J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol. (JESP), 44(3), 2014: 771 - 778

EVALUATION OF SOME MEDICINAL PLANTS IN CONTROLLING CULEX PIPIENS By

ESSAM ABDEL-SATTAR¹, AHMED ZAITOON², ABEER M. EL SAYED^{*1} and A. A. BAKHASHWAIN²

Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University¹, Cairo 11562, Egypt and Environment and Arid Land Agriculture, Faculty of Metrology, King Abdul-Aziz University², Jeddah, Saudi Arabia (*Correspondence: El Sayed, Fax: +20225321900;E-mail: galbd abeer@yahoo.com

Abstract

The study evaluated the efficacy of methanolic extracts of *Ruta chalepensis* (Rutaceae), *Withania somnifera* (Solanaceae), *Cleome paradoxa* (Capparaceae) and *Heliotropium longi-florum* (Boraginaceae) aerial parts against *Culex pipiens* larvae. Different concentrations (100-500ppm) of the methanolic extracts of the plants were tested towards larval mortality and development of C. *pipiens* separately. Larval mortalities were counted at 2, 4 & 10 days after treatment. Egg hatchability was determined at 4 & 7 days after treatment. Successful pupation and adult emergence percentage were recorded daily till all control adults emerged.

All plants extracts exhibited variable activities. The greatest effect was with *R. chalepensis* which showed acute (2 days) and chronic (10 days) LC_{50} of 132.6 & 96.56 ppm, respectively. Larval mortality up to 84.47% &85.53%, were observed with *C. paradoxa* and *R. Chalepensis* respectively. Egg hatch was significantly reduced about equal with *R. chalepensis* and *W. somnifera* extracts. Concentration levels of *C. paradoxa* (\geq 200 ppm) and *H. longiflorum* (\geq 400ppm) showed significant hindrance to the larval development and reduction to resulting pupae and adults. Drastic development retardation was shown with extract of *C. paradoxa* leaves (300ppm), but only 15.3% & 5.6% of larvae reach pupal and adult stages respectively. The larvicidal activity of methanolic extracts of *R. chalepensis, W. somnifera, C. paradoxa, H. longiflorum* proved to be effective against C. *pipiens* larvae without any human or animal risk.

Keywords: Biological larvicides, *Culex pipiens, Ruta chalepensis, Withania somnifera, Cleome paradoxa, Heliotropium longiflorum.*

Introduction

Undoubtedly, mosquitoes play most serious role in transmission of many zoonotic diseases worldwide, mainly in Tropic and Sub-tropic countries (El Bahnasawy et al, 2013). These diseases are parasitic as malaria and filariasis (El Bahnasawy et al, 2010) or viral as Yellow fever, dengue (Aziz et al, 2014), West Nile Valley and Rift Valley fever (Himeidan et al, 2014). These infectious diseases are more or less encountered in the Middle East Countries (Mackey et al, 2014). Generally, all water sources are common habitats for the immature stages of mosquito species and reducing mosquito-diseases morbidity in both urban and rural areas where a sufficient proportion of larval habitats can be targeted (Kobayashi *et al*, 2008). So, control of mosquito larvae is important in preventing adults emerging and their pathogens (Osório *et al*, 2014).

Many plant derivatives proved effective against a wide array of insect vectors as mosquitoes (El-Hag *et al*, 1996). Plants are a rich source of bioactive compounds as phenolics, terpenoids, coumarins and alkaloids (Abdel-Hady *et al*, 2014). These compounds include active specific target insects are biodegradable to non-toxic products and potentially suitable for the development of new classes of friendly insecticidal agents (Mansour *et al*, 2004).

This study evaluated the efficacy of four plants; *Ruta chalepensis, Withania*

somnifera, Cleome paradoxa & Heliotropium longiflorum as insecticidal agents for *Culex pipiens* larvae.

Materials and Methods

Aerial parts of *R. chalepensis*, *H. longiflorum*, *C. paradoxa* and *W. somnifera* were collected from many insecticidefree areas in Al Taif District and kindly identified by Dr. Saleh Bazaid. These plants and others were deposited in the herbarium of Natural Products and Alternative Medicine, Faculty of Pharmacy, King Abdulaziz University (No. RC1133, HL1021, CP1039 & WS1154, respectively).

