

C. (6 points) Consider the following 8-puzzle states:

1	2	3
8		4
7	6	5

1	2	3
8	6	
7	5	4

Given a puzzle state like the one on the right, where the numbers are in the wrong places, we want to search for a series of moves which ends in the solution on the left.

A path cost could be calculated as the number of moves required.

- a. Write down a heuristic function, h , for this game (remember that this estimates the path cost from a particular state to the solution).

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- b. What is the value of h for the above board state?

.....

.....

.....

.....

- c. In a greedy search, what move would be chosen next? (i.e., move the 3, the 4 or the 6 into the gap?) Is this heuristic admissible?

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

- d. Can you suggest a better heuristic measure?

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Question (3) (16 points)

A. (8 points) Suppose there are two friends living in different cities on a map. On every turn, we can move each friend simultaneously to a neighboring city on the map. The amount of time needed to move from city i to neighbor j is equal to the road distance $d(i; j)$ between the cities, but on each turn the friend that arrives first must wait until the other one arrives (and calls the first on his phone) before the next turn can begin. We want the two friends to meet as quickly as possible.

a. What is the state space? Write a formal description of the state to use it in the rest of the question?

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

b. What is the successor function?

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

c. What is the goal state?

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

d. What is the cost function?

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

e. Let $SLD(i; j)$ be the straight-line distance between any two cities i and j . Which, if any, of the following heuristic functions are admissible? Explain the reason of your choice?

- (i) $SLD(i; j)$ (ii) $2*SLD(i; j)$ (iii) $SLD(i; j)/2$ (iv) None

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

B. (8 points) Just write True/False:

- i. (.....) The omniscience of an intelligent agent requires the agent to have infinite knowledge.
- ii. (.....) Depth-first search always expands at least as many nodes as A* search with an admissible heuristic.
- iii. (.....) True $\not\models$ False.
- iv. (.....) Percept based intelligent agent collects information from its Actuators.
- v. (.....) $(A \leftrightarrow B) \wedge (\neg A \vee B)$ is satisfiable
- vi. (.....) Forward Chaining with the Generalized Modus Ponens is complete in First order predicate Calculus.
- vii. (.....) A Unifier is a substitution for variables in atomic sentences that makes them identical
- viii. (.....) An existentially quantified sentence with one variable can be instantiated several times as long as the Skolem constants used are all distinct.

Question (4) (16 points)

- A. (4 points)** Which of the following pairs can be unified and which can not. For those which can be unified, find a suitable substitution; For those which can not, explain why they cannot be unified.

(First pair)

likes(homer, dinner(x)) \wedge (today(a) \rightarrow dinner(x)).

likes(homer, dinner(cooked_by(y))) \wedge (today(z) \rightarrow dinner(cooked_by(Sally))).

(Second pair)

$p(x) \vee (q(f(x)) \wedge r(y))$.

$p(x) \vee (q(x) \wedge r(M))$.

- B. (6 points)** Translate the following sentences into first-order logic, using a function to represent mother:

All dogs are mammals

Fido is a dog

Fido's mother is a mammal

All mammals have a mother who is a mammal

