Page Proof

World Scientific

worldscientific.com

October 25, 2018 13:33 WSPC/S0218-3013 143-IJMPE 1850086

1International Journal of Modern Physics E2Vol. 27, No. 10 (2018) 1850086 (13 pages)

3 © World Scientific Publishing Company

4 DOI: 10.1142/S0218301318500866



*Corresponding author.

A. Abdelsalam et al.

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Heavy ion collisions, with energy above few GeV per nucleon, are suitable conditions for studying the fine properties of nuclear matter. It gives information on 3 the properties at high temperatures such as density, mechanism of nuclear frag-4 mentations and initial structure of the nucleus. Much works have been performed 5 both experimentally and theoretically for such investigations because it is more 6 complicated due to the possible formation of new states of non-thermal equilib-7 rium. Searching for unusual signals from formation, other intermediate nuclear 8 states and their properties consider as very important tool for studying the new 9 phases of the nuclear materials. These new states¹ are produced during interac-10 tions between nucleons of the interacting nuclei with sufficient collision energies. 11 Its physical properties play the major role and control the characteristics of sec-12 ondary particles. Studying the behavior of interactions helps to get some important 13 information about the dynamics of the collisions. In experiments, it is impossible 14 to measure all parameters that describe the kinematic of the interactions but it 15 can be calculated from theoretical models, which are assumed according to the 16 predictions of different assumptions. One of the experimental parameters that is 17 used to describe the nucleus-nucleus collisions is the angular distributions of fission 18 fragments.² This parameter is an important probe and it can describe the possible 19 mechanisms of fragmentation for both projectile, target nuclei, and investigate the 20 particular flow of the observables and production of secondary particles. In addi-21 tion, it describes the formation and decay of some intermediate stages of interacting 22 nuclei. The main aim of this work is studying experimental behavior of the angular 23 distributions of slow and heavy fission fragments produced from interactions of sil-24 icon ions with composite target of emulsion nuclei at energy 14.6 GeV per nucleon. 25 In previous work² analysis of the experimental results concluded that the angular 26 distributions of secondary fragments are sensitive to entrance channel parameters 27 as well as the statistical aspects of intermediate system as it evolves in time. In 28 this work, the obtained data will be studied in terms of the prediction of the sta-29 tistical model^{3,4} to investigate the mechanism responsible for secondary particle 30 production. 31

32 2. Statistical Model

Statistical model defines a mathematical relationship between collections of vari-33 ables, each variable being a vector of readings of a specific trait on the samples in 34 an experiment. It explains in what way a variable depends on other variables in the 35 study. Statistical model gives mathematical formulation, which embodies a set of 36 assumptions concerning the generation of some sample data. This formulation cor-37 38 relates some of expected and other random variables. The assumptions embodied by statistical model generate a set of probability distributions some of which may be 39 adequate to the experiment results than other models. The distribution of emitted 40 secondary particles as a function of the emission angle θ , which are measured from 41

Possible mechanisms for production of slow target fragments

direction of incident projectile, is one of the important experimental parameters that describes the temperature and mechanism responsible for particle emission. To study this mechanism, we will use statistical model for Maxwell distribution³ for secondary emitted particle and their multiplicities according to their momentum s (let c = 1)

$$\frac{d^2 N}{dP d\mu} \propto P^2 \exp\left\{-\frac{\left(P^2 - 2M\bar{\beta}_{\parallel}P_{\mu}\right)}{P_o^2}\right\},\tag{1}$$

where β_{\parallel} , is the longitudinal velocity of the particle-emitting system. $\mu = \cos \theta$, 6 where θ is the laboratory angle between the momentum of the fragment of mass 7 M and the momentum of the initial projectile, and $P_o = \sqrt{2ME_o}$, where E_o is the 8 characteristic energy per particle in the hypothetical moving system. Equation (1) 9 would be modified when it is expressed in terms of range of the tracks and angle 10 of emissions or μ , where the two quantities are measured in the experiment. Good 11 12 approximation is applied for particle production according to the angle of emission into forward and backward emissions with predicted ratio F/B, and rational 13 14 velocity χ_o , where

$$\chi_o = \frac{\beta_{\parallel}}{\beta_o} = \frac{\bar{\beta}_{\parallel}}{\bar{\beta}_o} \tag{2}$$

which is the ratio of the longitudinal velocity of the center of mass, β_{\parallel} , to the characteristic spectral velocity β_o , of the fragmenting system. When the angular distributions are measured without regard to fragment velocity, $dN/d\mu$ becomes a function of the single fitting parameter χ_o only where

$$\frac{dN}{d\mu} \approx \exp\left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}}\chi_o\mu\right),\tag{3a}$$

$$\frac{F}{B} \approx \exp\left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}}\chi_o\right).$$
 (3b)

