17 agar (Terzaghi and Sandine, 1975) and MRS agar free from sugar and supplemented with 0.05% cellobiose as a carbon source (Shah, 2000). Plates of *Lb. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus*, *Streptococcus thermophilus* and *Lb. casei* were incubated at 37°C for 48 h and under anaerobic conditions for both lactobacilli strains.

Statistical analysis

Samples were made in triplicate. The mean was then calculated from these triplicate analyses. Data were presented as the overall mean for the two trials. Statistical analysis for the data obtained was carried out using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan tests with the Statistical Analysis System (SAS, 1994). A probability of $P < 0.05$ was used to establish the statistical significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fermentation profile of fructose fermentation by *Lb. casei* FEGY 9973

Lactate was the major metabolite of fermentation of fructose by *Lb. casei* FEGY9973 after 18 h of the fermentation period. The concentration of lactate was 42.05 ± 1.25 mM, whereas the concentration of fermented fructose was 41.85 mM. *Lb. casei* is a facultative hetero-fermentative LAB (Kandler and Weiss, 1986; Mayra-Makinen and Bigret, 1998). Facultative hetero-fermentative *Lb. casei* showed homolactic fermentation of hexoses-like fructose similar to facultative hetero-fermentative *Lb. plantarum* 92380 as reported by Kleerebezem et al. (2003) and Axelsson (2004). The fermentation of fructose by microencapsulated *Lb. casei* was not tested, because the cells of different lactobacilli strains were released from their capsules, as previously investigated by Lyer et al. (2005) and Mandal et al. (2006; 2014).

Physicochemical properties of labneh during cold storage

Labneh samples were evaluated for moisture content when fresh and during storage in Table 1 data revealed that there were no significant differences ($P > 0.05$) between fresh samples in either the control or treatments. It was also obvious that using capsule materials such as alginate, carrageenan have the ability to hold water more than free cells. So, the control samples of labneh that contained free cells had a slight lower moisture content than encapsulated samples when fresh and through the storage period. There were no differences in moisture content observed between the capsulated materials which were used. Increased storage period moisture content decreased in all labneh samples. These findings were in accordance with AL Otaibi and EL Demerdash (2008), who illustrated that total solid contents of labneh slightly increased as the storage period increased. Moreover, the same results were produced by EL-Gizawy et al. (2013), who found that the moisture content of kareish cheese decreased as the storage period increased and kareish cheese manufactured with microencapsulated *Lb. bulgaricus* had a high moisture content throughout the storage period compared to the control samples.

Table 1. Changes in pH values and physico-chemical properties of labneh during cold storage (7°C ±2)

Treatments (T)	Storage period days	Physico-chemical parameters			
		pH	moisture %	acetaldehyde, μmol·100 g ⁻¹	diacetyl, μmol·100 g ⁻¹
Control	fresh	4.78 ±0.21 ^A	72.41 ±0.31 ^B	20.24 ±2.15 ^F	6.84 ±2.51 ^H
	7	3.96 ±0.24 ^B	72.10 ±0.25 ^C	30.12 ±1.56 ^C	11.46 ±1.47 ^E
	15	3.73 ±0.15 ^D	71.96 ±0.21 ^D	28.75 ±1.53 ^D	12.90 ±1.51 ^D
T ₁	fresh	4.82 ±0.18 ^A	72.89 ±0.27 ^A	21.45 ±1.37 ^E	8.36 ±1.71 ^G
	7	4.02 ±0.20 ^A	73.02 ±0.25 ^A	33.77 ±1.45 ^A	15.94 ±2.31 ^C
	15	3.65 ±0.21 ^{DE}	73.13 ±0.41 ^A	30.96 ±2.11 ^C	17.32 ±1.51 ^B
T ₂	fresh	4.71 ±0.19 ^A	72.79 ±0.35 ^B	21.64 ±1.58 ^E	9.01 ±2.81 ^F
	7	3.99 ±0.21 ^B	72.95 ±0.28 ^A	32.88 ±2.20 ^B	16.43 ±1.50 ^C
	15	3.74 ±0.16 ^D	73.07 ±0.24 ^A	30.25 ±1.75 ^C	19.03 ±1.09 ^A
T ₃	fresh	4.75 ±0.18 ^A	72.74 ±0.35 ^B	20.95 ±2.01 ^F	8.85 ±1.65 ^G
	7	3.81 ±0.15 ^C	72.99 ^A	33.40 ±1.89 ^A	16.10 ±0.95 ^C
	15	3.78 ±0.14 ^D	73.12 ^A	30.56 ±3.01 ^C	18.93 ±1.21 ^A

