

**ASSESSMENT OF THE PESTE DES PETITS RUMINANTS (PPR)
ATTENUATED VIRUS PRODUCED BY INOCULATING VERO CELL LINE
AT DIFFERENT STAGES OF CELL GROWTH**

**El-Dakhly A.T^{*}; Youssef M. M¹; Abeer A.Tammam^{*}; and Namaa A.
Mohamed^{**}**

^{*}Rinder Pest Vaccine Research Department, Veterinary Serum and Vaccine
Research Institute, Abbasia, Cairo, Egypt,

^{**}Pox Vaccines Research Department, Veterinary Serum and Vaccine
Research Institute, Abbasia, Cairo, Egypt

***Corresponding author:** El-Dakhly A.T, Mobile: +201009191527
Email: ashraf.eldakhly@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

This work presents cultural characterization of Peste Des Petites Ruminants (PPR) virus in VERO-culture. The VERO cells are currently considered as an acceptable cell substrate to produce a wide range of viruses. This study evaluates the best time for inoculation of PPR virus on VERO cell cultures; the study proved that the optimum time was 24 hours after subculture of VERO cell line using MOI 2: 1. It was also found that the best time of harvestation of virus fluid of PPR was 9th day post inoculation to reach the best titre 6 log₁₀ TCID₅₀ /ml.

Keywords: VERO cell - peste des petits ruminants - cell growth

INTRODUCTION

The pest des petites ruminants (PPR) virus has been classified under family Paramyxoviridae, order Mononegavirales; genus Morbillivirus (**Van Regenmortel et al., 2000**). Pest des petites ruminant (PPR) is an acute viral disease of small ruminants characterized by fever, oculo-nasal discharges, stomatitis, diarrhea and pneumonia with foul offensive breath. PPRV is transmitted mainly by aerosols between animals living in close contact (**Lefevre and Diallo, 1990**). Virus replication in cultures suspension containing serum was reported and reviewed by **Westwood et al (1960)**. Since Vero cells are currently

considered as an acceptable cell substrate to produce a wide range of viruses, a virus production platform was developed using Vero cells adapted to grow in suspension in serum-free media. After adapting anchorage-dependent Vero cells to grow as a free-cell suspension, vesicular stomatitis virus, herpes simplex virus-1 and polio virus-1 production rates were evaluated in batch cultures using spinner flasks and perfused cultures in a bioreactor.

The achieved results constitute valuable information for the development of a low-cost high-productivity process using a suspension culture of Vero cells to produce viral vaccines (**Paillet et al 2009**). Higher virus titres were obtained on BHK21 rather than LLCMK2 cells when the viruses were added to cell suspension (**Mayling et al 2005**). Virulence and biological properties of the virus can be detected by titration method (**Mariner et al. 1990**). Vero cells have been the most commonly used host for isolation and cultivation of morbilliviruses including PPRV (**Diallo et al. 1989a**).

VERO cells and some lines of primary bovine kidney are sensitive to PPR virus multiplication where the cytopathic effects develop after four days (**rossiter et al 1985; rossiter and wardley 1985**). **Asim et al (2009)** mentioned that VERO Cells were found to be healthy till day 3 post inoculation. On fourth day, initiation of cytopathic effects was observed in the form of rounding of the cells which progressed gradually to aggregation of cells leading to formation of syncytia. Infected cells were first harvested when CPE was 60% on day six post inoculation, while second harvest was taken when more than 80% CPE was present. This work was planned for assessment the best time of inoculation of PPR virus in Vero cell culture to obtain the highest virus titer providing intensive production of vaccine.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Attenuated pest des petites ruminant's virus (PPRV):

Attenuated strain of PPR virus (Nigerian Strain 75/1) (**Diallo et al, 1989b**)” was obtained from Rinder Pest Research Department,

Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute, Abbasia Cairo, and used for vaccine preparation as well as for carrying out viral titration test.

Tissue culture

Vero cells were seeded at a density of 2×10^4 cells/cm² into prescription flasks. These cells were propagated and supplied by the same department using Dulbecc's minimum essential medium (MEM) prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions. The medium was supplemented with 10 % new born calf serum.

Determination of ideal time to virus infection in Vero cell culture

Six groups of cell culture prescription flasks were cultured with Vero cells where the 1st group was kept as cell control (control negative) and the other 5 groups were infected with PPRV using MOI of 2:1 (2 viruses/cell) at different 0 time, 12; 24; 36 and 48 hours post cell culturing respectively.