19 Hence,

$$\frac{dN}{d\mu} \approx \left(\frac{F}{B}\right)^{\mu},\tag{3c}$$

$$\frac{dN}{d\theta} \approx \sin \theta \left(\frac{F}{B}\right)^{\cos \theta}.$$
(3d)

The values of F/B were obtained in the experiment and Eq. (3a) was a suitable approximation of the exact expression $dN/d\mu$.

22 3. Experimental Details

The data, which are used in this work, are the experimental results of nucleus–
nucleus collisions using the nuclear photo-emulsion detector. The details of these experiments and primary results are published in Refs. 5–7. Nuclear emulsion plates

E 1850086

A. Abdelsalam et al.

are photographic plates with a particularly thick emulsion layer and with uniform 1 grain size. Emulsion plates record the tracks of charged particles passing through. 2 Charged particle in emulsion makes many inelastic collisions with atoms such as 3 silver halides. Atoms along this path lost electrons and became ionized forming 4 a latent image. After chemical development, they formed image could be seen as 5 collection of bulbs and specified by grain density q, which characterizes the charge 6 and velocity of the moving particle. The sensitivity of the used emulsion of FUJI 7 type for single relativistic charged particle is $g_o = 30$ grains per $100 \,\mu\text{m}$ of track 8 length. It exposed to ²⁸Si beam with energy 14.6 A GeV at Brookhaven National 9 Laboratory (BNL) Alternating Gradient Synchrotron (AGS). The identification of 10 any secondary charged particles is completed by counting the grain density g and 11 so the normalized grain density g^* , where $g^* = g/g_o$. According to the magnitudes 12 of g^* of the tracks, the secondary charged particles are identified and classified into 13 three groups of tracks. First are particles with tracks appearing as slower tracks 14 with $g^* \leq 1.4$ corresponding to relativistic particles with velocity $\beta = v/c \geq 0.7$. 15 Most of these tracks are due to mesons of energy above 50 MeV and small fraction 16 of fast protons with energy above 400 MeV. The second group of tracks consists of 17 gray tracks producing particles or gray particles (g-particles) with $1.4 < g^* \leq 10$ 18 and velocity with $0.3 < \beta < 0.7$. These tracks are recoil protons with energy 26 up 19 to 400 MeV and contaminated deuterons, tritons and helium nuclei. The last group 20 of tracks is called black track producing particles or black particles (*b*-particles). 21 These particles take $g^* \geq 10$ and velocity of $\beta \leq 0.3$. It represents slow protons of 22 energy below 26 MeV and deuteron, alpha particles and heavy fragments. The gray 23 tracks and the black tracks are known as tracks of the heavily ionizing particles 24 with the value of the velocity $\beta < 0.7$. The heavily ionizing particles multiplicity is 25 denoted by N_h . 26

In this experiment, the target is composite nucleus. The inelastic interactions 27 are classified into three groups, first is the interaction of 28 Si with hydrogen nucleus, 28 H. The second group includes interactions with light emulsion component carbon, 29 nitrogen, and oxygen, CNO. The third group includes interactions with heavy emul-30 sion components silver and bromine AgBr. The separation of the interactions is 31 based on the multiplicity N_h . Experimental tools for separation of interactions are 32 explained in detail in Refs. 8 and 9. Interactions of $N_h \leq 1$ are with hydrogen nuclei 33 while with CNO are those of $2 \le N_h \le 7$. The third interactions with heavy target 34 group AgBr are of $N_h \ge 8$ with contaminated in range $N_h \le 7$. The overlapped 35 region is small to change the results for interactions with CNO group. 36

37 4. Experimental Results

38 4.1. Angular distribution of gray particles

Initially, we study different mechanisms responsible for gray particle (g-particle) production from interactions of different projectiles with compound target nuclei of emulsion. Figure 1 shows the experimental results for angular distributions of 18-3013 143-I.