Treatments: control – Labneh manufactured without *Lactobacillus (Lb.) casei* FEGY9973, T₁ – Labneh manufactured with 2% of alginate-milk microcapsules containing *Lb. casei* FEGY9973, T₂ – Labneh manufactured with 2% of alginate microcapsules containing *Lb. casei* FEGY9973, T₃ – labneh manufactured with 2% of κ-carrageenan microcapsules containing *Lb. casei* FEGY9973.

Changes in the pH values of labneh samples are presented in the same table. As expected, the pH values in all samples decreased through the storage period. The pH values of the control samples reduced from 4.78 in fresh samples to 3.56 at the end of storage. But the decrease in the first week was significantly ($P < 0.05$) more pronounced than that in the second one, while all treated samples were slightly more decreased in pH values than in the control samples. These results coincide with microbiological data, which indicated that the viability of both starter culture counts was raised in the first week then decreased at the end of storage period. Similarly, viable counts of *Lb. casei* showed same trend as starter cultures, but all encapsulated treatments were more viable than free cells. Thus, the acidity in all samples was due to the activity of both the starter cultures and *Lb. casei*. The same trend was observed by Dzigbordi et al. (2013), who stated that the pH of yoghurt samples decreased as the storage period extended. Likewise, EL-Gizawy et al. (2013) showed that the pH values of fresh kareish cheese

with microencapsulated *Lb. bulgaricus* significantly decreased during the storage period. Kareish cheese samples with microencapsulated *Lb. bulgaricus* also had lower pH values compared with the controls.

The flavor compounds (acetaldehyde and diacetyl) of labneh samples during cold storage are presented in Table 1. Acetaldehyde was produced as a result of the presence of both starter cultures and *Lb. casei*. These microorganisms can ferment milk lactose to lactic acid, acetaldehyde and diacetyl (Hamdan et al., 1971; Amarita et al., 2011). Furthermore, the levels of acetaldehyde were increased during the first 7 days of the storage period then decreased gradually at the end of cold storage. The increase in acetaldehyde in the first 7 days could be due to the activity of the threonine aldolase enzyme detected in starter cultures, which converts threonine to acetaldehyde and glycine, as previously found by AL Otaibi and EL Demerdash (2008). Zareba et al. (2014) explained that acetaldehyde could be reduced and converted to ethanol. On the other hand, the levels of acetaldehyde in treatments, while

Lb. casei was in an encapsulated form, were significantly higher than in the controls during the storage period. These data could be due to the viability of encapsulated *Lb. casei* in different capsule materials more than the control, as shown in microbiological results.

The levels of diacetyl in the labneh samples showed a different trend compared to acetaldehyde in the storage period, whereas levels of diacetyl values were significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased until the end of storage period (Table 1). Our data are in agreement with the results obtained by Mohamed et al. (2015), where levels of diacetyl increased during fifteen days of cold storage of labneh compared to acetaldehyde contents in the samples.