Dried powdered plant materials (aerial parts, 5000g each) were separately extracted with methanol (3x700ml) at room temperature. The solvent was distilled off under reduced pressure, extracts were then freeze/dried using a Labconco Dryer-18, model 75018 for 48-72hr and kept at 4°C till needed. Adults & 2nd instar larvae were from culture of *C. pipiens*, at the laboratory, reared on pigeon blood & 10% sucrose solution. Larvae were put in tap water. Experiments were done at Faculty of Meteorology, Environment and Aridland Agriculture.

Methanol extracts of all plants were prepared by dissolving the extract in warm distilled water (0.5g/100ml) using a sonication. Concentrations of 100, 200, 300, 400 & 500ppm were prepared from each stock solution. Twenty freshly laid eggs and ten 2nd instar larvae from culture were put into plastic cups (8x10cm), each with 30ml desired concentration. Treatments were in triplicate and control used only distilled water. Larvae were fed adlibitum and kept under laboratory condition. Egg hatching was determined at 4th & 7th days post-treatment. The mortalities were recorded at 2nd, 4th & 10th days; post-treatment. Pupation and emerging adult percent was determined by monitoring on daily basis.

Statistical analysis: Data were analyzed using maximum likelihood method & LC_{50} were calculated (Finney, 1971), and corrected for mortality (Abbott, 1925). Egg hatch was analyzed by variance. Significant differences (p<0.05) means were separated by Duncan's multiple range test.

Results

Plant extract	Conc.(ppm)	Mortality		
		2 days	4 days	10 days
R. chalepensis	100	41.90±4.186 ^{aA}	45.00±5.00 ^{ab A}	52.23±5.084 ^{bA}
	200	42.20±8.404 ^{aA}	51.10±3.81 ^{aA}	55.57±13.891 ^{aAB}
	300	61.10±3.81 ^{aB}	65.53±5.084 ^{aB}	69.97±5.773 ^{aBC}
	400	67.77±11.693 ^{aB}	72.2±11.688 ^{aB}	78.90±10.179 ^{aC}
	500	73.3±8.825 ^{aB}	75.53±7.736 ^{aB}	85.53±3.868 ^{aC}
W.somnifera	100	40.00±8.825 ^{aA}	43.33±6.65 ^{ab A}	45.57±5.095 ^{aA}
-	200	41.133±7.678 ^{aA}	45.53±6.925 ^{aA}	47.77±3.868 ^{aAB}
	300	46.67±5.773 ^{aA}	48.90±6.965 ^{aA}	53.33±3.35 ^{aBC}
	400	52.20±1.905 ^{aA}	61.13±5.095 ^{bB}	68.87±5.095 ^{bC}
	500	70.00±6.7 ^{aB}	74.43±5.095 ^{abC}	82.20±1.905 ^{bD}
C. paradoxa	100	22.23±3.868 ^{aA}	35.57±5.095 ^{bA}	43.33±3.35 ^{bA}
	200	27.77±5.085 ^{aAB}	43.33±3.35 ^{bA}	61.13±5.095 ^{cB}
	300	32.23±6.926 ^{aB}	61.13±5.095 ^{bB}	71.13±5.095 ^{aC}
	400	47.77±5.085 ^{aC}	68.87 ± 5.095^{BC}	76.67±3.35 ^{bC}
	500	61.10±1.905 ^{aD}	73.33±3.35 ^{bC}	84.47±3.868 ^{cD}
H.longiflorum	100	8.90±1.905 ^{aA}	17.8±1.905 ^{b A}	28.9±1.905 ^{cA}
	200	10.00±3.3 ^{aA}	18.90±1.905 ^{bA}	33.33±3.35 ^{cA}
	300	13.33±3.35 ^{aA}	28.90±1.905 ^{bB}	43.33±3.35 ^{cA}
	400	14.43±3.84 ^{aA}	35.57±1.963 ^{bC}	61.13±5.095 ^{cA}
	500	21.10±1.905 ^{aB}	46.67±3.35 ^{aD}	52.23±39.47 ^{aA}

Conc. (ppm) concentration (parts per million), presence of different small letters in same row =a significant difference in mortality, presence of different capital letters in column = a significant difference between concentration by using Two Way ANOVA followed by Duncan's multiple comparison test at p >0.05