143-IJMPE 1850086



Possible mechanisms for production of slow target fragments

Fig. 1. The angular distribution of gray particles emitted in 28 Si-Em interactions at 14.6 A GeV compared with corresponding ¹H, ³He and ⁴He in (a) and with ¹²C and ⁷Li in (b). Smooth curve represents the corresponding prediction of the statistical model.

g-particles emitted from ²⁸Si-Em at 14.6 A GeV (histogram) compared with the 1 corresponding distributions at energy 3.7 A GeV for ¹H,⁴ ³He,¹⁰ ⁴He⁴ in (a), ⁷Li 2 and ${}^{12}C^{11}$ in (b). Generally, all distributions are in the same trend irrespective 3 of both projectile energy and mass number. These results explain that the effect Δ of projectile energy, in this range, is sufficient to make each projectile and target 5 nuclei in a state of full destruction with limited fragmentation processes. The effect 6 of projectile mass number on angles of the production system of q-particles is absent 7 because such mechanism is constant and independent on a number of participant 8 projectile nucleons. q

The angular distributions of q-particles for all projectiles can be described by 10 Rayleigh distribution that is often observed when the overall magnitude of a vector 11 is related to the directional components. It comes from in-isotropic medium with 12 two trends observed at separation angle of 90° . The first is the forward emission at 13 $\theta \leq 90^{\circ}$ and the second is the backward emission at $\theta > 90^{\circ}$. In the forward emis-14 sion, experimental data is represented by Rayleigh distribution, which is Gaussian-15 like distribution, with two symmetric sides. It can describe particle production due 16 to homogenous source around the average value of the emission angle. The forward 17 emission mechanism of g-particles production is explained in terms of the effect 18 of momentum of projectile nucleons on target nucleus to be fragment in the for-19 ward angles. The second mechanism is the backward emission which is represented 20 by exponential decay curve where particles production gradually decreases with 21 increasing angle of emission. Its behavior is explained as cooling curve of target 22 nucleus and backward emission is due to evaporated target fragments.¹⁹ These two 23 trends are observed for the all used projectiles. In Fig. 1, the smooth line represents 24 the predictions for statistical model according to the fitting shape from Eq. (3d) 25 when applied for g-particles. The predicted rational velocity χ_{g}^{g} , is calculated from 26

$A. \ Abdelsalam \ et \ al.$

1 the statistical model by using the following equation

$$\chi_o^g = \frac{\beta_{\parallel_g}}{\beta_\circ} = \cos(\langle \theta_g \rangle). \tag{4}$$

It is noticed that within experimental errors the distribution predicted by statistical 2 3 model is in good agreement with experimental data. The mean value of the emission angles $\langle \theta_q \rangle$ for gray particles is defined as the medium angle, i.e., the angle at 4 which half of the number of the specified particles is emitted. It is compared with 5 the different projectiles in the range of energy 3.7–14.6 A GeV and magnitudes of 6 the parameter χ_o^g and $\beta_{\parallel g}$ are given in Table 1. The comparison shows that these 7 parameters are nearly constant and independent on projectile mass number which 8 reflects the constancy of the mechanisms which are responsible for emission of this 9 particles. From Table 1 and Fig. 1 it is noticed that, the constancy in the values of 10 $\langle \theta_q \rangle \sim 64^\circ$, independent of the variation of the projectile mass number as well as 11 incident energy. The rational velocity for the system of gray particle emission χ_{α}^{g} is 12 nearly equal to 0.5 for all interactions. The emitting system of the *g*-particles is fast 13 with typical longitudinal velocities $\beta_{//}^g \approx 0.13$ –0.20. The anisotropic ratio $(F/B)_g$ is the ratio of the number of forward fragments of g-particles to that emitted in the 14 15 backward fragment for different projectiles are given in Table 1. These ratios appear 16 constant and range between 2.5 to 3.9. It proves that all predictions of statistical 17 models for all given projectiles are similar and controlled by two fixed mechanisms 18 for g-particle production. In Ref. 10, similar conclusions were observed for oxygen 19 and sulfur at 200 A GeV. 20

In this section, we explain the effect of target size on the angular distribution of g-particles. In Sec. 3 and in our previous work^{20,21} we stated that the emulsion is compound target and collisions are classified into three main groups according to multiplicity of heavily ionizing the secondary charged particle N_h . These particles are pure target fragments and can be considered as experimental parameters, which describe the degree of overlapping of projectile and target nuclei. First group

Table 1. The average values of the emission angles of the gray particles $\langle \theta g \rangle$ in different interactions with emulsion at energy 3.7–14.6 A GeV, in addition ²⁸Si + CNO and ²⁸Si + AgBr. The rational velocity of the system χ^g_o , ratio $(F/B)_g$ and longitudinal velocity $\beta_{\parallel g}$ based on statistical model.