Viability of starter culture in labneh during storage periods

The data in Table 2 show that the counts of both starter cultures (*Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* and *S. thermophilus*) were significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased in labneh samples at the first week then decreased significantly at the end in all treatments. The viable counts of *Lb. delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* ranged between 7.95 and 8.26 log CFU/g in all treatments when fresh and reached between 8.19 and 8.44 log CFU/g at the end. Data also indicated that T₂ samples were significant ($P < 0.05$) and had the highest viable counts of *Lb. bulgaricus*. Similar results were observed for *S. thermophilus*, in which the viable

counts ranged between 7.27 and 8.09 log CFU/g in all treatments when fresh and between 7.60 and 8.75 log CFU/g at the end of the progress. Moreover, the results showed that there were slight differences between the control and treatments that contained encapsulated probiotics with different materials. The results obtained by El-Sayed et al. (2017) stated that both starter cultures counts were increased in yoghurt samples in the first 5 days and then there was a small decline at the end of the storage period.

Viability of *Lb. casei* FEGY 9973 in labneh during storage periods

Differences in viable counts of encapsulated *Lb. casei* in labneh treatment samples during storage are presented in Table 3. Viable counts of *Lb. casei* were increased in the first 7 days of storage and decreased significantly at the end of storage. Moreover, the viable counts in treatment samples contained more encapsulated *Lb. casei* than the control (free cells), especially in T₁, which used alginate-milk as a coating material, and in which the viable count increased more than log 9 cycles at the end compared with the control. This increase shows the protecting effect of encapsulation on the survival rate of *Lb. casei*. These results were in agreement with those obtained by Godward and Kailasapathy (2003). Jayalalitha et al. (2011), showed also that the encapsulated probiotic count in yoghurt was significantly greater than in the control in each week of the storage period.

Table 2. Viable counts of starter cultures in labneh during cold storage (7°C ±2)

Treatments (T)	<i>Lb. bulgaricus</i>			<i>St. thermophiles</i>		
	storage periods, days					
	fresh	7	15	fresh	7	15
Control	7.95 ±0.60 ^H	8.38 ±0.52 ^{BCD}	8.19 ±0.62 ^F	8.09 ±0.55 ^{CD}	8.43 ±0.51 ^B	8.34 ±0.70 ^{BC}
T ₁	8.19 ±0.53 ^F	8.50 ±0.71 ^A	8.31 ±0.56 ^{DE}	7.65 ±0.82 ^E	7.82 ±0.54 ^{DE}	7.60 ±0.57 ^E
T ₂	8.26 ±0.74 ^{EF}	8.48 ±0.81 ^{AB}	8.44 ±0.75 ^{ABC}	7.27 ±0.59 ^F	7.72 ±0.87 ^E	8.30 ±0.80 ^{BC}
T ₃	8.08 ±0.83 ^G	8.36 ±0.65 ^{CDE}	8.31 ±0.92 ^{DE}	7.88 ±1.15 ^{DE}	7.95 ±0.82 ^{DE}	8.75 ±0.90 ^A

Treatments: control – labneh manufactured without *Lactobacillus (Lb.) casei* FEGY9973, T₁ – labneh manufactured with 2% of alginate-milk microcapsules containing *Lb. casei* FEGY9973, T₂ – labneh manufactured with 2% of alginate microcapsules containing *Lb. casei* FEGY9973, T₃ – labneh manufactured with 2% of κ-carrageenan microcapsules containing *Lb. casei* FEGY9973.

Table 3. Viable counts of *Lb. casei* FEGY9973 in labneh during cold storage (7°C ±2)

Treatments (T)	Storage periods, days		
	fresh	7	15
Control	8.11 ±0.55 ^{FG}	8.55 ±0.58 ^E	7.86 ±0.60 ^G
T ₁	9.75 ±0.72 ^A	9.93 ±0.59 ^C	9.46 ±0.80 ^{BC}
T ₂	9.00 ±0.65 ^D	9.67 ±0.81 ^{AB}	9.35 ±0.68 ^C
T ₃	8.77 ±0.77 ^{DE}	8.70 ±0.69 ^E	8.20 ±0.72 ^F

Treatments: control – labneh manufactured without *Lactobacillus (Lb.) casei* FEGY9973, T₁ – labneh manufactured with 2% of alginate-milk microcapsules containing *Lb. casei* FEGY9973, T₂ – labneh manufactured with 2% of alginate microcapsules containing *Lb. casei* FEGY9973, T₃ – labneh manufactured with 2% of κ-carrageenan microcapsules containing *Lb. casei* FEGY9973.