50		1 1	
Plant extract	Assay time (days)	Slope	$LC_{50}(95\%CL) = lethal*$
R. chalepensis	2	1.40	132.60 (178.87-98.28)
	4	1.47	115.95 (159.23-84.28)
	10	1.80	96.56 (130.98-71.66)
R. chalepensis	2	1.03	191.44 (257.91-111.91)
	4	1.17	149.45 (205.75-108.35)
	10	152	132.81 (174.71-100.82)
C. paradoxa	2	0.76	300.50 (195.6-467.9)
	4	1.35	233.60 (131.3-387.9)
	10	1.44	170.10 (101.2-321.5)
H. longiflorum	2	1.03	462.70 (305.9-601.1)
	4	1.76	301.50 (190.3-463.6)
	10	1.86	249.70 (141.3-397.2)

Table 2: LC₅₀ value & 95% confidence limits for C. pipiens larvae in media with methanolic extract

 LC_{50} = lethal concentration (ppm) at which 50% of larvae showed mortality

Larvae suffered up to 86 & 85% mortality after 10 days exposure to 500ppm for *R. chalepensis* and *C. paradoxa* extracts, respectively. But, 200ppm of *R. chalepensis* caused 42% mortality after 2 days. *H. longiflorum* extracts gave the lowest mortalities, while 500ppm gave 72.2% mortality after 10 days post-treatment, with significant differences. Acute toxicity with the plant extracts ranged between132.60 & 462.70 while chronic one ranged between 96.56 & 249.70. The 10 days LC_{50} values for *R. chalepensis* and *W. sominfera* were at 96.56 & 132.81 ppm, respectively, showed more toxic to larvae compared to *C. paradoxa* and *H. longiflorum* with LC_{50} values were 170.1 & 249.7 ppm, respectively. So, *R. chalepensis* and *W. sominfera* are good candidates as botanical larvicides against mosquitoes, where they can serve as biodegradable natural plant products.

I fant extract	conc.(ppin)	Wican±5.D
R. chalepensis	100	72.43±2.503 ^e
	200	62.03±1.595 ^d
	300	52.47±1.290 °
	400	32.63±2.450 ^b
	500	20.60±3.195 ^a
	Control	98.10±0.100 ^f
W. somnifera	100	71.067±1.626 e
	200	63.133±4.202 ^d
	300	54.4±3.724 °
	400	32.8±2.961 b
	500	21.60±4.158 ^a
	Control	98.10±0.100 ^f
C. paradoxa	100	81.63±0.404 ^e
_	200	75.267±0.252 ^d
	300	67.60±0.200 °
	400	50.10±0.361 ^b
	500	43.57±0.252 ^a
	Control	98.10±0.100 f
H. longiflorum	100	86.67±0.351 ^e
	200	81.73±0.153 ^d
	300	75.50±0.300 °
	400	69.33±0.351 ^b
	500	63.33±0.416 ^a
	Control	98.23±0.252 ^f

Table 3: Egg hatchability percentage of C.pipiens in media with methanolic extracts.Plant extractConc.(ppm)Mean±S.D

All values represented as mean \pm Standard Deviation, *significant effect of time by using One Way ANOVA at p< 0.05, same letter = no significant difference by using Duncan multiple comparison test at p<0.05, different letters = a significant difference by using Duncan multiple comparison test at p<0.05

The egg hatchability was significantly low (p<0.05) in all. At 100ppm, W. *sominfera* gave most severe effect on egg hatching as reduced by 29%. At 500 ppm, the four plants' extracts reduced egg hatching by 79.4, 78.4, 56.43 & 36.67% for *R. chalepensis*, *W. sominfera*, *C. paradoxa* and *H. longi*- florum, respectively. Egg hatching was reduced in a concentration gradient. *R. chalepensis* & *W. sominfera* were the effective ones in hatchability inhibition followed by *C. paradoxa* & *H. longiflorum*. These showed that *R. chalepensis* and *W. sominfera* gave the promising effects against mosquito eggs.