Projectile	$\langle \theta_g^\circ \rangle$	χ^g_o	$(F/B)_g$	$\beta_{\parallel g}$	Ref.
$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	67.80 ± 1.2	0.59	3.80 ± 0.20	0.20	4
$^{4}\mathrm{He}$	62.80 ± 3.1	0.48	3.00 ± 0.30	0.17	4
⁶ Li	64.90 ± 2.2	0.47	2.90 ± 0.20	0.16	12
$^{7}\mathrm{Li}$	63.50 ± 2.0	0.45	3.82 ± 0.20	0.16	11 and 13
$^{12}\mathrm{C}$	64.00 ± 1.9	0.55	3.51 ± 0.20	0.19	4
22 Ne	63.70 ± 1.6	0.55	3.50 ± 0.12	0.19	13
^{28}Si	63.30 ± 1.4	0.55	3.52 ± 0.16	0.19	4, 14–16
²⁸ Si	64.76 ± 2.1	0.49	3.05 ± 0.27	0.17	Present work
$^{28}\text{Si} + \text{CNO}$	62.44 ± 5.2	0.46	2.84 ± 0.24	0.16	Present work
$^{28}\mathrm{Si} + \mathrm{AgBr}$	65.56 ± 3.5	0.41	2.54 ± 0.25	0.14	Present work

Possible mechanisms for production of slow target fragments

with multiplicity $N_h \leq 1$ includes interactions with hydrogen and low statistics 1 are excluded from this consideration. Second group includes the interactions with 2 light emulsion components CNO, where $2 \leq N_h \leq 7$ and are considered as gentle 3 interactions. Third group includes interactions of ²⁸Si with heavy emulsion nuclei 4 AgBr. It is considered as hard interactions and characterized by $N_h \geq 8$. Fig-5 ure 2 shows the angular distributions of q-particles emitted from interactions of 6 28 Si with CNO compared with ¹H, ³He and ⁴He in Fig. 2(a) and ¹²C and ⁷Li in 7 Fig. 2(b). Figure 3 shows the corresponding distributions for collisions with AgBr. 8 The figures show that the angular distributions for both two-target components are 9 different from each other. For gentle interactions, most probable angles are in for-10 ward hemisphere and minimum probability for angles in backward direction because 11 the effect of projectile momentum in forward direction strongly appears for inter-12 actions with light target nuclei. In hard interactions, fluctuation is limited in the 13 forward direction while most fluctuations are observed in the backward hemisphere 14 which gradually decrease with angles to reach minimum multiplicity in opposite 15 direction ($\theta = 180^{\circ}$). This is noticed in ratio $(F/B)_q$ in Table 1. For gentle interac-16 tions, its value is 2.84 ± 0.24 while it decreases to 2.54 ± 0.25 for hard interactions. 17 In addition, the average angle of emission for gentle interactions is $62.44^{\circ} \pm 5.18$ 18 and it increases to $65.56^{\circ} \pm 3.51$ for hard interactions. The rational parameter χ_{g}° 19 decreases with target mass number, i.e., the system responsible for emission of q-20 particles becomes slower. This is expected due to the effect of target size on the 21 22 velocity of the system responsible for particle production. This becomes sufficient for projectile nucleons to lose its momentum up to be emitted as recoil fragments 23 in wide range of angles. This results are noticed for all compared and different 24 projectiles, which proved that there are two different mechanisms responsible for 25



Fig. 2. The angular distribution of gray particle emitted 28 Si interactions at 14.6 A GeV with light emulsion component CNO compared with the corresponding distribution for ¹H, ³He and ⁴He in (a) and with 12 C and ⁷Li in (b). Smooth curve represents the corresponding prediction of the statistical model using Gaussian-fitting shape.

A. Abdelsalam et al.



Fig. 3. The angular distribution of gray particle emitted 28 Si interactions at 14.6 A GeV with heavy emulsion component AgBr compared with the corresponding distribution for ¹H, ³He and ⁴He in (a) and with 12 C and ⁷Li in (b). Smooth curve represents the corresponding prediction of the statistical model using Gaussian-fitting shape.

g-particles production one for forward emission and other for backward direction.
 The effect of the target size is observed on the two production mechanisms.