Virus titration

Titration of PPR virus was performed to determine the virus titer obtained in Vero cells at different stages of cell growth. Virus titration was carried out using the micro titer technique as described by **Mariner et al. (1990)**. The best time to obtain the highest titer of PPR virus was determined by titration in Vero cell culture grown by the stationary method. The virus titer was calculated according to **Reed and Muench (1938)**.

Growth kinetics curve of PPR virus in VERO cell culture

Growth kinetics curve of PPR virus were studied on the virus obtained from cell culture infected on 24 hours post culturing. Both of the cell free, cell associated and total virus yield titers were determined to detect the best time of virus harvest to obtain the highest virus titer.

RESULTS

PPR virus titer as affected by the time of cell culturing

The obtained results showed that infection of Vero cells using MOI of 2:1 (2 viruses/cell) at 0 time resulted in a virus titer of 4 log 10 TCID₅₀ /ml while cell infection at 12; 24, 36 and 48 hours post culturing induced virus titer of 5; 6; 5 and 5log10 TCID₅₀ /ml respectively as shown in table (1).

Growth kinetics curve

The results of growth kinetics curve of PPR virus in Vero cell culture infected after 24 hours of culturing showed that the titer of cell free virus was 1.6 log 10 TC ID₅₀ / ml in the 1st day reached its peak on the 10th day to be 3.3 log 10 TCID₅₀ / ml. The cell associated virus titer was 1.2 log 10 TCID₅₀ /ml in the 1st day then titer increased gradually reached its peak by the 7th day to become 3.4log 10 TCID₅₀/ ml. The total virus yield in the 1st day was 2.5 log 10 TCID₅₀/ml and increased gradually reached its peak on the 9th day as 6.7log 10 TCID₅₀/ml (table-2 &Fig.1).

Table (I): PPR virus titer as affected by the time of all culturing


Item	PPRV titer (log10 TCID ₅₀ /ml) on different time of infection post cell culturing				
TIPCC*	0 time	12 hours	24 hours	36 hours	48 hours
VT**	4	5	6	5	5

*TIPCC= time of infection post cell culturing **VT= virus titer

Table (2): Growth kinetics of PPR virus in Vero cell culture infected 24 hours post cell culturing

Days post infection	Virus titer (log 10 TCID ₅₀ /ml)		
	Cell free virus	Cell associated virus	Total virus yield
1	1.6	1.2	2.5
2	1.4	2	3.2
3	1.5	2.3	3.5
4	1.4	2.6	3.8
5	1.6	2.5	3.9
6	1.7	3	4.4
7	2.5	3.4	5.7
8	2.9	3.2	5.9
9	3.2	3.3	6.7
10	3.3	2.8	6.2
11	3.1	2.5	5.8

Fig (1): PPR virus growth kinetics in Vero cell culture



DISCUSSION

The replication cycle for different paramyxovirus is similar and the first step is the attachment of the virus on the cell surface and membrane fusion to release a genome into the cell cytoplasm (**Moss and Griffin, 2006**). The H protein is responsible for the attachment of the virus to the cell surface through recognition of and binding to vero cell receptor molecules (**Seki et al., 2003, Adombi et al., 2011**). Attachment of the H protein to receptors activates the fusion activity of the F protein, enabling a fusion of the viral envelope with the vero cell membrane and release of the viral genetic material into the cell cytoplasm. Morbilliviruses replicate solely in the cytoplasm of cells. The research documented in **OIE (2006)** stating that it is sometimes difficult to see the syncytia in Vero cells infected with PPR virus.

The production of virus in cell culture system is dependent upon a number of parameters including the cell density at infection, time of infection and the multiplicity of infection (**Berry et al. 1999**). Parallel to this information we found that the best time of PPR virus infection in Vero cell line was 24 hour after culturing using an MOI of 2:1 (2 viruses/cell) where the titer of PPR virus reached its peak value of 6 log₁₀ TC ID₅₀ / ml. These results nearly agree with (**Mohan, 2004; John et al ,2006 and Mohan et al 2009**)who mentioned that Arasur strain of PPR vaccine virus strain showed CPE after 36 hour post infection and was characterized by cell rounding and formation of syncytia in BHK 21 cells and vero cell.