Plant extract	Conc. (ppm)	M±S.D (pupation)	M±S.D (emergence)
R. chalepensis	100	20.07±1.704 °	10.00±5.00 ^c
_	200	17.83±2.122 °	9.33±2.309 °
	300	6.90±2.307 ^b	6.90±2.307 bc
	400	3.63±1.193 ^a	3.63±1.193 ^{ab}
	500	1.10±1.100 ^a	$0.00{\pm}0.00$ ^a
	Control	$100.00\pm0.100^{\text{ f}}$	$100.00\pm0.100^{\text{ f}}$
W. somnifera	100	21.2±1.50 °	10.63±2.99 ^d
	200	17.83±2.403 ^d	7.93±1.686 ^{cd}
	300	11.77±1.474 °	6.767±1.33 bc
	400	7.63±1.665 ^b	3.767±0.702 ^b
	500	1.30±1.353 ^a	0.33±0.577 ^a
	Control	100.00±0.100 ^f	100.00±0.100 ^f
C. paradoxa	100	39.27±0.252 °	14.87±0.153 ^e
	200	29.2±9.354 ^d	8.600±0.200 ^d
	300	15.33±0.252 °	5.400±0.400 ^c
	400	8.57±0.351 ^b	2.233±0.252 ^b
	500	1.00±0.100 ^a	0.00 ± 0.00 ^a
	Control	$100.00\pm0.100^{\text{f}}$	100.00±0.100 ^f
H. longiflorum	100	68.13±0.153 ^e	39.00±0.300 ^e
	200	67.3±15.762 ^d	20.20±0.200 ^d
_	300	50.00±0.300 °	19.60±0.200 °
	400	31.43±0.252 ^b	10.00±0.300 b
_	500	10.00±0.20 ^a	3.90±0.100 ^a
	Control	96.60±0.300 ^f	93.00±0.200 f

Table 4: Successful pupation and adult emergence of C.pipiens larvae reared in media with methanol extracts

All values represented as mean \pm Standard Deviation, *significant effect of time by using One Way ANOVA at p< 0.05 Same letter = no significant difference by using Duncan multiple comparison test at p<0.05, different letters = a significant difference by using Duncan multiple comparison test at p<0.05

Considerable reduction was in percentage of larvae successful pupation in all treatments. No larva developed beyond the 2nd instar in *R. chalepensis* 500ppm. All plant extracts had an evident inhibitory effect even at 100ppm, as successful pupations were only 20.07, 21.20, 39.27 & 68.13 for R. chalepensis, W. somnifera, C. paradoxa and H. longiflorum, respectively. Complete suppression of adult emergence was at 500ppm with R. chalepensis and C. paradoxa. Adult emerging percentages with 100ppm were 10.0, 10.63, 14.879 & 39.0% for R. chalepensis, W. somnifera, C. paradoxa and H. longiflorum, respectively.

Discussion

Ruta chalepensis (Rutaceae) is a perennial herb widely used in folk medicine as an antirheumatic, antispasmodic, and a treatment for snake bites, headaches and wounds (Ghazanfar, 1994), and many biological activities such as insecticidal (Jeon et al, 2013), larvicidal (Mookey et al, 2002, Al-Myah et al, 2012), and repellent activity (Hadis et al, 2003). Phytochemical studies revealed the presence of alkaloids, coumarins and flavonoids (Ulubelen et al, 1994; El-Sayed et al, 2000; Farag et al, 2005; Emam and Mahmoud, 2005). Toxic effect of R. chalepensis was reported on whitefly and Spodoptera littoralis (Boised) (Al-mazraawi and Ateyyat, 2009; Emam et al, 2009). Although the toxic mode of action of R. chalepensis on insects is not yet known, it might be attributed to its high content of alkaloids (Shah et al, 1991).

Withania somnifera (Solanaceae) is locally known as Sum El Far or Sum el firakh and used as aphrodisiac, tonic, anthelmintic and narcotic by the traditional medicine practitioners (Patwardhan et al, 1988; Sharma and Dandiya, 1992; Karmegam et al, 1997), also described as an adaptive that enhances survival during stress (Singh et al, 1982). W. somniferais riches with alkaloids and anolides (Schröter et al. 1966; Vitali et al. 1996; Ali et al, 1997). Its larvicidal potentiality against mosquitoes were proved (Banasal et al, 2011; Arora et al, 2011; Ghosh et al, 2012), as well as insecticidal effect on two termite species (El Sayed, 2011).

Few studies reported the chemistry or biological activity of C. paradoxa (Cleomaceae). Abdel-Sattar et al. (2009) studied its anti-diabetic activity and isolated two flavonoids from the active ethyl acetate fractions. Abdel Monem (2011) isolated a new alkaloid and a new cembranoidditerpene from chloroformic fraction. Different species of Cleome possess anthelmintic, insecticidal activity on Spodoptera litura (Phowichit et al, 2008), and larvicidal action on cotton leaf-worm, S. littoralis (Ladhari et al, 2013). Larvicidal potential of wild mustard (Cleome viscosa) against mosquito vectors was also reported (Bansal et al, 2014).