3 4.2. Angular distribution of black particles

4 Secondly, we study the angular distributions and properties of the mechanism 5 responsible for the production of slow particles, which appear as black tracks in 6 emulsion experiments. These particles play an important role in describing the 7 nature of the interactions between projectile and target nuclei. Figure 4 shows the



Fig. 4. The angular distribution of black particles emitted in 28 Si-Em interactions at 14.6 A GeV compared with corresponding ¹H, ³He and ⁴He in (a) and with ¹²C and ⁷Li in (b). Smooth curve represents the corresponding prediction of the statistical model.

Possible mechanisms for production of slow target fragments

Table 2. The average values of the emission angles of the black particles $\langle \theta_b \rangle$ in different interactions at energy 2.2–14.6 A GeV, in addition to the rational χ_o° velocity of the system, the ratio $(F/B)_b$ and longitudinal velocity $\beta_{\parallel b}$ of black particle emission, on the basis of statistical model.

Projectile	$\langle \theta_b \rangle$	χ^b_o	$(F/B)_b$	β_{\parallel_b}	Ref.
$^{1}\mathrm{H}$	85.3 ± 1.9	0.11	1.28 ± 0.1	0.013	4
4 He	83.0 ± 3.8	0.13	1.35 ± 0.1	0.015	4
⁶ Li	82.2 ± 2.6	0.12	1.30 ± 0.2	0.018	12
7 Li	81.3 ± 2.3	0.11	1.28 ± 0.1	0.013	11 and 13
$^{12}\mathrm{C}$	79.5 ± 2.4	0.11	1.27 ± 0.1	0.013	4
²⁸ Si	83.3 ± 1.2	0.20	1.59 ± 0.1	0.023	14, 18, 19
²⁸ Si	83.5 ± 1.9	0.11	1.26 ± 0.1	0.013	Present work
$^{28}\mathrm{Si} + \mathrm{CNO}$	79.9 ± 3.7	0.17	1.48 ± 0.1	0.019	Present work
$^{28}\mathrm{Si} + \mathrm{AgBr}$	84.9 ± 2.2	0.09	1.22 ± 0.1	0.010	Present work

angular distributions of the b-particles compared to those recorded for ¹H, ³He and 1 ⁴He in (a) and for ⁷Li and ${}^{12}C$ in (b). The average values of the emission angle for 2 different interactions are given in Table 2. Within experimental errors, the angular 3 distributions for using projectiles are in the same trend and the mean emission 4 angles approximately take fixed value. It concludes that the mechanism responsible 5 for emission of *b*-particles is independent of projectile size and its energy because 6 these particles are pure target fragments. It is noted that the spectrum seems to be 7 8 symmetric around the peak position, which is near the mean value $\langle \theta_b \rangle$.

The feature of this spectrum implies that the emission of *b*-particles, in forward g and backward hemispheres, tends to be symmetric in both directions where the 10 maximum particle multiplicity approaches the region of $\theta_{lab} \sim 90^{\circ}$. The similarity 11 for particle emission is different from that observed for g-particles. It proves that, 12 for both directions, there is a single mechanism responsible for b-particle produc-13 tion. This mechanism is independent of projectile mass number and interaction 14 energy. It is characterized by isotropic thermal excitation of target nucleus and the 15 system temperature is uniformly distributed over most target nucleons. In the last 16 stage of collision, target nucleus begins to cool by the emission of heavy fragments 17 along the wide range of angles, which are independent of the direction of incident 18 projectile. The fragments are massive particles with minimum energy and residual 19 target nucleus. 20

Angular distribution of *b*-particles emitted from 28 Si–Em interactions at energy 21 14.6 A GeV compared with the corresponding prediction of statistical model 22 (smooth line) are shown in Fig. 4. The predicted distribution by the model shows 23 good agreement with experimental results. The model predicts the rational velocity 24 χ^b_{\circ} and longitudinal velocity $\beta_{\parallel b}$ where their values are given in Table 2. The magni-25 26 tude of β_{\circ}^{b} is taken to be ~0.115 from Ref. 4. We can conclude that the constancy in the values of $\langle \theta_b \rangle \sim 83^\circ$ is independent of the variation of projectile mass number as 27 well as projectile energy. The rational velocity χ^b_{\circ} tends to be ~0.13 for the system 28 of black particles. The ratio $(F/B)_b$ for different projectiles is more concise in small 29