Viability of *Lb. casei* FEGY 9973 in labneh when exposed to SGJ

The microencapsulation process offers protection to probiotic cells during exposure to SGJ for 120 min as in Table 4. The viability of free *Lb. casei* in labneh was

Table 4. Viability of *Lb. casei* FEGY9973 in labneh when exposed to simulated gastric juice (SGJ)

Treatments (T)	Incubation time, min			
	30	60	90	120
Control	7.99 ±0.81 ^C	7.07 ±0.67 ^E	5.41 ±0.77 ^I	3.40 ±0.58 ^L
T ₁	9.71 ±0.69 ^A	8.84 ±0.71 ^B	7.90 ±0.63 ^C	6.05 ±1.02 ^G
T ₂	8.93 ±0.74 ^B	7.30 ±0.92 ^D	6.75 ±0.83 ^F	5.03 ±0.91 ^J
T ₃	8.80 ±0.88 ^B	7.04 ±0.85 ^E	5.61 ±0.93 ^H	4.35 ±0.75 ^K

Treatments: control – labneh manufactured without *Lactobacillus (Lb.) casei* FEGY9973, T₁ – labneh manufactured with 2% of alginate-milk microcapsules containing *Lb. casei* FEGY9973, T₂ – labneh manufactured with 2% of alginate microcapsules containing *Lb. casei* FEGY9973, T₃ – labneh manufactured with 2% of κ-carrageenan microcapsules containing *Lb. casei* FEGY9973.

reduced more than 4.50 log cycles after 120 min. On the other hand, encapsulation with alginate-milk offered protection when exposed to SGJ, whereas the viability of encapsulated *Lb. casei* by alginate-milk (T₁) decreased around 3 log cycles only after 120 min, followed by T₂, which dropped to around 3.80 log cycles compared with control.

This increment of viability of *Lb. casei* in labneh may be attributed to the materials that used in the encapsulation technique. The results obtained by Jayalalitha et al. (2011), El-Shafei et al. (2015) and Elshaghabee et al. (2016a) demonstrated that different methods of encapsulation had enhanced the viability of lactobacilli, bifidobacteria and *S. thermophilus* against simulated gastrointestinal conditions.

Viability of *Lb. casei* FEGY 9973 in labneh when exposed to SIJ

The viability of free and encapsulated *Lb. casei* FEGY9973 with different materials when exposed to SIJ are shown in Table 5. The data indicate that the viability of free culture in labneh was reduced more than 2.7 log cycles after 120 min. On the contrary, encapsulated *Lb. casei* in labneh was more stable than

Table 5. Viability of *Lb. casei* FEGY9973 in labneh when exposed to simulated intestinal juice (SIJ)

Treatments (T)	Incubation time, min			
	30	60	90	120
Control	8.23 ±0.95 ^D	7.50 ±0.88 ^F	6.70 ±0.69 ^G	5.50 ±0.81 ^I
T ₁	9.81 ±0.85 ^A	9.10 ±0.58 ^B	8.78 ±0.78 ^C	8.10 ±0.62 ^{DE}
T ₂	9.16 ±0.71 ^B	8.26 ±0.75 ^D	7.51 ±0.91 ^F	6.35 ±0.63 ^H
T ₃	8.85 ±0.90 ^C	7.90 ±0.82 ^E	6.29 ±0.68 ^H	5.46 ±0.83 ^I