Heliotropium longiflorum (Boraginaceae) is a herbal plant. Genus Heliotropiumis is well known with toxic unsaturated pyrrolizidine alkaloids as heliotrine, cynoglossine (Stegelmeier, 1999; Huxtable, 2001). Wide variety of biological activities were reported for Heliotropium species as antitumor, antibacterial, antifungal, antispasmodic, mydriatic, mutagenic, teratogenic, hepatotoxic activity, insecticide and antifeedant activity (Rizk, 1991; Dolui et al, 2011; Azokou et al, 2013). Heliotropium indicum exhibited high efficacy against resistant and sensitive $3^{rd} \& 4^{th}$ instar larvae of C. quinquefasciatus and Anopheles gambiae (Azokou et al, 2013). From the active methanol fraction of H. indicum as anti-feedant,

a new isoquinoline was isolated with comparable with those of standard insecticides (Dolui *et al*, 2011).

Considerable biological activity related to the toxicity and hindrance of growth and developed larvae of C. pipiens was noticed. R. chalepensis caused high mortality rate compared to others. R. chalepensis has action against parasitic bee mite Varro ajacobsoni (Zaitoon, 2001). Activity of R. chalepensis extracts was attributed in part to alkaloidal content (El-Shanwani, 1996). C. paradoxa and H. longifolium exhibited a relatively mild acute effect on mosquito larvae especially in lower concentrations. But, its chronic toxicity was more than 200ppm. The results showed the importance of toxic, growth and development-retarding influence of R. chalepensis and C. paradoxa on C. pipiens. Besides, the application of these materials did not have any harmful residues to environment since they are naturally local flora. A striking observation on the four plant materials investigated in the present work was that the length of exposure time of all extracts resulted in increased mortality, indicating that larvae cannot tolerate long exposures to such materials.

Many promising, economical and ecofriendly botanical larvicides were reported from the families' *viz*. Apiaceae, Rutaceae and Solanaceae (Jacobson, 1989; Sivagnaname and Kalyanasundaram, 2004). Several phytochemicals as alkaloids, phenolics & terpenoids exit in plants (Wink, 1993) which may jointly or independently contribute to the generation of mosquito larvicidal activities (Hostettmann and Potterat, 1997).

There is continued interest in plants and plant extracts which are effective as control against mosquitoest developmental stages with various active compounds as azadiractins, plumbagin, β -sitosterol and others which are toxic against mosquitoes (Ghazanfar, 1994; Park *et al* 2000; Hmamouchi, 2000; Jang *et al*, 2002; Mookey *et al*, 2002; Hadis *et al*, 2003; Mansour *et* *al*, 2004). Quinoline and pyrrolizidine alkaloids are chemical composition of these plants' extracts with larvicidal activity. For successful application of these phytochemicals ingredients, one must understand the mechanisms of action in the target insects as well as the spectrum of insects affected by them.

Conclusion

This is a primary study on larvicidal activity of methanolic extracts of *R. challepensis, W. somnifera, C. paradoxa, H. longiflorum.* The promising larvicidal, ovicidal and pupicidal activities were *R. chalepensis* and *W. somnifera.* Application of such extracts to mosquito breeding sites is practical importance as nonsynthetic chemical control agents. More studies are ongoing to isolate and identify the active principals of these promising extracts to be developed into effective formulations utilized in integrated vector control and to explore the multiple medicinal properties of these plants.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Professor Dr. Saleh Bazaid, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Umm Al-Quora University, Mecca, Saudi Arabia for identification of plants materials.

The present authors reported no declarations of interest.

References

Abbott, WS, **1925:** A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol. 18:265-7.

Abdel-Hady, NM, El-Hela, AA, Morsy, T A, 2014: Phenolic content of some selected Lamiaceous Egyptian medicinal plants: Antioxidant potential and ecological friend mosquito-larvicidal. J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol. 44, 1:21-4.

Abdel-Monem, AR, 2012: A new alkaloid and a new diterpene from *cleome paradoxa* B.Br. (Cleomaceae). Nat. Prod. Res. 26, 3: 264-9.

Abdel-Sattar, E, Abdel-Monem, AR, Sleem, AA, 2009: Biological and chemical study of *Cleome paradoxa* B.Br. Pharmacog. Res. 1:175-8.