A. Abdelsalam et al.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8 range 1.2–1.6 which supports the prediction of the fixed mechanism of *b*-particle production and different from that for the gray system. The emitting system of the *b*-particles is slow and has a typical longitudinal velocities $\beta_{//}^b$ whose range is $\beta_{//}^b \sim 0.010-0.023$. The temperature of the emitting system can be calculated using $T = 1/2M\beta_o^2$ where *M* is the nucleon mass. Its value for black particles is found to be 6 MeV per nucleon. It is approximately equal to the binding energy per nucleon at the normal state of the nucleus. The corresponding temperature for the system responsible for *g*-particle production is calculated by using the magnitude of



Fig. 5. The angular distribution of black particle emitted 28 Si interactions at 14.6 A GeV with light emulsion component CNO compared with the corresponding distribution for 1 H, 3 He and 4 He in (a) and with 12 C and 7 Li in (b). Smooth curve represents the corresponding prediction of the statistical model using Gaussian-fitting shape.



Fig. 6. The angular distribution of black particle emitted 28 Si interactions at 14.6 A GeV with light emulsion component AgBr compared with the corresponding distribution for ¹H, ³He and ⁴He in (a) and with 12 C and ⁷Li in (b). Smooth curve represents the corresponding prediction of the statistical model using Gaussian-fitting shape.

Possible mechanisms for production of slow target fragments

1 $\beta_{\circ}^{g} \sim 0.35$ (Ref. 4). The value is found to be 58 MeV per nucleon, which is sufficient 2 for nucleon to make a cascade of secondary interactions before escaping from hot 3 size of interactions.

The final point of interest is the effect of target size on angular distributions of *b*-particles. The effects for both two-target components are shown in Fig. 5 for gentle interaction with CNO and Fig. 6 for hard interaction with AgBr. The experimental and predicted parameters are given in Table 2.

It is noticed that there is clear effect of target size on mechanism responsible 8 for black particle productions. For gentle interactions, the emission of evaporated 9 *b*-particles begins from angles 20° and most probabilities are nearly constant in 10 forward direction up to 90° while in backward directions, the probability begins, 11 fast decreasing with angles. This could be explained by assuming that for small tar-12 get size (CNO), there is strong effect of projectile momentum on the angles of the 13 emission of black fragments. This effect disappears for hard interactions and distri-14 butions show clear symmetric around middle angle. The mean values of emission 15 angles are about 85° and 80° for hard and gentle interactions, respectively. These 16 values proved that the angular distributions are more symmetric for hard inter-17 actions and slightly deviate for gentle interactions. The ratio $(F/B)_b$ and rational 18 parameter χ^b_{\circ} increase from 1.22 ± 0.07 and 0.09 for hard interactions to 1.48 ± 0.08 19 and 0.17 for gentle interactions, respectively. It proves that the system of emission 20 for black particles becomes slower and shows low temperature with increasing mass 21 number of the interacting target nucleus. 22

23 5. Conclusions

4

5

6 7

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

- (1) The angular distributions of each of gray and black particles are independent of each projectile mass number and projectile energy.
- (2) The angular distribution for g-particles indicates two mechanisms for particle production: one for forward particle which takes homogenous Gaussian distribution due to the effect of projectile momentum and the second in backward emissions due to recoil protons from both projectile and target nucleons.
- (3) The angular distributions of gray and black particles, which emitted from ²⁸Si-Em interactions at energy 14.6 A GeV, are well described by the statistical model.
- (4) The experimental parameters such as mean values of the emission angles for g-particles are $\langle \theta_g \rangle \sim 64^{\circ}$ and for b-particles are $\langle \theta_b \rangle \sim 83^{\circ}$. These values are nearly constant for the corresponding collisions using different mass number of the projectiles in the range of collisions energies 2.2–14.6 A GeV.
- (5) The predicted rational velocity by statistical model χ_o which describes the system that is responsible for emission of the secondary particles is nearly equal to 0.5 for g-particles and tends to be ~0.13 for b-particles.
- 40 (6) The velocity of the emitting system is described by the parameter $\beta_{//,}$ where the emitting system for g-particles is fast and with typical longitudinal