Treatments: control – labneh manufactured without *Lactobacillus (Lb.) casei* FEGY9973, T₁ – labneh manufactured with 2% of alginate-milk microcapsules containing *Lb. casei* FEGY9973, T₂ – labneh manufactured with 2% of alginate microcapsules containing *Lb. casei* FEGY9973, T₃ – labneh manufactured with 2% of κ-carrageenan microcapsules containing *Lb. casei* FEGY9973.

free cultures during exposure to SIJ for 120 min, and the viability of encapsulated *Lb. casei* reduced only 1.70 log cycles for T₁ as compared to the initial counts. Moreover, the T₃ sample did not differ from the control when exposed to SIJ for 120 min compared to the initial counts. Alginate-milk microspheres could give more resistance from the effect of bile salt for probiotic free cells (Kailasapathy 2006; Ding and Shah, 2007; El-Sayed et al., 2017).

CONCLUSION

Supplementation of different traditional dairy products with probiotics contributes to improving the health status of consumers. In our study, we evaluated the viability properties of a new strain of *Lb. casei* FEGY9973 under cold storage and simulated gastric conditions using labneh as a matrix. *Lb. casei* exhibits homo-lactic fermentation under anaerobic conditions, whereas lactate was the major metabolite. Further proteomics analysis for the fermentation profile of fructose by *Lb. casei* are needed. Labneh samples containing *Lb. casei* in milk-alginate microcapsules showed a high viability under simulated GIT conditions and during cold storage with a high concentration of acetaldehyde and diacetyl. Moreover, application of encapsulated cells of *Lb. casei* could protect labneh from drying during the storage period.

REFERENCES

- Abd El-Salam, M. H., Hippen, A. R., El-Shafie, K., Assem, F. M., Abbas, H., Abd El-Aziz, M., ..., El-Aassar, M. (2011). Preparation and properties of probiotic concentrated yoghurt (Labneh) fortified with conjugated linoleic acid. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.*, 46, 2103–2110. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2011.02722.x>
- Adhikari, K., Mustaphaa, A., Grun, I. U. (2003). Survival and metabolic activity of microencapsulated *Bifidobacterium longum* in stirred yogurt. *J. Food Sci.*, 68, 275–281.
- Al Otaibi, M., El Demerdash, H. (2008). Improvement of the quality and shelf life of concentrated yoghurt (Labneh) by the addition of some essential oils. *African J. Microbiol. Res.* 2, 156–161.
- Amarita, F., Requenai, T., Tabordaz, G., Amigo, L., Pelaez, C. (2001). *Lactobacillus casei* and *Lactobacillus plantarum* initiate catabolism of methionine by transamination. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 90, 971–978.
- AOAC (2012). Official methods of analysis. 19th ed., Gaithersburg, M.D., USA: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Axelsson, L. (2004). Lactic acid bacteria: Classification and physiology. In: S. Salminen, A. von Wright, A. Ouwehand (Eds.), *Lactic acid bacteria: microbiological and functional aspects*. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Beshkova, D., Simova, E., Frengova, G., Simov, Z. (1998). Production of flavor compounds by yogurt starter cultures. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 20, 180–186.
- Bodyfelt, F. W., Tobias, J., Trout, G. M. (1988). The sensory evaluation of dairy products. New York: Von Nostrand Reinhold. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-77408-4>
- Castro, J. M., Tornadijo, M. E., Fresno, J., Sandoval, H. (2015). Biocheese: A food probiotic carrier. *Biomed. Res. Int.*, 5, 1–12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2015/723056>
- Champagne, C. P., Kailasapathy, K. (2008). Encapsulation of probiotics. In: N. Garti (Ed.), *Controlled release technologies for targeted nutrition* (pp. 344–369). Cambridge, UK: Wood Head Publ., CRC Press.
- Claesson, M. J., van Sinderen, D., O'Toole, P. W. (2007). The genus *Lactobacillus* – a genomic basis for understanding its diversity. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 269, 22–28. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2006.00596.x>
- De Man, J. C., Rogosa, M., Sharp, M. E. (1960). A medium for the cultivation of lactobacilli. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.*, 23, 130–136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1960.tb00188.x>
- Dinakar, P., Mistry, V. V. (1994). Growth and viability of *Bifidobacterium bifidum* in cheddar cheese. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 77, 2854–2864. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(94\)77225-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(94)77225-8)
- Ding, W. K., Shah, N. P. (2007). Acid, bile, and heat tolerance of free and microencapsulated probiotic bacteria. *J. Food Sci.*, 72, 446–50. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2007.00565.x>
- Dworkin, M., Falkow, S. (2006). *The prokaryotes: a handbook on the biology of bacteria*. 3rd ed. New York, NY: Springer.
- Dzibordi, B., Adubofuor, J., Dufie W.-M. (2013). The effects of different concentrations of natamycin and the point of addition on some physicochemical and microbial properties of vanilla-flavored yoghurt under refrigerated condition. *Int. Food Res. J.*, 20, 3287–3292.
- El-Deib, S. M., Abd Rabo, F. H. R., Badran, S. M., Abd El-Fattah, A.M., Elshaghabee, F. M. F. (2012). The growth behavior and enhancement of probiotic viability in bio-yoghurt. *Int. Dairy J.*, 22, 44–47.