Abraham, A, Kirson, I, Lavie, D, Glotter, E, 1975: Constituents of *Withania sominfe*-

ra. XIV. With anolides of *Withania sominfera* chemotypes 1 & 2. Phytochem. 14:189-93. **Ahn YJ, Lee SB, Lee HS, Kim GH, 1998:** Insecticidal and acaricidal activity of cavacrol and β -thujaplicine derived from *Thujopsis dolabrata* var. *hondai* Sawdust J. Chem. Ecol. 24:81-90.

Ali, M, Shuaib, M, Ansari, SH, 1997: With anolides from the stem bark of *Withania so-mnifera*. Phytochem. 44, 6:1163-8.

Al-Mazraawi, MS, Ateyyat, M, 2009: Insecticidal and repellant activities of medicinal plants extracts against the sweet potato whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Hom. Aleyrodidae) and its parasitoid *Eretomcerus mundus* (Hym. Aphelinidae). J. Pest Sci. 82, 2:149-54

Al-Myah, AA, Al-Mansour, N, Al-Dhaher AH, 2012: Evaluated activity of hexane and ethylacetate extracts of some plants on the mortality of larval mosquitoes *Culex pipiens/molestus* Forskål. Al Basrah J. Sci. 28:67-82.

Amason, JT, Philogene, RJR, Morand, P, 1989: Insecticides of Plant Origin. ACS Symposium Ser.387, Washington, DC.

Arora, M, Sharma, J, Singh, A, Negi, RS, 2011: Larvicidal property of aqueous extracts of *Withania somnifera* on *Tribolium castenum*. Indian J. Fund. Appl. Life Sci. 1:32-6.

Aziz, A, Al-Shami, S, Mahyoub, JA, Hatabbi, M, Ahmad, A, *et al*, 2014: Promoting health education and public awareness about dengue and its mosquito vector in Saudi Arabia. Parasit. Vectors 7, 1:487. [Epub ahead of print]

Azokou, A, Koné, MW, Koudou, BG, Tra, HF, 2013: Larvicidal potential of some plants from West Africa against *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Say) and *Anopheles gambiae* Giles (Diptera: Culicidae). J. Vect. Borne Dis. 50: 103-10

Bansal, SK, Singh, KV, Sharma, S, 2014: Larvicidal potential of wild mustard (*Cleome viscosa*) and gokhru (*Tribulus terrestris*) against mosquito vectors in the semi-arid region of Western Rajasthan. J. Environ Biol. 35, 2: 327-32

Bansal, SK, Singh, KV, Sharma, S, Sherwani, MR, 2011: Comparative larvicidal potential of different plant parts of *Withania somnifera* against vector mosquitoes in the semiarid region of Rajasthan. J. Environ. Biol. 32: 71-5.

Campbell, GL, Martin, AA, Lanciotti, RS, Gubler, D, 2002: West Nile Virus. Lancet

Infect. Dis. 2:519-29.

Dolui, AK, Debnath, M, 2011: A new Insecticidal compound from *Heliotropium indic-um* Linn. Asian J. Chem. 23, 8:34-8.

Duncan, DB, 1957: A significance test for differences between ranked treatments in an analysis of variance-Virginia J. Sci. 2:17189.

El Sayed, G, 2011: Insecticidal effect of plant extracts on two termite species. Arch. Phytopathol. Plant Protec. 44:356-61.

El-Bahnasawy, MM, Abdel Fadil, EE, Morsy, TA, 2013: Mosquito vectors of infectious diseases: Are they neglected health disaster in Egypt? J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol. 43, 2: 373-86

El-Bahnasawy, MM, Dabbous, HKh, Morsy, TA, 2010: Imported malaria as a threat to Egypt. J. Egypt. Soc. Parasitol. 40, 3:773-87

El-Hag, EA, Harraz, FM, Zaitoon, AA, Salama, AK, 1996: Evaluation of some wild herb extracts for control of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). J. King Saud Univ. Agric. Sci. 8:135-9

El-Shanawani, MA, 1996: Used Plants in Saudi Folk Medicine: Published by King AbdulAziz for Science and Technology, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Emam, AM, Mohamoud, MG, 2005: Antibacterial activity of furoquinoline alkaloids against potato soft rot bacterium; *Erwinia carotovora* from *Ruta chalepensis* leaves. J. Union Arab Biol. Cairo 14B:1-14.

Emam, AM, Swelam, E, Megally, N, 2009: Furocoumarin and quinolone alkaloid with larvicidal and antifeedant activities isolated from *Ruta chalepensis* leaves. J. Nat. Prod. 2: 10-22.