The results of growth kinetics curve of PPR virus in Vero cell culture infected after 24 hours of culturing were 1.6 log₁₀ TCID₅₀, 1.2log₁₀ TCID₅₀ and 2.5 log₁₀ TCID₅₀ to cell free, cell associated and total virus yield respectively on the 1st day (table 2&Fig.1). These titers reached to their peak on the 9th day to be 3.2 log₁₀ TCID₅₀, 3.3 log₁₀ TCID₅₀ and 6.7 log₁₀ TCID₅₀ to cell free, cell associated and Total virus yield respectively(table 2&Fig.1). In this respect, **Ahmed (1990)** documented the high susceptibility of Vero cells to PPR virus infection showing CPE

by 48 post cell infections. In addition, **Osman et al (1994)** found that the highest PPR virus titer produced by Vero cells was represented by 5.6; 5.7 and 5.4 log₁₀ TCID₅₀ /ml for the cell free, cell associated and total PPR virus yield by 72 hours post infection of Vero cell culture. Moreover, they detected the presence of the virus 12 hours post cell infection through application of direct fluorescent antibody technique. Also the present results are similar to those reported by **Rashwan et al (2000)** who mentioned that the results of growth curve study of strain 75/1 in vero cell culture declared that the peak of the virus titre (6log₁₀ TCID₅₀/ml) was obtained between the 8th and 9th days after inoculation. It was recommended that the minimal required PPR virus titer is 4.5log₁₀ TCID₅₀/100 doses (**WHO, 1970**).

CONCLUSION

From this study, we can conclude that the best time of PPR virus inoculation must be applied at 24 hour after sub culturing VERO cell lines to obtain the highest virus titer (6 log₁₀ TCID₅₀ /ml) .which was reached at 9th day post inoculation.

REFERENCES

- Adombi, C.M ; Lelenta, M; Lamien, C.E; Shamaki, D; Koffi, Y.M; Traore, A; Silber, R; Couacy-Hymann ,E; Bodjo, S.C; Djaman, J.A; Luckins, A.G and Diallo A.2011** . Monkey CV1 cell line expressing the sheep–goat SLAM protein: a highly sensitive cell line for the isolation of peste des petits ruminants virus from pathological specimens. J. Virol. Methods; 173:306–313.
- Ahmed, M.M. 1990.** Susceptibility of different tissue culture cells to virus of pest des petites ruminants. M. Vet. Sci. Thesis (Microbiology), Fac. Vet. Med. Alex University.
- ASIM, M; A. RASHID; A. H. CHAUDHARY AND M. S. NOOR 2009.** Production of homologous live attenuated cell culture vaccine for the control of peste des petits ruminants in small ruminants. Pakistan Vet. J., 29(2): 72-74.
- Berry, J.M; Barbabe, N; Coombs, K.M. and Butler, M. 1999.** Production of reovirus type 1 and type 3 from Vero cells grown on solid and macroporous microcarriers. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 62 (1): 12-19.
- Diallo, A; Barrett, T; Barbron, M; Shaila, M.S and Taylor, W.P 1989a.** Differentiation of rinderpest and peste des petits ruminants' viruses using specific cDNA clones. J Virol Methods. 23:127–136.