- El-Gizawy, S. A., Olfat, S. B., Sharaf, O. M., El-Shafei, K., Fathy, F. A., El-Sayed, H. (2013). Effect of growth conditions on the production of exo-polysaccharides by microencapsulated *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* and use it to improve quality of Kareish cheese. J. Appl. Sci. Res. 9, 1097–1109.
- El-Sayed, H. S., Kassem, J. M., El-Shafei, K., Assem, F. M., Sharaf, O. M. (2017). Comparative evaluation of the microencapsulation methods efficiency to protect probiotic strains in simulated gastric conditions. Int. J. Biol. Pharm. Allied Sci., 3, 521–545.
- El-Shafei, K., El-Sayed, H. S., Dabiza, N., Sadek, Z. I., Sharaf, O. M. (2015). The effect of microencapsulation on protection of isolated urease-producing streptococcus thermophilus against stress conditions. Res. J. Pharm. Biol. Chem. Sci., 6, 1573–1582.
- Elshaghabee, F. M. F. (2016a) Viability of *Bifidobacterium longum* encapsulated in different capsule materials under simulated gastrointestinal conditions. Egypt. J. Dairy Sci., 44, 37–43.
- Elshaghabee, F. M. F., Bockelmann, W., Meske, D., de Vrese, M., Walte, H.-G., Schrezenmeir, J., Heller, K. J. (2016b). Ethanol production by selected intestinal microorganisms and lactic acid bacteria growing under different nutritional conditions. Front. Microbiol., 7, 47–55. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00047>
- Fuller, R. (1992). Probiotics – the scientific basis. London: Chapman and Hall.
- Gillian, Y. (2008). Symbiosis: The bacteria diet. Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 6, 174–175.
- Gobbetti, M., De Angelis, M., Di Cagno, R., Fox, F. (2015). Pros and cons for using non-starter lactic acid bacteria (NSLAB) as secondary/adjunct starters for cheese ripening. Trends Food Sci. Technol., 45, 1–15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2015.07.016>
- Godward, G., Kailasapathy, K. (2003). Viability and survival of free, encapsulated and co-encapsulated probiotic bacteria in yoghurt. Milchwissenschaft, 58, 396–399.
- Hamdan, I. Y., Kunsman Jr, J. E., Deanne D. (1971). Acetaldehyde production by combined yogurt cultures. J. Dairy Sci., 54, 1080–1082.
- Hanover, L. M., White, J. S. (1993). Manufacturing, composition, and applications of fructose. Am. J. Clin. Nutr., 58, 724S–732S.
- Iyer, C., Phillips, M., Kailasapathy, K. (2005). Release studies of *Lactobacillus casei* strain Shirota from chitosan-coated alginate-starch microcapsules in ex vivo porcine gastrointestinal contents. Lett. Appl. Microbiol., 41, 493–497. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2005.01778.x>
- Jayalalitha, V., Palani Dorai, R., Dhanalakshmi, B., Elango, A., Nasresh, K. C. (2011). Improving the viability of probiotics in yoghurt through different methods of encapsulation. Egypt. J. Dairy Sci., 39, 39–44.