Farag, MM, Emam, AM, Mahmoud, ME, 2005: In vitro, evaluation of the antioxidant effect of some botanical ethanolic extracts and isolation of the antioxidant constituent(s) from *Ruta chalepensis* leaves. Al-Fayoum J. Agric. Res. 3:23.

Finney, DJ, 1971: Probity Analysis. 3rd Edition, Cambridge University Press, England.

Ghazanfar, SA, 1994: Handbook of Arabian Medicinal Plants; CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

Ghosh, A, Chowdhury, N, Chandra, G, 2012: Plant extracts as potential mosquito larvicides. Indian J. Med. Res. 135:581-98.

Hadis, M, Lulu, M, Mekonnen, Y, Asfaw, T, 2003: Field trials on the repellent activity of four plant products against mainly *Man*-

sonia population in Western Ethiopia. Phy-tother. Res.17:202-5.

Harborne, JB, 1993: Introduction to Ecological Biochemistry 4th ed. Academic Press, London.

Himeidan, YE, Kweka, EJ, Mahgoub, M M, El Rayah, el-A, Ouma, JO, 2014: Recent outbreaks of rift valley Fever in East Africa and the middle East. Front Publ. Hlth. Oct 6; 2:169. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2014.00169.

Hostettmann, K, Potterat, O, 1997: Strategy for the isolation and analysis of antifungal, molluscicidal, and larvicidal agents from tropical plants. In: Phytochemicals for Pest Control (Eds. Hedin, PA, *et al*,). ACS Symposium Ser. No. 658, American Chemistry Society Washington, DC, USA.

Hubalek, Z, Halouzka, J, 1999: West Nile Fever: A reemerging mosquito-borne viral disease in Europe. Emer. infect. Dis. 5:650-3.

Huxtable, RJ, 2001: Human health implication of pyrrolizidine alkaloids and herbs containing them. In: Cheeke PR ed. Toxicants of Plant Origins. CRC Press Inc.. Boca Raton, FL.

Jacobson, M, 1989: Botanical pesticides: Past, present, and future. In: Insecticides of Plant Origin (eds.: .T. Arnason, B.J.R. Philogene, P. Morand).ACS Symp. Ser. No. 387, Am. Chem. Soc., Washington, DC., USA.

Jeon, JH, Kim, MG, Lee, HS, 2013: Insecticidal activities of *Ruta chalepensis* leaves isolated constituent and structure-relationships of its analogues against *Sitophilus oryzae.* J. Korean Soc. Appl. Biol. Chem. 56: 591-6

Karmegam, N, Sakthivadivel, M, Anuradha, V, Daniel, T, 1997: Indigenous-plant extracts as larvicidal agent against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say. Bioreso. technol. 59:137-40

Kettle, DS, **1995:** Medical and Veterinary Entomology. 2nd edition. CAB, England.

Khanna, KL, Schwarting, AE, Rother, A, Bobbit, JM, 1961: Occurrence of tropine and pseudotropine in *Withanias ominefera*. Lloydia 23:179-82

Kobayashi, M, Kasai, S, Sawabe, K, Tsuda, Y, 2008: Distribution and ecology of potential vector mosquitoes of West Nile Fever in Japan. Global Environ. Res. 12: 27-33.

Ladhari, A, Laarif, A, Omezzine, F, Haouala, R, 2013: Effect of the Extracts of the spider flower, *Cleome arabica*, on feeding and survival of larvae of the cotton leaf worm, Spodoptera littoralis. J. Insect Sci. 13, 61:1-14.

Mackey, TK, Liang, BA, Cuomo, R, Hafen, R, Brouwer, KC, *et al*, 2014: Emerging and re-emerging neglected tropical diseases: A review of key characteristics, risk factors, and the policy and innovation environment. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 27, 4: 949-79.

Mansour, F, Azaizeh, H, Saad, B, Tadmor, Y, Abo-Moch, F, *et al*, 2004: The potential of Middle Eastern flora as a source of new safe bio-acaricides to control *Tetranychus cinnabarinus*, the carmine spider mite. Phytoparasitica32, 1:66-72

Mookey, K, Young, Su, J, Youngjoon, A, Dongkyu, L, Hoiseon, L, *et al*, 2002: Larvicidal activity of Australian and Mexican Plant Extracts against *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex pipiens*. J. Asia Pacif. Entomol. 5:227-31.