- Diallo, A; Taylor, W. P; Lefevre, P.C and Provost, A 1989b.** Attenuation d'une souche de virus de la Peste Des Petits Ruminants: candidat pour un vaccine homologue vivant. Rev.Elev. Med. Vet. Pays Trop; 42, pp .311-319.
- John, K; Chandran, N. D. J; Ramaswamy, V; Balachandran, C and Kirubaharan, J .J 2006.** Adaptation of PPR vaccine virus in BHK₂₁ monolayer culture Indian Vet. J.1143-1146.
- Lefevre, P.C and Diallo, A 1990.** Peste des petits ruminants. Rev. Sci. tech. Off. Int. Epiz, 9, 951–965.
- Mariner, J.C; House, J.A; Sollod, A.E; Stem, C; Ende, M.V.D and Mebus C.A 1990.** Comparison of the effect of various chemical stabilizers and lyophilization cycles on the thermo stability of a vero cell-adapted rinderpest vaccine. Vet Microbiol. 21:195–209.
- Mayling Alvarez; Rosmari Rodriguez-Roche; Lìdice Bernardo; Luis Morier and Maria, G. Guzman 2005.** Improved Dengue Virus Plaque Formation onBHK21 and LLCMK2 Cells: Evaluation of Some Factors. Dengue Bulletin ñ Vol 29.
- Mohan, M (2004).** MVSc Thesis submitted to the Tamil Nadu Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Chennai-600 007.
- Mohan, M; Koteeswaran, A and Chandran, N.D.J 2009.** High titre peste des petits ruminants (ppr) vaccine virus. Tamilnadu J. Veterinary & Animal Sciences 5 (4) 149-154.
- Moss W.J and Griffin D.E 2006.** Global measles elimination. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 4:900–908.
- (OIE), 2006** World Organization for Animal Health, Office International des Epizooties (OIE)Manual of standards for diagnostic tests and vaccines, 6th Ed. OIE, Paris, pp1036-1046.
- Osman, O.A ; Saber, M.S.; El-Senousy, A.A.; Abbas, A.M.; Mouaz, M.A.; Hussein, A.H. and Khodeir, M.H. 1994.** Detection of PPR virus in Vero cells using fluorescent antibody technique. Zag. Vet. Med. J. 22 (3): 111-119
- Paillet, C; Forno, G; Kratje, R and Etcheverrigaray, M 2009.** Suspension-Vero cell cultures as a platform for viral vaccine production.Vaccine. 30; 27(46):6464-7.
- Rashwan, S.M; H.N. Al-Khalaf; M.I. Al-Hammad; A.H. Azab; M.H. Moustafa and A. Diallo (2000).** Production and Efficacy of Peste Des Petits Ruminants Vaccine 75/1 in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia .J. Appl. Anim. Res. 18: 225.
- Reed, I.J and Muench, H.A. A (1938)** .Simple method of estimating fifty per cent endpoints Am. J. Hyd; 27: 493-497.
- Rossiter, P.B; Jessett, D.M. and Taylor, W.P.1985.** Micro neutralization systems for use with different strains of peste des petits ruminant's virus and rinderpest virus. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 17, 75–81.
- Rossiter, P.B and Wardley, R.C 1985.** The differential growth of virulent and avirulent strains of rinderpest virus in bovine lymphocytes and macrophages. Journal of General Virology, 66, 969–975.
- Seki, F; Ono, N; Yamaguchi, R and Yanagi, Y 2003.** Efficient isolation of wild strains of canine distemper virus in Vero cells expressing canine SLAM (CD150) and their adaptability to marmoset B95a cells. J. Virol.; 77:9943–9950.

Assessment Of The Peste Des Petits

- Van Regenmortel, M.H; Mayo, M.A; Fauquet, C.M and Maniloff, J 2000.** Virus nomenclature: consensus versus chaos. Arch Virol. 145:2227–2232.
- Westwood, J. C. N; Appleyard, G; Taylor-Robinson, D and Zwartouw, H. T. (1960).** The production of high titre poliovirus in concentrated suspensions of tissue culture cells. British Journal of Experimental Pathology 41, 105.
- WHO, 1970.** Normes No 19 pour les substances biologiques. Comité OMS d'experts de la standardisation biologique. 22e rapport, annexe 1 OMS Geneve 1970 Serie de rapports techniques: No 444.

تقييم إنتاج الفيروس المستضعف لطاعون المجترات الصغيرة بحقنة على خلايا فيرو أثناء مراحل مختلفة للزرع النسيجي

د/ اشرف الداخلى طه* د/ محمد محمود يوسف* د/ عبير عطية تمام* د / نماء عبد العزيز عبد الحفيظ**

*قسم بحوث لقاح الطاعون البقرى ** قسم بحوث اللقاحات الجدرية
معهد بحوث الامصال واللقاحات البيطرية

الملخص العربى

تعتبر خلايا الفيرو الركيزه الهامه فى انتاج العديد من الفيروسات معمليا وبالاخص فيروس طاعون المجترات الصغيرة . تعتمد هذه الدراسة العلمية على تقييم إنتاج الفيروس المستضعف لطاعون المجترات الصغيرة بعدوى خلايا كلى القرد الاخضر الافريقى أثناء مراحل مختلفة للزرع النسيجي . حيث تم حقن خلايا كلى القرد الاخضر الافريقى اثناء عملية الاستزراع النسيجي وبعد الاستزراع ب 12 و 24 و 36 و 48 ساعة على التوالي. وقد وجد ان الفترة المثلى لحقن الفيروس المستضعف لطاعون المجترات الصغيرة هي 24 ساعة من بعد الاستزراع النسيجي لخلايا الفيرو. حيث كان معيار الفيروس 6 لو 10 جرعة نصف معدية للزرع النسيجي / مل . ومن خلال دراسة منحني النمو للفيروس المحقون بعد 24 ساعة من عملية الاستزراع النسيجي اتضح لنا انه فى اليوم التاسع من بداية الحقن هو الاعلى عيارية حيث تصل العيارية الى 6.7 لو 10 جرعة نصف معدية للزرع النسيجي / مل.