- Kailasapathy, K. (2006). Survival of free and encapsulated probiotic bacteria and their effect on the sensory properties of yoghurt. Food Sci. Technol., 10, 1221–1227. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2005.07.013>
- Kandler, O., Weiss, N. (1986). Genus *Lactobacillus*. In: P. H. A. Sneath, N. S. Mair, M. E. Sharpe, J. G. Holt (Eds), Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology (9th ed., vol. 2, pp. 1063–1065). Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins.
- Kanmani, P. R., Kumar, S., Yuvaraj, N., Paari, K. A., Pattukumar, V., Arul, V. (2011). Effect of cryopreservation and microencapsulation of lactic acid bacterium *Enterococcus faecium* MC13 for long-term storage. Biochem. Eng. J., 58, 140–147. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2011.09.006>
- Kleerebezem, M., Boekhorst, J., van Kranenburg, R., Molenaar, D., Kuipers, O. P., Leer, R., Siezen, R. J. (2003) Complete genome sequence of *Lactobacillus plantarum* WCFS1. PNAS, 100, 1990–1995. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0337704100>
- Klinkenberg, G., Lystad, K. Q., Levine, D. W., Dyrset, N. (2001). pH-controlled cell release and biomass distribution of alginate-immobilized *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis*. J. Appl. Microbiol., 91, 705–714. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2001.01420.x>
- Krasaekoopt, W., Bhandari, B., Deeth, H. (2004). The influence of coating materials on some properties of alginate beads and survivability of microencapsulated probiotic bacteria. Int. Dairy J., 14(8), 737–743. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2004.01.004>
- Lee, G. I., Jago, G. R. (1969). Methods for the estimation of acetaldehyde in cultured dairy products. Austr. J. Dairy Technol., 24, 181–190.
- Maity, T. K., Kumar, R., Misra, A. K. (2008). Development of healthy whey drink with *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*, *Bifidobacterium bifidum* and *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* subsp. *shermanii*. Mljekarstvo, 58, 315–325.
- Mandal, S., Hati, S., Puniya, A. K., Khamrui, K., Singh, K. (2014b). Enhancement of survival of alginate-encapsulated *Lactobacillus casei* NCDC 298. J. Sci. Food Agric., 94, 1994–2001. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6514>
- Mandal, S., Puniya, A. K., Singh, K. (2006). Effect of alginate concentration on survival of microencapsulated *Lactobacillus casei* NCDC-298. Int. Dairy J., 16, 1190–1196. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2005.10.005>
- Mayra-Makinen, A., Bigret, M. (1998). Industrial use and production of lactic acid bacteria. In: S. Salminen, A.

