

Print this Page for Your Records

Close Window

Control/Tracking Number: 2014-S-169-EANM

Activity: Scientific Programme

Current Date/Time: 4/5/2014 1:05:36 AM

Pre-operative predictors of bone marrow invasion in patients with oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma

Author Block: Y. G. A. Abdelhafez¹, E. Hasanin¹, A. Kandeel², A. Tawakol², H. Khalil², C. Liao³, T. Yen³; ¹South Egypt Cancer Institute - Assiut University, Assiut, EGYPT, ²Faculty of Medicine - Cairo University, Cairo, EGYPT, ³Chang Gung Memorial Hospital & University, Taoyuan, TAIWAN.

Abstract:

Abstract Title

Pre-operative predictors of bone marrow invasion in patients with oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma **Authors and Institutions**

Abdelhafez, Y¹; Hasanin, E¹; Kandeel, A²; Khalil, H, Tawakol, A²; Liao, CT³; Yen, TC.³

- 1. Nuclear Medicine Unit, South Egypt Cancer Institute, Assiut University, Egypt
- 2. Nuclear Medicine Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.
- 3. Nuclear Medicine Department, Molecular Imaging Center, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan, ROC.

Introduction

Accurate pre-operative diagnosis of bone marrow (BM) invasion in patients with oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma (OCSCC) is critical to determine the surgical approach (segmental versus marginal mandibulectomy). In this study we explored the possible pre-surgical clinical and imaging predictors of BM invasion in patients with OCSCC.

Patients and methods:

A retrospective study of 160 patients with OCSCC, arising from or abutting the upper or lower alveolar ridge, who underwent staging PET/CT and contrast enhanced CT (Ce-CT) or MRI studies before surgery. The results of imaging were reported as either positive or negative for BM invasion. The clinical and pathological data were also recorded.

Bone resection was planned according to the treating surgeon final decision to achieve free surgical margin. All patients received mandibulectomy (marginal or segmental) and/or maxillectomy as part of their surgical management. Histopathology was taken as the reference standard for comparison.

Results:

BM invasion was present in 48 patients (30%). Mandible was invaded in 42 patients, maxilla in 5 and both were invaded in one patient. On univariate analyses, cancer subsite "gum, retromolar trigone and hard palate", edentulous status, T4-disease, positive Ce-CT

or positive MRI, positive PET/CT and high SUV were significantly associated with BM invasion.

Using a binary logistic model, only cancer subsite, positive Ce-CT or positive MRI and positive PET/CT remained in the model as independent predictors of BM invasion.

Conclusion

Cancer subsite and positive diagnostic examination are the most important pre-operative clinical predictors of bone marrow invasion.