A. Abdelsalam et al.

1	velocities $\beta_{ll}^g \sim 0.13 - 0.20$ while it is slow for emission of b-particle in the
2	range $\beta_{11}^{b} \sim 0.008 - 0.019$.
3	(7) The anisotropic ratio F/B is found to be 2–3 for the system that is respon-
4	sible for g -particle productions while it is 1.2–1.6 for the system of b -particle
5	production.
6	(8) The temperatures of the system, which is responsible for emission of secondary
7	slow fragments, are found to be $58 \mathrm{MeV}$ for g-particle productions while $6 \mathrm{MeV}$
8	for <i>b</i> -particles.
9	(9) The angular distributions for both gray and black particles depend on the size
10	of the target nucleus. Most of the emission angles for g -particles are mainly in
11	forward angles for small target nuclei CNO, while it is in symmetry distribu-
12	tions between forward and backward hemispheres for heavy target AgBr.
13	(10) The systems, which are responsible for gray and black particle productions,
14	become slower and show low temperature with increasing target mass number.
15	$\mathbf{Acknowledgment}$
16	The authors would like to thank P.L. Jain for supplements of the irradiated emulsion
17	plates.
18	References
19	1. S. K. Karn, R. S. Kaashal and Y. K. Mathur, Z. Phys. C 72 (1996) 297.
20	2. A. Abdelsalam, M. Šumbera and S. Vokál, <i>JINR</i> Report (Dubna) El-82–509 (1982).
21	3. H. H. Hackmann, H. J. Crawford, D. E. Greiner, P. J. Lindstrom and W. Wilson
22	Lamce, <i>Phys. Rev. C</i> 17 (1978) 1651.
23	4. A. Abdelsalam, <i>Phys. Scr.</i> 47 (1993) 124. 5. M. El-Nadi, M. S. El-Nagdy, N. Ali-Mossa, A. Abdelsalam, A. M. Abdalla and A. A.
24	Hamed, J. Phys. G. Nucl. Phys. 25 (1999) 1169.
26	6. M. El-Nadi et al., Eur. Phys. J. A, Hadrons Nuclei 10 (2001) 177.
27	7. M. El-Nadi et al., J. Phys. G, Nucl. Part. Phys. 28 (2002) 1251.
28	8. J. R. Florian <i>et al.</i> Report submitted to the meeting of division of particles and fields, Parkely, California (1972)
29	9 A Abdelsalam JINB Report (Dubna) El-81–623 (1981)
AQ: Kindly	10. A. Abdelsalam, M. S. El-Nagdy, N. Rashed, B. M. Badawy and E. El-Falaky, J. Nucl.
provide	Radiat. Phys. 2 (2007) 49.
page	11. M. El-Nadi, A. Abdelsalam, M. M. Sherif, N. Ali-Moussa, M. S. El-Nagdy, <i>IL Nuovo</i>
page	Cimento 107 (1994). 12 M. I. Adamovich et al. LUND Report LUIP 8006 (1080)
	13. M. M. Sherif, <i>Il Nuovo Cimento</i> 109A (1996) 1135.
37	14. M. El-Nadi, A. Abdelsalam and N. Ali-Moussa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E. 3 (1994) 811.
38	15. Z. Dong-Hai and LI. Hui-Ling, Chin. Phys. C 33 (2009) 345.
39	16. A. Abdelsalam, B. M. Badawy and E. El-Falaky, Can. J. Phys. 85 (2007) 837.
40	17. A. Abdelsalam, M. S. El-Nagdy, A. M. Abdalla and A. Saber, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 24 (2015) 1550084
41 42	18. M. El-Nadi, A. Abdelsalam, N. Ali-Moussa, Z. Abou-Moussa, Kh. Abdel-Waged.
43	W. Osman and Badawy, IL Nuovo Cimento A 111 (1998) 1243.

2

3

143-IJMPE 1850086

Possible mechanisms for production of slow target fragments

1	19.	M. El-Nadi.	. A. Abdelsalam	and N.	Ali-Moussa.	Radiat.	Phus.	Chem.	47 ((1996)	681.
+	TO .	THE THE FURNER	, i.i. iiouoiouiuiii	and it.	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	reaction.	1 1090.	Chechie.	- • (1000)	001.

- M. S. El-Nagdy, A. Abdelsalam, B. M. Badawy, P. I. Zarubin, A. M. Abdalla and A. Saber, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* 35 (2018) 032501.
- M. S. El-Nagdy, A. Abdelsalam, B. M. Badawy, P. I. Zarubin, A. M. Abdalla, M. Nabil
 Yasin, A. Saber, M. M. Mohamed and M. M. Ahmed, J. Phys. Commun. 2 (2018)
 035010.