- V. Wright (Eds), *Lactic acid bacteria microbiology and functional aspects* (2nd ed., pp. 73–102). New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Mohamed, S. H. S., Seleet, F. L., Azzat, B., Abd EL Khalek, B., Fathy, F. A. (2015). Effect of wheat germ extract on the viability of probiotic bacteria and properties of labneh cheese. *Res. J. Pharm. Biol. Chem. Sci.*, 6, 674–652.
- Mohamed, S. H. S., Zaky, W. M., Kassem, J. M., Abbas, H. M., Salem, M. M. E., Said-Al Ahl, H. A. H. (2013). Impact of antimicrobial properties of some essential oils on cheese yoghurt quality. *World Appl. Sci. J.*, 27, 497–507. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.27.04.13623>
- Mohammadi, R., Mortazavian, A. M. (2011). Review article: technological aspects of prebiotics in probiotic fermented milks. *Food Rev. Int.*, 27, 192–212. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/87559129.2010.535235>
- Nsabimana, C., Jiang, B., Kossah, R. (2005). Manufacturing, properties and shelf life of Labneh: a review. *Int. J. Dairy Technol.*, 58, 129–137. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0307.2005.00205.x>
- Pack, M. Y., Sandine, W. E., Elliker, P. R., Day, E. A., Lindsay, R. C. (1964). Owades and Jakovac method for diacetyl determination in mixed strain starters. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 44, 15–26.
- Rocha, D. M. U. P., Martins, J. L., Santos, T. S., Moreire, A. V. B. (2014). Labneh with probiotic properties produced from kefir: development and sensory evaluation. *Food Sci. Technol.*, 34, 694–700. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1678-457x.6394>
- Saad, N., Delattre, C., Urdaci, M., Schmitter, J. M., Bressollier, P. (2013). An overview of the last advances in probiotic and prebiotic field. *LWT – Food Sci. Technol.*, 50, 1–16. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2012.05.014>
- SAS (2004). *SAS user's guide: Statistics*. Ver. 6.04. 4th ed., Cary, NC., USA: SAS Inst.
- Shah, N. P. (2000). Probiotic bacteria: Selective enumeration and survival in dairy foods. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 83, 894–907. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(00\)74953-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(00)74953-8)
- Shah, N. P. (2004). Probiotics and prebiotics. *AgroFood Ind. Hi-Tech.*, 27, 13–16.
- Shewale, R., Sawale, P. D., Khedkar, C. D., Singh, A. (2014). Selection criteria for probiotics: a review. *Int. J. Probiot. Prebiot.*, 9, 17–22.
- Shi, L-E., Zhen-Hua, L., Li, D. T., Chen, H.-Y. (2013). Encapsulation of probiotic *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* in alginate-milk microspheres and evaluation of the survival in simulated gastrointestinal conditions. *J. Food Eng.*, 117, 99–104. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2013.02.012>
- Siuta-Cruce, P., Goulet, J. (2001). Improving probiotic survival rates. *Food Technol.*, 55, 36–42.
- Swearingen, P. A., O'Sullivan, D. J., Warthesen, J. J. (2001). Isolation, characterization, and influence of native, non-starter lactic acid bacteria on Cheddar cheese quality. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 84, 50–59. [http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(01\)74451-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(01)74451-7)
- Terzaghi, B. E., Sandine, W. E. (1975). Improved medium for lactic acid streptococci and their bacteriophages. *Appl. Microbiol.*, 29, 807–813.
- Tran, L. T., Yuen, V. G., McNeill, J. H. (2009). The fructose-fed rat: a review on the mechanisms of fructose-induced insulin resistance and hypertension. *Mol. Cell Biochem.*, 332, 145–159. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11010-009-0184-4>
- Tuomola, E., Crittenden, R., Playne, M., Isolauri, E., Sakminen, S. (2001). Quality assurance criteria for probiotic bacteria. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*, 73, 393–398.
- Van der Meulen, R., Makras, L., Verbrugghe, K., Adriany, T., De Vuyst, L. (2006). *In vitro* kinetic analysis of oligo-fructose consumption by *Bacteroides* and *Bifidobacterium* spp. indicates different degradation mechanisms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 72, 1006–1012. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.2.1006-1012.2006>
- Van Hoorde, K., Van Leuven, I., Dirinck, P., Heyndrickx, M., Coudijzer, K., Vandamme, P., Huys, G. (2010). Selection, application and monitoring of *Lactobacillus paracasei* strains as adjunct cultures in the production of Gouda-type cheeses. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 144, 226–235. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.05.007>
- Zareba, D., Ziarno, M., Scibisz, I., Gawron, J. (2014). The importance of volatile compound profile in the assessment of fermentation conducted by *Lactobacillus casei* DN-114 001. *Int. Dairy J.*, 35(1), 11–14. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2013.09.009>