Characteristic	n	Marrow intact	Marrow invaded	OR (95% CI)	P		
		(n, %)	(n, %)	RR (95% CI)			
Cancer sub-site							
Buccal (+FOM, tongue)	87	(75, 86.2)	(12, 13.8)	6.1 (2.8-13)	< 0.0001*		
Gum (+RMT, HP)	73	(37, 50.7)	(36, 49.3)	3.6 (2-6.4)			
Clinical AJCC stage							
Stage I-III	63	(61, 96.8%)	(2, 3.2%)	27.5 (6.4-118.9)	< 0.0001*		
Stage IV	97	(51, 52.6%)	(46, 47.4%)	14.9 (3.8-59.4)			
Primary tumor size (cm)							
≤ 3 (ROC)	52	(44, 84.6)	(8, 15.4)	3.2 (1.4-7.6)	< 0.0001*		
> 3	108	(68, 63)	(40, 37)	2.4 (1.2-4.8)			
Primary tumor size (cm)							
≤ 3.5 (Median)	83	(56, 64)	(19, 22.9)	1.5 (0.8-3)	0.0570		
> 3.5	77	(56, 48)	(29, 37.7)	1.3 (0.8-2.2)			
Clinical T-stage							
T1-T3	79	(78, 98.7%)	(1, 1.3%)	107.8 (14.3-813.9)	< 0.0001*		
T4	81	(34, 42%)	(47, 58%)	45.8 (6.5-324.2)	i		
Clinical N-staging							
N0-1	101	(77, 76.2%)	(24, 23.8%)	2.2 (1.1-4.4)	0.0243		
N2-N3	59	(35, 59.3%)	(24, 40.7%)	1.7 (1.1-2.7)			
Differentiation					Ti		
WD-MD SCC	140	(98, 70)	(42, 30)	1 (0.3-2.7)	1.0000		
PD SCC	20	(14, 70)	(6, 30)	1 (0.5-2)	j		
Metal artifact	İ				i		
No	79	(58, 73.4)	(21, 26.6)	1.4 (0.7-2.7)	0.3910		
Yes	81	(54, 66.7)	(27, 33.3)	1.3 (0.8-2)	i		
Dentate status					i i		
Dentulous	90	(73, 81.1)	(17, 18.9)	3.4 (1.7-6.9)	0.0009*		
Edentulous	70	(39, 55.7)	(31, 44.3)	2.3 (1.4-3.9)	j		
CT/MRI	i				i i		
Negative	76	(73, 96.1)	(3, 3.9)	28.9 (8.4-99.5)	< 0.0001*		
Positive	81	(37, 45.7)	(44, 54.3)	13.8 (4.5-42.5)			
PET/CT			,				
Negative	101	(93, 92.1)	(8, 7.9)	24.5 (9.9-60.5)	< 0.0001*		
Positive	59	(19, 32.2)	(40, 67.8)	8.6 (4.3-17)			
SUV of the primary tumor		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,				
≤ 13.4 (Median)	85	(66, 77.6)	(19, 22.4)	2.2 (1.1-4.4)	0.0370*		
> 13.4 (Median)	75	(46, 61.3)	(29, 38.7)	1.7 (1.1-2.8)			
* Statistically significant OR = odds ratio; RR = relative risk; CI = confidence interval; FOM = floor of the mouth; RMT = retromolar trigone; HP = hard palate							

Table 2: Binary logistic regression analysis of clinical factors and their relation to bone invasion in patients with OCSCC

Characteristic	Predictive hazard (95% CI)	P
Cancer sub-site in gum, HP, RM	T 4.67 (1.37-15.92)	0.014*
Clinical T4	21.32 (2.25-201.79)	0.008*
Primary tumor size > 3cm	2.11 (0.42-10.61)	0.366
Edentulous	1.29 (0.4-4.17)	0.671
Positive CT/MRI	6.7 (1.39-32.17)	0.018*
Positive PET/CT	5.55 (1.79-17.19)	0.003*

|SUV > Median ||0.71 (0.21-2.35) ||0.57

* Statistically significant RMT = retromolar trigone; HP = hard palate .

Topic (Complete): 702 Head & Neck

Disclosures (Complete):

- 1) I or one of my co-authors hold a position as an employee, consultant, assessor or advisor for a pharmaceutical, device or biotechnology company. If yes, please specify name/position/company, if not please state "Nothing to disclose". : Nothing to disclose
- 2) I or one of my co-authors receive support from a pharmaceutical, device or biotechnology company. If yes, please specify name/position/company/which project and whether support is in kind or monetary. If not, please state "Nothing to disclose". : Nothing to disclose
- 3) I or one of my co-authors hold property rights/patents for (radio)pharmaceuticals, medical devices or medical consulting firms. If yes, please specify name/position/company, if not please state "Nothing to disclose". : Nothing to disclose
- 4) I or one of my co-authors have written articles for (radio)pharmaceutical, medical device, biotechnology or consulting companies during the last 5 years. If yes, please specify name/position/company/article/journal and co-authors. If not please st: Nothing to disclose

I herewith declare, that I submitted all relevant information above to the best of my knowledge : True

Additional (Complete):

I agree: Yes I agree: Yes

Awards, Biology Track, ISTARD WS (Complete):

EANM Eckert & Ziegler Abstract Award : True

Date of birth (ddmmyyyy): 30061979

Please consider my paper for the ISTARD Workshop : True

Presentation Preference (Complete): Oral or Poster

Status: Complete

<u>European Association of Nuclear Medicine</u> Hollandstrasse 14/Mezzanine, A-1020 Vienna, Austria Phone +43-(0)1-212 80 30, Fax +43-(0)1-212 80 30-9

E-mail: a.felser@eanm.org

Powered by <u>OASIS</u>, The Online Abstract Submission and Invitation System SM © 1996 - 2014 <u>Coe-Truman Technologies</u>, Inc. All rights reserved.