Mossa, JS, Al-Yahya, MA, Al-Meshal, IA, 1987: Medicinal Plants of Saudi Arabia: King Saud University Press, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

Osório, HC, Zé-Zé, L, Amaro, F, Alves, M J, 2014: Mosquito surveillance for prevention and control of emerging mosquito-borne diseases in Portugal: 2008-2014. Int. J. Environ. Res. Publ. Hlth. 11, 11:11583-96.

Park, BS, Lee, SE, Choi, WS, Jeong, CY, Song, C, *et al*, 2002: Insecticidal and Acaricidal Activity of Pipernonaline and Piperoctadecalidine derived from dried fruits of *Piper longum* L. Crop Protec. 21:249-51.

Patwardhan, B, Panse, GT, Kulkarni, PH, 1988: Ashwagandha (*Withania somnifera*): a review. J. Nat. Inte. Med. Assoc. 30, 6:7-11. Phowichit, S, Buatippawan, S, Bullangpoti, V, 2008: Insecticidal activity of *Jatrophagos sypifolia* L. (Euphorbiaceae) and *Cleome viscosa* L. (Capparidacae) on *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): Toxicity and carboxylesterase and glutathione-S-transferase activities studies. Commun. Agric. Appl. Biol. Sci. 73:611-9

Rajkumar, S, Jebanesan, A, 2005: Oviposition deterrent and skin repellant activities of *Solanum trilobatum* leaf extract against the malarial vector *Anopheles stephensi*. J. Insect Sci. 5:15-8.

Rizk, AFM, **1991:** The pyrrolizidine alkaloids: Plant sources and properties In: Naturally Occurring Pyrrolizidine Alkaloids. Rizk, AFM (ed.), CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton.

Schröter, HB, Neumann, D, Katritzky, A R, Swinbourne, FJ, 1966: Withasomnine: a pyrazole alkaloid from *Withania somnifera* Dun. Tetrahedron 22, 8:2895-7.

Schumutterrer, H, 1990: Properties and potential of natural pesticides from the Neem tree, *Azadirachtaindica*. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 35:271-6.

Severini, C, Romi, R, Marinucci, M, Raymond, M, 1993: Mechanisms of insecticide resistance in field populations of *Culex pipiens* from Italy. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 9:164-8.

Shah, AH, Qureshi, S, Ageel, AM, 1991: Toxicity studies in mice of ethanol extracts of *Foeniculum vulgare* fruit and *Ruta chalepensis* aerial parts. J. Ethnopharm. 34:167-72.

Sharma, K, Dandiya, PC, 1992: *Withania somnifera* Dunal-present status. Indian Drugs 29, 6:247-53.

Singh, N, Nath, R, Lata, A, Singh, SP, Kohli, RP, *et al*, 1982: *Withania somnifera* (Ashwagandha), a rejuvenating herbal drug that enhances survival during stress (an adaptogen). Int. J. Crude Drug Res. 20:29-35.

Sivagnaname, N, Kalyanadaram, M, 2004: Laboratory evaluation of methanolic extract of *Atlantia monophylla* (Family: Rutaceae) against immature stages of mosquitoes and non-target organisms. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz. Rio de Janerio, 99:115-8.

Stegelmeier, BL, Edgar, JA, Colegate, SM, Gardner, DL, Schoch, TK, *et al*, **1999:** Pyrrolizidine alkaloid plants, metabolism and toxicity. J. Nat. Toxins 8:95-116.

Ulubelen, A, Ertugrul, L, Birman, H, Yigit, R, Erseven, G, *et al*, 1994: Antifertility effects of some coumarins isolated from *Ruta chalepensi* Latifolia. Phytochem. Res. 8:233-6.

Ulubelen, A, Terem, B, Tuzbci, E, Cheng, KF, Kong, YC, 1986: Alkaloids and Coumarins from *Ruta chalepensis*. Phytochem. Res. 25:2692-3.

Vitali, G, Conte, L, Nicoletti, M, 1996: With anolide composition and in vitro culture of Italian *Withania somnifera*. Planta Med. 62, 3:287-88.

Wink, M, 1993: Production and application of phytochemicals from an agricultural perspective. In: Phytochemistry and Agriculture (Eds.: T.A. Van Beek and H. Breteler). Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK.

Zaitoon, AA, **2001:** Evaluation of certain plant extracts for control of parasitic bee mite *Varroajacobsoni*. J. Pest. Cont. Environ. Sci. 9, 3:77-88.